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#### Abstract

In this paper，using the corresponding fundamental solution，we obtain some Hardy inequalities on half spaces for Kohn＇s sublaplacian in H－type groups．Furthermore，the constants we obtain are sharp．
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## 1 Introduction

The Hardy inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ reads that，for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $N \geq 3$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}$ in（1．1）is sharp．Recently，it was proved by Nazarov（see［12］， Proposition 4.1 and［6］）that the following Hardy inequality is valid for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x \geq \frac{N^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \frac{u(x)^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{N}>0\right\}$ ，and the constant $\frac{N^{2}}{4}$ is sharp．This shows that the Hardy constant jumps from $\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}$ to $\frac{N^{2}}{4}$ ，when the singularity of the potential reaches the boundary．Inequality（1．2）was generalized by Su and Yang［14］to the cone $\mathbb{R}_{k_{+}}^{N}:=$ $\mathbb{R}^{N-k} \times\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{k}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right) \mid x_{N-k+1}>0, \cdots, x_{N}>0\right\}$ ．For more information about this inequality and its applications，we refer to $[2,3]$ and the references therein．

The aim of this note is to prove similar Hardy type inequality on half spaces for Kohn＇s sublaplacian in H－type groups $G$ ，a remarkable class of stratified groups of step two intro－ duced by Kaplan［11］．Let $G=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \circ\right)$ with group law defined in Section 2．It was

[^0]proved by Han et al. (see [10] and [5, 8, 9, 13] for analogous inequalities on Heisenberg group) that for $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(G)$, there holds
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} u\right|^{2} d x d t \geq \frac{(Q-2)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{u^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and the constant $\frac{(Q-2)^{2}}{4}$ is sharp, where $Q=m+2 n, \rho(x, y)=\left(|x|^{4}+16|t|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\nabla_{G}$ is the the horizontal gradient associated with the Kohn's sublaplacian on $G$ (for details, see Section 2). In this note we shall show when the singularity is on the boundary, the Hardy constant also jumps. In fact, we have the following:

Theorem 1.1 Let $\alpha<Q-2$. There holds, for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{G} u\right|^{2}}{\rho^{\alpha}} d x d t \geq\left(\frac{(Q+2-\alpha)^{2}}{4}+2 \alpha\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{u^{2}}{\rho^{2+\alpha}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $\frac{(Q+2-\alpha)^{2}}{4}+2 \alpha$ in (1.4) is sharp.

## 2 Notation and Preliminaries

We begin by describing the Lie groups and Lie algebras under consideration. For more information about H-type groups, we refer to $[1,11]$ and references therein. A H-type group $G$ is a Carnot group of step two with the following properties: the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ is endowed with an inner product $\langle$,$\rangle such that, if \mathfrak{z}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\left[\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}, \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}\right]=\mathfrak{z}$ and moreover, for every fixed $z \in \mathfrak{z}$, the map $J_{z}: \mathfrak{z}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle J_{z}(v), \omega\right\rangle=\langle z,[v, \omega]\rangle, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}
$$

is an orthogonal map whenever $\langle z, z\rangle=1$. Set $m=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$ and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}$. In the sequel we shall fix on $G$ a system of coordinates $(x, t)$ and that the group law has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, t) \circ\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\binom{x_{i}+x_{i}^{\prime}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m}{t_{j}+t_{j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}<x, U^{(j)} x^{\prime}>, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $\left\{U^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ have the following two properties (see [1])
(1) $U^{(j)}$ is a $m \times m$ Skew symmetric and orthogonal matrix, for every $j=1,2, \cdots, n$;
(2) $U^{(i)} U^{(j)}+U^{(j)} U^{(i)}=0$ for every $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$.

A easy computation shows that the vector field in the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $N=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}, \circ\right)$ that agrees at the origin with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(j=1, \cdots, m)$ is given by

$$
X_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} U_{i, j}^{(k)} x_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}
$$

and that $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the left-invariant vector fields $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{m}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{n}}$. We use the notation $\nabla_{G}=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{m}\right)$ and call it the horizontal gradient. The horizontal gradient can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{G}=\nabla_{x}-\frac{1}{2} U^{(1)} x \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{2} U^{(n)} x \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{n}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$ and $\nabla_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}\right)$. The Kohn's sublaplacian on the H-type group $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{G} & =\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} U_{i, j}^{(k)} x_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\Delta_{x}+\frac{1}{4}|x|^{2} \Delta_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x, U^{(k)} \nabla_{x}\right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta_{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2}$ and $\Delta_{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}\right)^{2}$. Moreover, on functions $f(x, t)=\widetilde{f}(|x|, t)$, we have

