# THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM OF A SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN TYPE EQUATION WITH SUPERCRITICAL PHASE 

ZHU Sheng<br>（School of Mathematics and Statistics，Ningbo University，Ningbo 315211，China）


#### Abstract

In this paper，we introduce a special Lagrangian type operator，and consider the corresponding Dirichlet problem of the special Lagrangian type equation with supercritical phase． By establishing the global $C^{2}$ estimates，we obtain the existence theorem of classical solutions by the method of continuity．


Keywords：special lagrangian type equation；Dirichlet problem；supercritical phase
2010 MR Subject Classification：35J60；35B45
Document code：A Article ID：0255－7797（2022）06－0482－11

## 1 Introduction

For a smooth function $u(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ ，we assume $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ are the eigenvalue of Hessian matrix $D^{2} u:=\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ ．Then there is a mapping induced by $D^{2} u$ as follows

$$
P e_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{i j} e_{j},
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ．As in Caffarelli－Nirenberg－Spruck［1］，we consider the self－adjoint mapping

$$
U=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{k}{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1,
$$

acting on the real vector space $\Lambda^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ，that is

$$
U\left(e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes P e_{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n-1}} .
$$

Then the eigenvalues of $U$ are $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right)$ with

$$
\eta_{i}=\sum_{k \neq i} \lambda_{k}, \quad \forall i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

[^0]Hence we have a special Lagrangian type operator

$$
\arctan \eta=: \arctan \eta_{1}+\arctan \eta_{2}+\cdots+\arctan \eta_{n}
$$

In fact, if $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ are the eigenvalue of Hessian matrix $D^{2} u, \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right)$ are the eigenvalue of matrix $\left\{\Delta u \mathrm{I}_{n}-D^{2} u\right\}$, and

$$
\arctan \eta=\arctan \left\{\Delta u \mathrm{I}_{n}-D^{2} u\right\}
$$

In this paper, we study the Dirichlet problems of the corresponding special Lagrangian type equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\arctan \left\{\Delta u \mathrm{I}_{n}-D^{2} u\right\}=\Theta(x), \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{1.1}\\
u=\varphi(x), \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Theta(x) \in\left(-\frac{n \pi}{2}, \frac{n \pi}{2}\right)$ is called the phase. In particular, $\Theta=\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}$ is the critical phase, and if $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$, the equation (1.1) is called special Lagrangian type equation with supercritical phase.

The special Lagrangian equation

$$
\arctan D^{2} u=: \arctan \lambda_{1}+\arctan \lambda_{2}+\cdots+\arctan \lambda_{n}=\Theta
$$

was introduced by Harvey-Lawson [2] in the study of calibrated geometries. Here $\Theta$ is a constant called the phase angle. In this case the graph $x \mapsto(x, D u(x))$ defines a calibrated, minimal submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. Since the work of Harvey-Lawson, special Lagrangian manifolds have gained wide interests, due in large part to their fundamental role in the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow description of mirror symmetry [3]. For the special Lagrangian equations with supercritical phase, Yuan obtained the interior $C^{1}$ estimate with Warren in [4] and the interior $C^{2}$ estimate with Wang in [5]. Recently Collins-Picard-Wu [6] obtained the existence theorem of the Dirichlet problem by adopting the classic method with some important observation about the concavity of the operator.

In fact, the Dirichlet problems of elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ were widely studied. For the Laplace equation, the Dirichlet problem was well studied in [7, 8]. For fully nonlinear elliptic equations, the pioneering work was done by Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck in [1, 9] and Ivochkina in [10]. In their papers, they solved the Dirichlet problem for Monge-Ampère equations and $k$-Hessian equations elegantly. Since then, many interesting fully nonlinear equations with different structure conditions have been researched, such as Hessian quotient equations, which were solved by Trudinger in [11]. For more information, we refer the citations of [9].

In this paper, we establish the following existence theorem of (1.1)
Theorem 1.1 Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $C^{4}$ strictly convex domain, $\varphi \in C^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\Theta(x) \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in$ $C^{3, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ to the Dirichlet problem (1.1).

