# A MASCHKE TYPE THEOREM FOR PARTIAL $\pi ext{-}\text{COMODULES}$

JIA Ling<sup>1</sup>, CHEN Xiao-yuan<sup>2</sup>

(1.Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Ludong University, Yantai 264025, China) (2.Basic Department, Zhejiang Business College, Hangzhou 310053, China)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the Maschke type theorems of partial group comodules. By the methods of weak Hopf group coalgebras, we obtain the classical Maschke type theorems of Hopf algebras, which generalized those of Hopf algebras and results of [8].

**Keywords:** partial  $\pi$ -comodule; trace map; Maschke type theorem

2010 MR Subject Classification: 16W30

Document code: A Article ID: 0255-7797(2017)01-0021-07

#### 1 Introduction

Partial actions of groups as powerful tools were introduced during the study of operator algebras by Exel [2]. With the further development, many positive results were proposed [3–6]. Caenepeel and the other authors developed a theory of partial actions of Hopf algebras [1] and introduced the notion of a partial entwining structure as a generalization of entwining structure (see [9]).

On other hand, the notion of a Hopf  $\pi$ -coalgebra which generalized that of a Hopf algebra was introduced and played an important role, consequently group entwining structures and group weak entwining structure were carefully studied. Motivated by this fact, we introduce the notion of a partial group comodule and give a Maschke type theorem for them. Because the "coassociativity" of a partial structure is destroyed, the generalization is not trivial and easy.

In this paper, we first recall basic definitions of partial group comodules and give some examples. Then we state a Maschke-type theorem of partial group Hopf modules which generalizes the relevant results of Hopf modules (see [7, 8]), entwined modules, group Hopf modules, etc..

The organization of the paper is as follows: First we introduce the notion of partial group comodules and then give our main result-Maschke type theorem.

**Foundation item:** Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Provience (ZR2012AL02).

**Biography:** Jia Ling (1974–), female, born at Ji'nan, Shandong, associate professor, major in Hopf algebra.

Conventions We work over a commutative ring k. We denote by i the unit of the group  $\pi$  and use the standard (co)algebra notation, i.e.,  $\Delta$  is a coproduct,  $\varepsilon$  is a counit, m is a product and 1 is a unit. If 1 appears more than once in the same expression, then we use different 1'. The identity map from any k-space V to itself is denoted by  $id_V$ . Write  $a_{\alpha}$  for any element in  $A_{\alpha}$  and [a] for an element in  $\overline{A} = A/\ker f$ , where f is a k-linear map. For a right  $\pi$ -C-comodule M, we write  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m) = \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes m_{([1],\beta)}$  for any  $\alpha,\beta \in \pi$  and  $m \in M_{\alpha\beta}$ .

### 2 The Main Results

**Definition 2.1** A  $\pi$ -coalgebra over k is a family of  $C = \{C_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in \pi}$  of k-spaces endowed with a family k-linear maps  $\Delta = \{\Delta_{{\alpha},{\beta}} : C_{{\alpha}{\beta}} \to C_{{\alpha}} \otimes C_{{\beta}}\}_{{\alpha},{\beta} \in \pi}$  and a k-linear map  $\varepsilon : C_i \to k$  such that for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$ ,

- $(1) \ (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{C_{\gamma}}) \Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = (id_{C_{\alpha}} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}.$
- $(2) (id_{C_{\alpha}} \otimes \varepsilon) \Delta_{\alpha,i} = (\varepsilon \otimes id_{C_{\alpha}}) \Delta_{i,\alpha} = id_{C_{\alpha}}.$

Here we extend the Sweedler notation for comultiplication, we write

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum c_{\alpha\beta1\alpha} \otimes c_{\alpha\beta2\beta}, \ \alpha,\beta \in \pi, \ c \in C_{\alpha\beta}.$$

**Remark**  $(C_i, \Delta_{i,i}, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra in the usual sense.

**Definition 2.2** A Hopf π-coalgebra (Hopf group coalgebra) is a family of algebras  $H = \{H_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  and also a π-coalgebra  $\{H_{\alpha}, \Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\}, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$  endowed with a family  $S = \{S_{\alpha^{-1}} : H_{\alpha} \to H_{\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  of k-linear maps called an antipode such that for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ .

