

ESTIMATES FOR LOWER ORDER EIGENVALUES OF A CLASS OF OPERATORS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

SHI Jiang-hai

(*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China*)

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the boundary value problem of the operator. By the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we obtain universal inequalities for lower order eigenvalues of these operator.

Keywords: lower order eigenvalues; Rayleigh-Ritz inequality

2010 MR Subject Classification: 53C20; 58C40

Document code: A **Article ID:** 0255-7797(2015)04-0809-08

1 Introduction

In recent years, there are some researches on eigenvalue estimates for quadratic polynomial operator of Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - p\Delta u + qu = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold M , ν denotes the outwards unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$, the constants $p, q \geq 0$, $\Delta (= -\operatorname{div}\nabla)$ is the Laplacian and Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator on M . In 2011, Sun and Qi [3] obtained universal eigenvalue inequalities of problem (1.1),

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) ((nH_0)^2 + (2n+4)E_i + np) ((nH_0)^2 + 4E_i),$$

where H_0 is a constant which only depends on the mean curvature of M and

$$E_i = \frac{1}{2}(-p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4(\lambda_i - q)}).$$

For other related results, one can see [2, 4, 5, 7, 8].

Let $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N by Ψ , Ω is a bounded domain in M^n . In this paper, we

* **Received date:** 2014-10-11

Accepted date: 2014-12-08

Biography: Shi Jianghai (1986-), male, born at Jingzhou, Hubei, master, major in differential geometry.

are interested in extrinsic upper bounds for the lower order eigenvalues of following operator defined on Ω :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \operatorname{div} A \nabla u + au = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where A is a positive definite symmetric (1,1)-tensor on M^n , the eigenvalues of A on Ω are bounded below by a positive constant b , $\operatorname{tr}(A)|_{\Omega} \leq c$ (i.e., A can also be viewed as a smooth symmetric and positive defined section of the bundle of all endomorphisms of $T(M^n)$), $\Delta (= \operatorname{div} \nabla)$ is the Laplacian, ∇ is the gradient operator on M and a is a nonnegative constant.

It is well known that problem (1.2) has a real and discrete spectrum

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. Our results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Let $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an $n (\geq 2)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N by Ψ , Ω is a bounded domain in M^n . A is a positive definite symmetric (1,1)-tensor on M^n . Assume that the eigenvalues of A on Ω are bounded below by a positive constant b (that is, $\bar{\lambda}(A)|_{\Omega} \geq b$) and that $\operatorname{tr}(A)|_{\Omega} \leq c$. Then for the eigenvalues of problem (1.2),

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\left(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + (n+2)B + c \right) \left(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + 2B \right)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $B = -b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4(\lambda_1 - a)}$ and H is the mean curvature vector of the immersion Ψ .

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let λ_i be the i -th eigenvalue of problem (1.2) and u_i the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i (i.e. $\int_{\Omega} u_i u_j = \delta_{ij}$). For any function $m_{\alpha} \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} m_{\alpha} u_1 u_{\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta = 2, \dots, \alpha, \quad (2.1)$$

we have for any positive constant δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left(\|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2 \langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 u_1 \Delta u_1 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, A \nabla m_{\alpha} \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\delta} \|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2 \langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\|f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} f^2$.

Proof We consider the function $T_\alpha = m_\alpha u_1 - u_1 \int_\Omega m_\alpha u_1^2$. Then, it is easy to check that $T_\alpha|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$\int_\Omega T_\alpha u_1 = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence

$$\int_\Omega T_\alpha u_l = 0 \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, \alpha. \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality (or min-max principle) that

$$\lambda_{\alpha+1} \|T_\alpha\|^2 \leq \int_\Omega T_\alpha [\Delta^2 - \operatorname{div} A \nabla + a] T_\alpha. \quad (2.5)$$