Remark 1.2 In addition, if $\Omega, \Theta$ and $\varphi$ are all smooth, the solution $u$ is also smooth on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Remark 1.3 As in [6], if we assume there is a subsolution $\underline{u}$ instead of the strict convexity of $\Omega$, Theorem 1.1 still holds.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some properties and establish the $C^{0}$ estimates. In Section 3 and 4, we establish the $C^{1}$ and $C^{2}$ estimates for the Dirichlet problem (1.1). And Theorem 1.1 is proved in the Section 5.

## 2 Some Properties and a Priori Estimates

In this section, we give some properties and establish the $C^{0}$ estimates for the Dirichlet problem (1.1).

Property 2.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain and $\Theta(x) \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the equation (1.1) and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ are the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $D^{2} u$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \cdots \leq \lambda_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{1} \geq \eta_{2} \cdots \geq \eta_{n}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \eta_{1}+\eta_{2} \cdots+\eta_{n}>0  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq \eta_{n-1}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq C_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}=\max \left\{\tan \left\{\frac{(n-1) \pi}{2}-\min _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta(x)\right\}, \tan \left(\frac{\max _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta(x)}{n}\right)\right\}$.
These properties are well-known and can be similarly found in [5, 12] and [13].
Property 2.2 Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a domain and $\Theta(x) \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<$ $\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $u \in C^{4}(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.1). Then for any $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} F^{i j} u_{i j \xi \xi} \geq \Theta_{\xi \xi}-A \Theta_{\xi}{ }^{2}, \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{i j}=\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial u_{i j}}$ and $A=\frac{2}{\tan \left(\frac{\min }{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta-\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}\right)}$.
Proof of Property 2.2 For any $x \in \Omega$, we can assume $D^{2} u$ is diagonal with $\lambda_{i}=u_{i i}$, since (2.6) is invariant under rotating the coordinates. Then we have

$$
F^{i j}=: \frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial u_{i j}}=\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{p}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{p}}{\partial u_{i j}}= \begin{cases}\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p}} \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=\sum_{p \neq i} \frac{1}{1+\eta_{p}^{2}}, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{i j, k l} & =: \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial u_{i j} \partial u_{k l}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{p} \partial \lambda_{q}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{p}}{\partial u_{i j}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{q}}{\partial u_{k l}}+\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{p}} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda_{p}}{\partial u_{i j} \partial u_{k l}} \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{i} \partial \lambda_{k}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p} \partial \eta_{q}} \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \frac{\partial \eta_{q}}{\partial \lambda_{k}}, & \text { if } i=j, k=l, \\
\frac{\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{i}}-\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}=-\frac{\eta_{i}+\eta_{j}}{\left(1+\eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1+\eta_{j}^{2}\right)}, & \text { if } i=l, j=k, i \neq j \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the equation (1.1), we know

$$
\Theta_{\xi}=\sum_{i j=1}^{n} F^{i j} u_{i j \xi}=\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{p}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{p}}{\partial u_{i j}} u_{i j \xi}=\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p}} \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} u_{i i \xi}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} F^{i j} u_{i j \xi \xi} & =\Theta_{\xi \xi}-\sum_{i j k l=1}^{n} F^{i j, k l} u_{i j \xi} u_{k l \xi}=\Theta_{\xi \xi}-\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} F^{i i, k k} u_{i i \xi} u_{k k \xi}-\sum_{i \neq j} F^{i j, j i} u_{i j \xi}^{2} \\
& \geq \Theta_{\xi \xi}-\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} F^{i i, k k} u_{i i \xi} u_{k k \xi} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From the concavity lemma (Lemma 2.2 in [6]), we know

$$
\begin{align*}
-\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} F^{i i, k k} u_{i i \xi} u_{k k \xi} & =-\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p} \partial \eta_{q}} \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \frac{\partial \eta_{q}}{\partial \lambda_{k}} \cdot u_{i i \xi} u_{k k \xi}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p} \partial \eta_{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} u_{i i \xi} \cdot \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{k}} u_{k k \xi} \\
& \geq-\frac{2}{\tan \left(\min _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta-\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}\right)}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \eta_{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial \eta_{p}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} u_{i i \xi}\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{2}{\tan \left(\min _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta-\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}\right)} \Theta_{\xi}{ }^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (2.6) holds.
The $C^{0}$ estimate is easy.
Theorem 2.3 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and $\varphi \in C^{0}(\partial \Omega)$. Suppose $u \in$ $C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the solution of (1.1) and $\Theta(x) \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\bar{\Omega}}|u| \leq M_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{0}$ depends on $n, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega), \max _{\partial \Omega}|\varphi|$ and $\max _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta$.
Proof of Theorem 2.3 From (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i}^{n} \eta_{i}>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it yields from the maximum principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u=\max _{\partial \Omega} \varphi \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \Omega$, and denote $B=\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \tan \left(\frac{\max _{\Omega} \Theta}{n}\right)$ and $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=: \arctan \eta$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} u(x)\right)=\Theta(x) \leq \max _{\Omega} \Theta=F\left(D^{2}\left(B|x|^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the maximum principle, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\bar{\Omega}}\left(u-B|x|^{2}\right)=\min _{\partial \Omega}\left(u-B|x|^{2}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geq u-B|x|^{2} \geq \min _{\partial \Omega}\left(u-B|x|^{2}\right) \geq \min _{\partial \Omega} \varphi-B \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Global Gradient Estimate