(3) 
$$\sum S_{\alpha^{-1}}(h_{1\alpha})h_{2\alpha^{-1}} = \varepsilon(h)1_{\alpha^{-1}}, \sum h_{1\alpha}S_{\alpha}(h_{2\alpha^{-1}}) = \varepsilon(h)1_{\alpha}.$$

**Definition 2.3** Let H be a Hopf group coalgebra and  $A = \{A_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in \pi}$  be a family of algebras endowed with a family of k-linear maps  $\{\rho_{{\alpha},{\beta}}: A_{{\alpha}{\beta}} \to A_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\beta}\}_{{\alpha},{\beta} \in \pi}$ . A is called a right partial group comodule-algebra if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (4)  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(ab) = \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(a)\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(b), \ a,b \in A_{\alpha\beta}.$
- (5)  $(\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{H_{\gamma}})\rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(c) = \sum c_{([0],\alpha)} 1_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes c_{([1],\beta\gamma)1\beta} 1_{([1],\beta)} \otimes c_{([1],\beta\gamma)2\gamma}, \ c \in A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$
- (6)  $\sum \varepsilon(d_{([1],i)})d_{([0],\alpha)} = d, d \in A_{\alpha}.$

**Example 1** Let H be a Hopf group coalgebra and  $e = \{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  be a central idempotent such that  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(e_{\alpha\beta})(e_{\alpha} \otimes 1_{\beta}) = e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\beta}$  and  $\varepsilon(e_i) = 1$ , then H is a right partial group comodule-algebra.

**Definition 2.4** Let H be a Hopf group coalgebra and A be a right partial group comodule-algebra. An A-module  $M = \{M_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in \pi}$  with a family of k-linear maps

$$\{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}: M_{\alpha\beta} \to M_{\alpha} \otimes H_{\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$$

is called a partial (H, A)-Hopf module if the following conditions are verified for any  $m \in M_{\alpha}$ ,  $m' \in M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ ,  $m'' \in M_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $a \in A_{\alpha\beta}$ :

- (7)  $\sum \varepsilon(m_{([1],i)})m_{([0],\alpha)} = m.$
- $(8) \ (\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{H_{\gamma}}) \rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(m') = \sum m'_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes m'_{([1],\beta\gamma)1\beta} 1_{([1],\beta)} \otimes m'_{([1],\beta\gamma)2\gamma}.$

(9) 
$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m'' \cdot a) = \sum m''_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot a_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes m''_{([1],\beta)} a_{([1],\beta)}$$

We define the coinvariants of M as

$$M^{COH} = \{ m = \{ m_{\alpha} \}_{\alpha \in \pi} \mid \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum m_{\alpha} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes 1_{([1],\beta)} \}$$

and denote  $M_A^{\pi-H}$  the category of partial (H,A)-Hopf modules.

**Example 2** Let H be a Hopf group coalgebra and A be a right partial group comodule-algebra. It is easy to prove that A is a partial (H, A)-Hopf module with the multiplications as A-actions.

**Definition 2.5** Let H ba a Hopf group coalgebra and A be a right partial group comodule-algebra. A right partial  $\pi$ -H-comodule map  $\theta = \{\theta_{\alpha} : H_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  such that  $\sum 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(1_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})) = 1_{\alpha}$  is called a right total integral of A.

**Definition 2.6** Let  $M \in M_A^{\pi-H}$  and  $\operatorname{tr} = \{\operatorname{tr}_\alpha : M_i \to M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  be a family of k-linear maps such that  $\operatorname{tr}_\alpha(m) = \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_\alpha(S_\alpha(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}))$ . Then  $\operatorname{tr}$  is called a trace map of M.