By virtue of (2.3), a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha+1} \|T_\alpha\|^2 &\leq \int_\Omega T_\alpha [\Delta^2 - \operatorname{div} A \nabla + a] T_\alpha = \int_\Omega T_\alpha [\Delta^2 - \operatorname{div} A \nabla + a] (m_\alpha u_1) \\ &= \int_\Omega T_\alpha [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad + m_\alpha \Delta^2 u_1 - m_\alpha \operatorname{div} A \nabla u_1 + a m_\alpha u_1 - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle] \\ &= \int_\Omega T_\alpha [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle] + \lambda_1 \|T_\alpha\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.6) into inequality (2.5) and utilizing the Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1) \|T_\alpha\|^2 &\leq \int_\Omega T_\alpha [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle] \\ &\leq \int_\Omega (m_\alpha u_1) [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle] \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega m_\alpha u_1^2 \int_\Omega u_1 [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle] \\ &= \int_\Omega (m_\alpha u_1) [u_1 \Delta^2 m_\alpha + 2\Delta m_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta \langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, \nabla \Delta u_1 \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \Delta m_\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad - u_1 \operatorname{div} A \nabla m_\alpha - 2\langle \nabla m_\alpha, A \nabla u_1 \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From the divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} 2m_{\alpha}u_1\Delta\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle \\ = & 2\int_{\Omega}(u_1\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\Delta m_{\alpha}+m_{\alpha}\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\Delta u_1+2\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} 2m_{\alpha}u_1\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla\Delta u_1\rangle \\ = & -2\int_{\Omega}(m_{\alpha}\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\Delta u_1+\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla m_{\alpha}\rangle u_1\Delta u_1+m_{\alpha}u_1\Delta u_1\Delta m_{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} 2m_{\alpha}u_1\langle\nabla u_1,\Delta\nabla m_{\alpha}\rangle \\ = & \int_{\Omega}(\Delta m_{\alpha})^2u_1^2+\langle\nabla u_1^2,\nabla m_{\alpha}\rangle\Delta m_{\alpha}-m_{\alpha}u_1^2\Delta^2m_{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Then, putting (2.8)–(2.10) into (2.7), one get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)\|T_{\alpha}\|^2 \\ \leq & \int_{\Omega}[u_1^2(\Delta m_{\alpha})^2+4\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle^2-2\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla m_{\alpha}\rangle u_1\Delta u_1 \\ & +4u_1\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\Delta m_{\alpha}-m_{\alpha}u_1^2(\operatorname{div}A\nabla m_{\alpha})-\frac{1}{2}\langle\nabla(m_{\alpha}^2),A\nabla(u_1^2)\rangle] \\ = & \|u_1\Delta m_{\alpha}+2\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\|^2-2\int_{\Omega}|\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2u_1\Delta u_1+\int_{\Omega}u_1^2\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},A\nabla m_{\alpha}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Utilizing the divergence theorem again, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int_{\Omega}u_1m_{\alpha}\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle & =\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\langle\nabla(m_{\alpha}^2),\nabla(u_1^2)\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}u_1^2\Delta(m_{\alpha}^2) \\ & =-\int_{\Omega}u_1^2m_{\alpha}\Delta m_{\alpha}-\int_{\Omega}u_1^2|\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega}T_{\alpha}(u_1\Delta m_{\alpha}+2\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle) \\ = & \int_{\Omega}u_1^2m_{\alpha}\Delta m_{\alpha}+2\int_{\Omega}u_1m_{\alpha}\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle \\ & -2\int_{\Omega}m_{\alpha}u_1^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}u_1^2\Delta m_{\alpha}+\int_{\Omega}u_1\langle\nabla m_{\alpha},\nabla u_1\rangle\right) \\ = & -\int_{\Omega}u_1^2|\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By virtue of (2.11), (2.13) and the Cauchy’s inequality, it is not difficult to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 \\
 &= -(\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} T_{\alpha}(u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle) \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1) \|T_{\alpha}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta} \|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 \tag{2.14} \\
 &= \frac{\delta}{2} (\|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 u_1 \Delta u_1 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, A \nabla m_{\alpha} \rangle) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\delta} \|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1:

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N be the standard coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^N . We consider the $N \times N$ matrix

$$D \triangleq (d_{\alpha\beta}), \quad d_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\Omega} x^{\alpha} u_1 u_{\beta+1} \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N).$$

From the orthogonalization of Gram-Schmidt (QR-factorization theorem), we know that D can be written by $R = QD$, where $Q = (q_{\alpha\beta})$ is an orthogonal $N \times N$ matrix and $R = (r_{\alpha\beta})$ is an upper triangular matrix. Hence, we have

$$r_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} d_{\gamma\beta} = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} u_1 u_{\beta+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta = 1, \dots, \alpha - 1.$$

Taking $m_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma}$, thus $\int_{\Omega} m_{\alpha} u_1 u_l = 0$ for $l = 2, \dots, \alpha$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to

$m_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma}$ and summing on α from 1 to N , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(\|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{\alpha}|^2 u_1 \Delta u_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, A \nabla m_{\alpha} \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2\delta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \|u_1 \Delta m_{\alpha} + 2\langle \nabla m_{\alpha}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \left(\|u_1 \Delta x^{\gamma} + 2\langle \nabla x^{\gamma}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla x^{\gamma}|^2 u_1 \Delta u_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \langle \nabla x^{\gamma}, A \nabla x^{\gamma} \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2\delta} \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \|u_1 \Delta x^{\gamma} + 2\langle \nabla x^{\gamma}, \nabla u_1 \rangle\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left(n^2 \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |H|^2 + (2n+4) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 (\text{tr} A) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{\Omega} (n^2 u_1^2 |H|^2 + 4 |\nabla u_1|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left(n^2 \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |H|^2 + (2n+4) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 + c \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{\Omega} (n^2 u_1^2 |H|^2 + 4 |\nabla u_1|^2), \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma=1}^N |\nabla x^\gamma|^2 &= n, \quad \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \langle \nabla x^\gamma, \nabla u_1 \rangle^2 = |\nabla u_1|^2, \\
\sum_{\gamma=1}^N (\Delta x^\gamma)^2 &= n^2 |H|^2, \quad \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \langle \nabla x^\gamma, \nabla u_1 \rangle \Delta x^\gamma = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