In this section, we will prove the global gradient estimate of (1.1).
Theorem 3.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $C^{2}$ strictly convex domain and $\varphi \in C^{1}(\partial \Omega)$. Suppose $u \in C^{3}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the solution of (1.1) and $\Theta(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\bar{\Omega}}|D u| \leq M_{1}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ depends on $n, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega),|\varphi|_{C^{1}}$ and $|\Theta|_{C^{1}}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1 In the following, we prove Theorem 3.1 by two steps.
Step 1 Prove $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|D u| \leq \max _{\partial \Omega}|D u|+C$.
Consider the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=|D u(x)|+e^{u(x)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume $P(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$. If $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u(x)| \leq \max _{\partial \Omega}|D u(x)|+e^{u\left(x_{0}\right)}-e^{u(x)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{0} \in \Omega$, we can choose the coordinates $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ at $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left|D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>0, \quad\left\{u_{i j}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} \text { is diagonal. } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(x)=: u_{1}(x)+e^{u(x)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

also attains its local maximum at $x_{0}$. Hence we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\tilde{P}_{i}=u_{1 i}+e^{u} u_{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{11}=-e^{u} u_{1}<0  \tag{3.7}\\
& u_{1 i}=0, \quad i \geq 2 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

So we know $\left\{D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is diagonal. It follows that $F^{i j}=: \frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial u_{i j}}$ is diagonal at $x_{0}$. In fact,

$$
F^{i j}=\sum_{p} \frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{p}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{p}}{\partial u_{i j}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \arctan \eta}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=\sum_{p \neq i} \frac{1}{1+\eta_{p}{ }^{2}}, \quad i=j  \tag{3.9}\\
0,
\end{array} \quad i \neq j .\right.
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \tilde{P}_{i i}=u_{1 i i}+e^{u}\left(u_{i}^{2}+u_{i i}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq \sum_{i} F^{i i} \tilde{P}_{i i} & =\sum_{i} F^{i i} u_{i i 1}+e^{u}\left(\sum_{i} F^{i i} u_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i} F^{i i} u_{i i}\right) \\
& =\Theta_{1}+e^{u}\left(F^{11} u_{1}^{2}+\sum_{p} \frac{\eta_{p}}{1+\eta_{p}^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq-|D \Theta|+u_{1}^{2} \frac{e^{u}}{1+C_{0}^{2}}-e^{u} \frac{n}{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $u_{1} \leq C$, and then $|D u(x)| \leq C$.
Step 2 Prove $\max _{\partial \Omega}|D u| \leq C$.
From the boundary condition $u=\varphi$ on $\partial \Omega$, we know $u_{\tau}=\varphi_{\tau}$ for any tangential vector $\tau$ of $\partial \Omega$ and $\left|u_{\tau}\right| \leq \max |D \varphi|$.