We define 
$$\hat{\operatorname{tr}}: \prod M_{\alpha} \to \prod M_{\alpha}, \ \hat{\operatorname{tr}}((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \pi}) = (\operatorname{tr}_{\alpha}(m_i))_{\alpha \in \pi}.$$

In the following parts we always suppose that

$$\sum 1_{([0],\alpha)} a \otimes 1_{([1],\beta)} b = \sum a 1_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes b 1_{([1],\beta)}$$

for any  $a \in A_{\alpha}$  and  $b \in H_{\beta}$ .

**Proposition 2.7**  $m = (m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \pi}$  is a coinvariant of M if and only if  $\operatorname{tr}(m) = m$ . **Proof** If  $m = (m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \pi} \in M^{COH}$ , then

$$\hat{\mathrm{tr}}(m) = \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})) = \sum m_{\alpha} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(1_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})) = m_{\alpha}.$$

Conversely, if  $\hat{tr}(m) = m$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\operatorname{tr}_{\alpha\beta}(m)) \\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})2\alpha^{-1}})) \otimes m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([1],\beta)} S_{\beta}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})1\beta^{-1}}) \\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}2\alpha^{-1}})) \\ &\otimes m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})1\beta} 1_{([1],\beta)} S_{\beta}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}1\beta^{-1}}) \\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})) \otimes 1_{([1],\beta)} = \sum m_{\alpha} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \otimes 1_{([1],\beta)}. \end{split}$$

Given  $M \in M_A^{\pi-H}$ , we define

$$\omega_{\alpha}: M_{i} \otimes H_{\alpha} \to M_{i} \otimes H_{\alpha}, \ \omega_{\alpha}(m \otimes h) = \sum_{i} m \cdot 1_{([0],i)} \otimes h 1_{([1],\alpha)}, \ m \in M_{i}, \ h \in H_{\alpha}.$$

It is trivial to prove that  $\omega_{\alpha}^2 = \omega_{\alpha}$ , so we can define

$$\overline{M_i \otimes H_\alpha} = (M_i \otimes H_\alpha)/\mathrm{ker}\omega_\alpha$$

as a k-space.

We claim that  $\overline{M_i \otimes H} = \{\overline{M_i \otimes H_\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \in M_A^{\pi-H}$  with the actions given by

$$[m \otimes h_{\alpha}] \cdot a_{\alpha} = \sum [m \cdot a_{([0],i)} \otimes h_{\alpha} a_{([1],\alpha)}]$$

and the partial coactions given by

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}([m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}]) = \sum [m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}]\otimes h_{\alpha\beta2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}.$$

It is easy to prove that the actions are well-defined. We only have to show the coactions are well-defined. In fact, we have