$$
\left\langle x, U^{(k)} \nabla_{x}\right\rangle \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)=0, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{G} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)=\Delta_{x} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)+\frac{1}{4}|x|^{2} \Delta_{t} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\nabla_{G} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right|^{2} & =\left|\nabla_{x} \widetilde{f}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U^{(j)} x \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\nabla_{x} \widetilde{f}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} U^{(j)} x \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle U^{(j)} x, \nabla_{x} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right\rangle \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\left|\nabla_{x} \widetilde{f}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}|x|^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To get the last inequality, we use the fact ( $r=|x|$ in the equality below)

$$
\left\langle U^{(j)} x, \nabla_{x} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right\rangle=\left\langle U^{(j)} x, \frac{x}{|x|}\right\rangle \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial r}=0
$$

since $U^{(j)}(1 \leq j \leq n)$ is a skew-symmetric matric and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} U^{(j)} x \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}}\right|^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|U^{(j)} x \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}}\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j}\left\langle U^{(i)} x, U^{(j)} x\right\rangle \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{i}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}} \\
& =|x|^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j}\left\langle\left(U^{(j)}\right)^{T} U^{(i)} x, x\right\rangle \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{i}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}} \\
& =|x|^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right|^{2}-2 \sum_{i<j}\left\langle U^{(j)} U^{(i)} x, x\right\rangle \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{i}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}}{\partial t_{j}} \\
& =|x|^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \widetilde{f}(|x|, t)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $U^{(j)} U^{(i)}$ is also skew-symmetric for every $i \neq j$, for

$$
\left(U^{(j)} U^{(i)}\right)^{T}=\left(U^{(i)}\right)^{T}\left(U^{(j)}\right)^{T}=\left(-U^{(i)}\right)\left(-U^{(j)}\right)=U^{(i)} U^{(j)}=-U^{(j)} U^{(i)}
$$

For each real number $\lambda>0$, there is a dilation naturally associated with the group structure which is usually denoted as $\delta_{\lambda}(\xi)=\delta_{\lambda}(x, t)=\left(\lambda x, \lambda^{2} t\right), \xi=(x, t) \in G$. However, for simplicity we will write $\lambda \xi$ to denote $\delta_{\lambda}(\xi)$. The Jacobian determinant of $\delta_{\lambda}$ is $\lambda^{Q}$, where $Q=m+2 n$ is the homogeneous dimension of $G$. The anisotropic dilation structure on $G$ introduces homogeneous norm $\rho(\xi)=\rho(x, t)=\left(|x|^{4}+16|t|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. With this norm, we can define the Heisenberg ball centered at $\xi=(x, t)$ with radius $R$,

$$
B(\xi, R)=\left\{v \in G: \rho\left(\xi^{-1} \circ v\right)<R\right\}
$$

For simplicity, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{R}=B(0, R)=\{v \in G: \rho(v)<R\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any $\xi=(x, t) \neq \mathbf{0}$, set $x^{*}=\frac{x}{\rho(\xi)}, t^{*}=\frac{t}{\rho(\xi)^{2}}$ and $\xi^{*}=\left(x^{*}, t^{*}\right)$. Then $\xi^{*} \in \Sigma=$ $\{v \in G, \rho(v)=1\}$, the Heisenberg unit sphere. Furthermore, we have the following polar coordinates on $G$ (see [7]):

$$
\int_{G} f(\xi) d x d t=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} f\left(\lambda \xi^{*}\right) \lambda^{Q-1} d \sigma d r
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}(G)$ and for $\beta>-m$ (see [4]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta}:=\int_{\Sigma}\left|x^{*}\right|^{\beta} d \sigma=\frac{1}{4^{n-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\pi^{\frac{n+m}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+\beta}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{Q+\beta}{4}\right)}>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f$ on $G$ is said to be radial if $f(x, t)=\widetilde{f}(\rho)$. If $f$ is radial, it is easy to check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{G} f\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\rho)\right| \cdot\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\rho)\right| \frac{|x|}{\rho} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{G} f=\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{Q-1}{\rho} f^{\prime}\right)=\frac{|x|^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{Q-1}{\rho} f^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The Proof

Before the proof of main results, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1 Let $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$ be a radial function. There holds
(1) $\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} f\right)\right|^{2}=t_{n}^{2}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} f^{2}+\frac{t_{n}|x|^{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{2}}{\partial t_{n}}$;
(2) $\Delta_{G}\left(t_{n} f\right)=t_{n}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{Q+3}{\rho} f^{\prime}\right)\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}$.