Now we consider the normal derivative $u_{\nu}$, where $\nu$ is the unit normal vector of $\partial \Omega$. Firstly, we extend $\varphi$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ by

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \tilde{\varphi}=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.12}\\ \tilde{\varphi}=\varphi, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to know $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \leq \tilde{\varphi}, \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.13}\\
& u=\tilde{\varphi}, \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ strictly convex domain, then there is a defining function $h \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad h<0 \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.15}\\
& D^{2} h \geq c_{0} I_{n}>0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}(x)=: \tilde{\varphi}+B h(x) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a subsolution for $B$ is large enough. In fact, the eigenvalues of $D^{2} \underline{u}$ are $\underline{\lambda}_{i} \geq B c_{0}-\left|D^{2} \tilde{\varphi}\right|$, and $\underline{\eta}_{i} \geq(n-1)\left[B c_{0}-\left|D^{2} \tilde{\varphi}\right|\right]$. Hence for $B$ large enough, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{u} \leq u, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.18}\\
& \underline{u}=u, \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields $\left|D_{\nu} u(x)\right| \leq \max \{|D \underline{u}|,|D \tilde{\varphi}|\}$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4 Global Second Derivatives Estimate

We come now to the a priori estimates of global second derivatives and we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $C^{4}$ strictly convex domain and $\varphi \in C^{2}(\partial \Omega)$. Let $\Theta(x) \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\frac{(n-2) \pi}{2}<\Theta(x)<\frac{n \pi}{2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ and $u \in C^{4}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\bar{\Omega}}\left|D^{2} u\right| \leq M_{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{2}$ depends on $n, \Omega, \min _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta,|u|_{C^{1}},|\Theta|_{C^{2}}$ and $|\varphi|_{C^{2}}$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1 In the following, we prove Theorem 4.1 by two steps.
Step 1 Prove $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}\left|D^{2} u\right| \leq C\left(1+\max _{\partial \Omega}\left|D^{2} u\right|\right)$.
Consider the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\log \lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u\right)+b|x|^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u(x)\right)$ is the largest eigenvalue of $D^{2} u(x)$ and $b=\frac{1}{4 \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)^{2}}$. Assume $P(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$. If $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u(x)\right) \leq P(x) \leq \max _{\partial \Omega} \log \lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u\right)+b\left|x_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}\left|D^{2} u\right| \leq C\left(1+\max _{\partial \Omega}\left|D^{2} u\right|\right)$.
If $x_{0} \in \Omega$, we can choose the coordinates $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ at $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right) \text { is diagonal. } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $u_{11}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq u_{22}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \cdots \geq u_{n n}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(x)=: u_{11}(x)+b|x|^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

also attains its local maximum at $x_{0} \in \Omega$. Hence we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\tilde{P}_{i}=\frac{u_{11 i}}{u_{11}}+2 b x_{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{11 i}}{u_{11}}=-2 b x_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \tilde{P}_{i i}=\frac{u_{11 i i}}{u_{11}}-\frac{u_{11 i}^{2}}{u_{11}^{2}}+2 b=\frac{u_{11 i i}}{u_{11}}-4 b^{2} x_{i}^{2}+2 b \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} F^{i i} \tilde{P}_{i i} & =\frac{\sum_{i} F^{i i} u_{i i 11}}{u_{11}}+2 b \sum_{i} F^{i i}\left(1-2 b x_{i}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.9}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{u_{11}}\left(\Theta_{11}-A \Theta_{1}^{2}\right)+b \sum_{i=1}^{n} F^{i i} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{u_{11}}\left(\Theta_{11}-A \Theta_{1}^{2}\right)+b \frac{1}{1+C_{0}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $u_{11}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq C$, and then $\left|D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq C$.
Step 2 Prove $\max _{\partial \Omega}\left|D^{2} u\right| \leq C$.
For any point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, we assume $x_{0}=0 \in \partial \Omega$, and $\partial \Omega$ is expressed by $x_{n}=\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ near $x_{0}=0$, where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right)$. Moreover, we can assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(0)=0, \quad D \rho(0)=0  \tag{4.10}\\
& \rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_{i} x_{i}^{2}+O\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From the boundary condition of (1.1), we have $u\left(x^{\prime}, \rho(x)\right)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, \rho(x)\right)$ near 0 , and then for $i, j=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}+u_{n} \rho_{i} & =\varphi_{i}+\varphi_{n} \rho_{i}  \tag{4.11}\\
u_{i j}+u_{i n} \rho_{j}+u_{n j} \rho_{i}+u_{n n} \rho_{i} \rho_{j}+u_{n} \rho_{i j} & =\varphi_{i j}+\varphi_{i n} \rho_{j}+\varphi_{n j} \rho_{i}+\varphi_{n n} \rho_{i} \rho_{j}+\varphi_{n} \rho_{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i j}(0)=\varphi_{i j}(0)+\varphi_{n}(0) \rho_{i j}(0)-u_{n}(0) \rho_{i j}(0) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|u_{i j}(0)\right| \leq C$ for $i, j \leq n-1$.
Now we estimate $\left|u_{i n}(0)\right|$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Define