see [1, Lemma 2.1]. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} -u_1 \Delta u_1 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_1)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\Omega} u_1 \Delta^2 u_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\int_{\Omega} u_1 (\text{div} A \nabla u_1 - a u_1 + \lambda_1 u_1) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (-a + \lambda_1 - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u_1, A \nabla u_1 \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (-a + \lambda_1 - b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 \leq \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4(\lambda_1 - a)}}{2}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} (n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + (n+2)B + c) + \frac{1}{2\delta} (n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + 2B), \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

where $B = -b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4(\lambda_1 - a)}$ and H is the mean curvature vector of its immersion Ψ .

Minimizing the right hand side of (2.17), one get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 \\
&\leq \sqrt{(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + (n+2)B + c)(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + 2B)}. \tag{2.18}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to get $\sum_{\alpha=1}^N |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 = n$ and $|\nabla m_\alpha|^2 \leq 1$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, see [6]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 \\
 & \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=n+1}^N |\nabla m_t|^2 \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (n - \sum_{\beta=1}^n |\nabla m_\beta|^2) \tag{2.19} \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla m_\alpha|^2 + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\beta=1}^n (1 - |\nabla m_\beta|^2) \\
 & \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + (n+2)B + c)(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + 2B)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 2.2 Let $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N by Ψ , Ω is a bounded domain in M^n . For the lower order eigenvalues of problem (1.1), where $p > 0$, it holds that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + (n+2)C - 2p)(n^2 \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2 + 2C - 2p)} \tag{2.20}$$

where $C = \sqrt{p^2 + 4(\lambda_1 - q)}$ and H is the mean curvature vector of its immersion Ψ .

Corollary 2.3 Let Ω be a bounded domain in an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(1)$. For the lower order eigenvalues of problem (1.1), where $p > 0$, It holds that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{(n^2 + (n+2)C - 2p)(n^2 + 2C - 2p)}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $C = \sqrt{p^2 + 4(\lambda_1 - q)}$.

Remark For the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(1)$, by taking $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n(1)$, $\partial\Omega = \emptyset$, we know that eigenvalues of problem (1.1) satisfy $\lambda_1 = q$ and $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n^2 + pn + q$. Then inequality in (2.21) become equality. So inequality (2.21) is sharp.

References

- [1] Chen Daguang, Cheng Qingming. Extrinsic estimates for eigenvalues of the Laplace operator[J]. J. Math. Soc. Japan., 2008, 60(2): 325–339
- [2] Cheng Qingming, Huang Guangyue, Wei Guoxin. Estimates for lower order eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem[J]. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 2010, 38(3-4): 409–416.
- [3] Sun Hejun, Qi Xuerong. Eigenvalue estimates for quadratic polynomial operator of the Laplacian[J]. Glasg. Math. J., 2011, 53(2): 321–332.
- [4] Sun Hejun, Chen Daguang. Estimates for eigenvalues of fourth-order weighted polynomial operator[J]. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 2011, 31(3): 826–834.
- [5] Manfredo P do Carmo, Wang Qiaoling, Xia Changyu. Inequalities for eigenvalues of elliptic operators in divergence form on Riemannian manifolds[J]. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 2010, 189(4): 643–660.
- [6] Sun Hejun, Cheng Qingming, Yang Hongcang. Lower order eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian[J]. Manuscripta Math., 2008, 125(2): 139–156.
- [7] Sun Hejun, Zeng Lingzhong. Universal inequalities for lower order eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators and the poly-Laplacian[J]. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2013, 29(11): 2209–2218.
- [8] Yang Shiguo, Qi Jibing, Wang Wen. The Pedoe inequality and Zhang-Yang Inequality in the spherical space with applications[J]. J. Math.(PRC), 2014, 34(1): 123–129. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2013, 29(11): 2209–2218.

黎曼流形上一类算子的低阶特征值估计

史江海

(武汉大学数学与统计学院, 湖北 武汉 430072)

摘要: 本文研究 $n(\geq 2)$ 维完备黎曼流形 M 的有界区域 Ω 上算子的低阶特征值估计问题. 利用Rayleigh-Ritz不等式, 获得了该算子低阶特征值的万有不等式.

关键词: 低阶特征值; Rayleigh-Ritz 不等式

MR(2010)主题分类号: 53C20; 58C40 中图分类号: O186.1