Proof (1) Since $f$ is radial, we get, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} f\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\nabla_{x}\left(t_{n} f\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}|x|^{2}\left|\nabla_{t}\left(t_{n} f\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =t_{n}^{2}\left|\nabla_{x} f\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left(t_{n}^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} f\right|^{2}+f^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} t_{n}\right|^{2}+2 t_{n} f\left\langle\nabla_{t} t_{n}, \nabla_{t} f\right\rangle\right) \\
& =t_{n}^{2}\left(\left|\nabla_{x} f\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left|\nabla_{t} f\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} f^{2}+\frac{t_{n}|x|^{2}}{2} \cdot f \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{n}} \\
& =t_{n}^{2}\left|\nabla_{G} f\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} f^{2}+\frac{t_{n}|x|^{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{2}}{\partial t_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to use (2.7) and the desired result follows.
(2) By (2.3) and (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{G}\left(t_{n} f\right) & =\Delta_{x}\left(t_{n} f\right)+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \Delta_{t}\left(t_{n} f\right)=t_{n} \Delta_{x} f+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left(t_{n} \Delta_{t} f+2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{n}}\right) \\
& =t_{n}\left(\Delta_{x} f+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \Delta_{t} f\right)+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} f^{\prime} \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t_{n}}=t_{n} \Delta_{G} f+4 t_{n} \frac{|x|^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \cdot \frac{f^{\prime}}{\rho} \\
& =t_{n}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{Q-1}{\rho} f^{\prime}\right)\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}+t_{n}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} \cdot \frac{4 f^{\prime}}{\rho} \\
& =t_{n}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{Q+3}{\rho} f^{\prime}\right)\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1 First, we consider the case $\alpha=0$. Using the substitution $u=t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}} f$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} u\right|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left[\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right|^{2} f^{2}+\left|\nabla_{G} f\right|^{2} \frac{t_{n}^{2}}{\rho^{Q+2}}+\frac{\left\langle\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+2)}, \nabla_{G} f^{2}\right\rangle\right.}{2}\right] \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right|^{2} f^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+2)}, \nabla_{G} f^{2}\right\rangle\right)\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f^{2}\left(\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G}\left(t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+2)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that, for $g \in C^{\infty}(G)$,

$$
\Delta_{G} g^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{j}^{2} g^{2}=2 g \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{j}^{2} g+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|X_{j} g\right|^{2}=2 g \Delta_{G} g+2\left|\nabla_{G} g\right|^{2}
$$

We have, by Lemma 3.1 (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G}\left(t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+2)}=-t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}} \Delta_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right. \\
= & \left.-t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}} \cdot t_{n}\left(\frac{(Q+2)(Q+4)}{4}-\frac{(Q+2)(Q+3)}{2}\right) \rho^{-\frac{Q+6}{2}} \right\rvert\, \nabla_{G} \rho^{2} \\
= & \frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} u\right|^{2} & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f^{2}\left(\left|\nabla_{G}\left(t_{n} \rho^{-\frac{Q+2}{2}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G}\left(t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+2)}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f^{2} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{u^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we show the constant $\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4}$ in (3.1) is sharp. Consider the family of function $g_{\varepsilon}=t_{n} f_{\varepsilon}(\rho)$, where

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(\rho)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-(Q+2) / 2}, & \rho \leq \varepsilon \\ \rho^{-(Q+2) / 2}, & \rho>\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

We take $g_{\varepsilon}$ as the test function. By (2.5) and symmetry,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} & =2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla_{x} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left|\nabla_{t} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla_{x} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left|\nabla_{t} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(\left|\nabla_{x} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}\left|\nabla_{t} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t+\varepsilon^{-Q-2} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|x|^{2}}{4} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} \geq \int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} .
$$

By Lemma 3.1 (1), we have, for $\rho>\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} & =\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \rho^{-(Q+2)}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \cdot t_{n} \frac{\partial \rho^{-(Q+2)}}{\partial t_{n}} \\
& =\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \rho^{-(Q+2)}-2(Q+2) \frac{|x|^{2} t_{n}^{2}}{\rho^{Q+6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{|x|^{2}}{4} \rho^{-(Q+2)}-2(Q+2) \frac{|x|^{2} t_{n}^{2}}{\rho^{Q+6}}\right) d x d t=0$, we have

$$
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}=\frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4} \int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-Q-2} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|x|^{2}}{4} d x d t .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} u\right|^{2} d x d t}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{u^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t} \\
= & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t} \leq \frac{\int_{G}\left|\nabla_{G} g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t}{\int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2} d x d t} \\
= & \frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4}+\varepsilon^{-Q-2} \frac{\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|x|^{2}}{4} d x d t}{\int_{G \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} t_{n}^{2} \rho^{-(Q+4)}\left|\nabla_{G} \rho\right|^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{(Q+2)^{2}}{4}, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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# H型群内上半空间Hardy不等式的最佳常数问题 
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[^1]:    摘要：本文研究了H型幕零李群上Hardy不等式的问题．利用基本解的方法，获得了相关李群上的Hardy不等式，并且所得到的相关Hardy常数是最佳的．
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