$$
T=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+b_{i}\left(x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}-x_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\sum_{i, j} F^{i j} \partial_{i j} T(u-\tilde{\varphi})\right|=\mid \sum_{i, j} F^{i j} \partial_{i j} T u-\sum_{i, j} F^{i j} \partial_{i j} T \tilde{\varphi}\right)\left|\leq|T \Theta|+C \sum_{i} F^{i i} \leq C\right. \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small, and on $\partial \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(u-\tilde{\varphi})|=\left|\left(\partial_{i}+\partial_{i} \rho \partial_{n}\right)(u-\tilde{\varphi})+O\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)-b_{i} x_{n} \partial_{i}(u-\tilde{\varphi})\right| \leq C\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $w(x)=\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{n}-a\left|x^{\prime}\right|+x_{n}^{2}$, in $\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum F^{i j} w_{i j}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} F^{i j}\left(\rho_{i j}-a \delta_{i j}\right)+F^{n n} \geq \varepsilon_{0} \sum_{i \leq n-1} F^{i i}+F^{n n} \geq c_{0}>0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a very small positive constant. Hence for $K$ large enough, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j} F^{i j} \partial_{i j}[K w \pm T(u-\tilde{\varphi})] \geq 0, \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \cap B \varepsilon(0) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, on $\partial \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)$, we have $x_{n}=\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& K w \pm T(u-\tilde{\varphi})  \tag{4.17}\\
= & K\left(-a\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \pm T(u-\tilde{\varphi}) \leq K\left(-a\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+C\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

if we choose $K>0$ large enough and $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. On $\Omega \cap \partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)$, we have $\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq x_{n}$ and $x_{n} \geq c_{0}>0$, and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& K w \pm T(u-\tilde{\varphi})  \tag{4.18}\\
\leq & K\left(\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{n}-c \rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)+x_{n}^{2}\right) \pm T(u-\tilde{\varphi}) \leq K\left(-c x_{n}+x_{n}^{2}\right)+C \leq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{n}(T(u-\tilde{\varphi}))(0)\right| \leq K\left|\partial_{n} w(0)\right| \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|u_{i n}(0)\right| \leq C$.
At last, we prove $\left|u_{n n}(0)\right| \leq C$. The idea is from Trudinger [11], and later used by Guan [14]. See also [6]. For any point $x \in \partial \Omega$, let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be an orthonormal local frame defined in a neighbourhood of $x$ such that $e_{n}$ is the inner normal. For $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$, define $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_{\beta}, e_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\nabla$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the flat Euclidean metric. In fact, $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ is the second fundamental form of $\partial \Omega$. Since $u=\underline{u}$ on $\partial \Omega$ (here $\underline{u}$ is a subsolution defined in (3.17)), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\alpha \beta}(x)-\underline{u}_{\alpha \beta}(x)=-(u-\underline{u})_{n}(x) \sigma_{\alpha \beta}(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\alpha \beta}=\nabla_{e_{\beta}}\left(\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} u\right)-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{\beta}} e_{\alpha}} u \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Riemannian Hessian with the eigenvalues $\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$. As in [6], assume $g(\lambda)=-e^{-A \sum \arctan \eta_{i}}$ and $\psi(x)=-e^{-A \Theta(x)}$, where $A$ is defined in Property 2.2. Then for any $x \in \partial \Omega$, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\lambda^{\prime}, R\right)=-e^{-A \arctan \left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\lambda_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)-A \frac{(n-1) \pi}{2}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume the minimum value of $\tilde{G}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)(x)-\psi(x)$ on $\partial \Omega$ is achieved at $y_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. As in [6], we can prove $\left|u_{n n}\left(y_{0}\right)\right| \leq C$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)(x)-\psi(x) \geq \tilde{G}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(y_{0}\right)-\psi\left(y_{0}\right) \geq 2 c_{0}>0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there exists a $R_{0}$ large such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\lambda^{\prime}, R_{0}\right) \geq \tilde{G}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)-c_{0} \geq \psi(x)+c_{0} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|u_{n n}(0)\right| \geq R_{\delta_{0}}$, we have from Lemma 1.2 in [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n} \geq R_{0},\left|\lambda-\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda_{n}\right)\right|<\delta_{0} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(u_{i j}\right)\right)(0) \geq g\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda_{n}\right)-\frac{c_{0}}{2} \geq g\left(\lambda^{\prime}, R_{0}\right)-\frac{c_{0}}{2} \geq \psi(0)+\frac{c_{0}}{2} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\left|u_{n n}(0)\right| \leq R_{\delta_{0}}$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we complete the proof of the Theorem 1.1.
For the Dirichlet problem of equation (1.1), we have established the $C^{0}, C^{1}$ and $C^{2}$ estimates in Section 2, 3 and 4. By the global $C^{2}$ priori estimate, the equation (1.1) is uniformly elliptic in $\bar{\Omega}$. From Property 2.2 , we know $-e^{-A \arctan \eta}$ is concave with respect to $D^{2} u$, where $A$ is defined in Property 2.2. Following the discussions in the Evans-Krylov theorem $[15,16]$, we can get the global Hölder estimate of second derivatives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $\alpha$ depend on $n, \Omega, \max _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta, \min _{\bar{\Omega}} \Theta,|\Theta|_{C^{2}}$ and $|\varphi|_{C^{2}}$. From (5.1), one also obtains $C^{3, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ estimates by differentiating the equation (1.1) and applies the Schauder theory for linear uniformly elliptic equations.

Applying the method of continuity (see [6]), the existence of the classical solution holds. By the standard regularity theory of uniformly elliptic partial differential equations, we can obtain the higher regularity.

## References

[1] Caffarelli L, Nirenberg L, Spruck J. The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III, Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian[J]. Acta Math., 1985, 155(1): 261-301.
[2] Harvey R, Lawson B. Calibrated geometries[J]. Acta Math., 1982, 148(1): 47-157.
[3] Stromginer A, Yau S T, Zaslow E. Mirror symmetry is T-duality[J]. Nuclear Phys. B, 1996, 479(2): 243-259.
［4］Warren M，Yuan Y．Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equa－ tions with large phase［J］．Amer．J．Math．，2010，132（3）：751－770．
［5］Wang D K，Yuan Y．Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions［J］．Amer．J．Math．，2014，136（2）：481－499．
［6］Collins T C，Picard S，Wu X．Concavity of the Larangian phase operator and applications［J］．Calc． Var．，2017，56（4）：89－111．
［7］Chen Y Z，Wu L C．Second Order Elliptic Equations and Elliptic Systems［M］．Providence，RI：Amer． Math．Soc．， 1998.
［8］Gilbarg D，Trudinger N．Elliptic partial differential equations of second order［M］．New York－Berlin： Springer－Verlag， 1977.
［9］Caffarelli L，Nirenberg L，Spruck J．The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equa－ tions I，Monge－Ampère equations［J］．Comm．Pure Appl．Math．，1984，37（3）：369－402
［10］Ivochkina N M．Solutions of the Dirichlet problem for certain equations of Monge－Ampère type［J］． Math．USSR，Sb．，1987，56（1）：403－415．
［11］Trudinger N S．On the Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations［J］．Acta Math．，1995，175（2）：151－ 164.
［12］Yuan Y．Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations［J］．Proc．Amer．Math．Soc．，2006，134（5）： 1355－1358．
［13］Chen C Q，Ma X N，Wei W．The Neumann problem of special Lagrangian equations with super－ critical phase［J］．J．Differential Equations，2019，267（9）：5388－5409．
［14］Guan B．Second－order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds［J］．Duke Math．J．，2014，163（8）：1491－1524．
［15］Evans L C．Classical solutions of fully nonlinear，convex，second order elliptic equations［J］．Commun． Pure Appl．Math．，1982，35（1）：333－363．
［16］Krylov N V．Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain［J］．Math．USSR， Izv．，1983，20（1）：459－492．

## 超临界相位的 Special Lagrangian 方程的狄利克雷边值问题

朱 圣<br>（宁波大学数学与统计学院，浙江 宁波 315211）

摘要：在这篇文章中，我们介绍了一种 Special Lagrangian 方程，并且研究此方程在超临界相位时对应的狄利克雷边值问题．通过建立整体的 $C^{2}$ 估计，运用经典的连续性方法得到解的存在性．
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