$$\begin{split} &\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}-m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}a_{([1],\alpha\beta)})\\ &=\sum m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}\\ &-m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}1_{([0],i)}'\otimes h_{\alpha\beta1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}'\otimes h_{\alpha\beta2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}'=0.\\ &\sum [m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)}\otimes [m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]_{([1],\beta\gamma)1\beta}1_{([1],\beta)}\otimes [m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]_{([1],\beta\gamma)2\gamma}\\ &=\sum [m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}'1_{([0],i)}1_{([0],i)}''\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)1\alpha}'([1]_{\alpha\beta\gamma)1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}''_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta}\\ &\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma2\beta\gamma1\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta\gamma1\beta}'([1]_{\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma2\beta\gamma2\gamma}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta\gamma2\gamma}'\\ &=\sum [m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}'1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma1\alpha\beta1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)1\alpha\beta1\alpha}'([1]_{\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta\gamma2\gamma}\\ &=\sum [m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}'1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma1\alpha\beta1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)1\alpha\beta1\alpha}'([1]_{\alpha\beta\gamma)2\gamma}\\ &\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma1\alpha\beta2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)1\alpha\beta2\beta}'([1]_{\alpha\beta\gamma)2\beta}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma2\gamma}1_{([1],\alpha\beta\gamma)2\gamma}'\\ &=(\rho_{\alpha,\beta}\otimes id_{H_{\gamma}})\rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}([m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]),\\ &\sum \varepsilon([m\otimes h_{\alpha}]_{([1],i)})[m\otimes h_{\alpha}]_{([0],\alpha)}&=\sum \varepsilon(h_{\alpha2i}1_{([1],\alpha)2i})[m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha)1\alpha})]\\ &=[m\otimes h_{\alpha}].\\ &\rho_{\alpha,\beta}([m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}]\cdot a_{\alpha\beta})&=\sum \rho_{\alpha,\beta}([m\cdot a_{\alpha\beta([0],i)}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}a_{\alpha\beta([1],\alpha\beta)}])\\ &=\sum [m\cdot a_{\alpha\beta([0],i)}1_{([0],i}\otimes h_{\alpha\beta1\alpha}a_{\alpha\beta([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}]\otimes h_{\alpha\beta2\beta}a_{\alpha\beta([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}\\ &=\sum [m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}]_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot a_{\alpha\beta([0],\alpha)}\otimes [m\otimes h_{\alpha\beta}]_{([1],\beta)}a_{\alpha\beta([1],\beta)}.\\ &\text{We define } \xi=\{\xi_{\alpha}:\overline{M_{i}\otimes H_{\alpha}}\rightarrow M_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\pi}, \text{ where}\\ &\xi_{\alpha}([m\otimes h])=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h),\ m\in M_{i},\ h\in H_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Then we claim that  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is well-defined for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ . Indeed, for any  $m \in M_i$ ,  $h \in H_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_{\alpha}(m\otimes h - m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\otimes h1_{([1],\alpha)})\\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h) - (m\cdot 1_{([0],i)})_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}((m\cdot 1_{([0],i)})_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h1_{([1],\alpha)})\\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h) - m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],i)([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([0],i)([1],\alpha^{-1})})h1_{([1],\alpha)})\\ &= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h) - m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([0],i)1\alpha^{-1}})h1_{([1],i)2\alpha}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.8** For any  $\alpha \in \pi$ ,  $\xi_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha} \circ \rho_{i,\alpha}^{M} = id_{M_{\alpha}}$ , where  $p_{\alpha} : M_{i} \otimes H_{\alpha} \to \overline{M_{i} \otimes H_{\alpha}}$  is a canonical map.

**Proof** In fact, for any  $m \in M_{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\xi_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha} \circ \rho_{i,\alpha}^{M}(m) = \sum m_{([0],i)([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([0],i)([1],\alpha^{-1})})m_{([1],\alpha)})$$

$$= \sum m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([0],i)1\alpha^{-1}}1_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})m_{([1],i)2\alpha}) = m.$$

**Lemma 2.9** If for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ ,  $h \in H_{\alpha}$ ,  $a \in A_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\sum a_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_\alpha(S_\alpha(a_{([0],i)1\alpha^{-1}})ha_{([1],i)2\alpha})=\theta_\alpha(h)a,$$

then  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is a right  $A_{\alpha}$ -linear map.

**Proof** Indeed for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ ,  $h \in H_{\alpha}$ ,  $a \in A_{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\xi_{\alpha}([m \otimes h] \cdot a) = (m \cdot a_{([0],i)})_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}((m \cdot a_{([0],i)})_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}) h a_{([1],\alpha)})$$

$$= m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot a_{([0],i)([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})} a_{([0],i)([1],\alpha^{-1})}) h a_{([1],\alpha)})$$

$$= m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot a_{([0],\alpha)} 1'_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})} a_{([0],i)1\alpha^{-1}} 1'_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}) h a_{([1],i)2\alpha})$$

$$= m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot a_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})} a_{([0],i)1\alpha^{-1}}) h a_{([1],i)2\alpha})$$

$$= m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}) h) a = \xi_{\alpha}([m \otimes h]) \cdot a.$$

**Lemma 2.10** Let H ba a Hopf group coalgebra and A be a right partial group comodule-algebra. If the condition in Lemma 2.9 is satisfied, then  $\xi = \{\xi_{\alpha}\} \in M_A^{\pi-H}$ .

**Proof** It is sufficed to prove that  $\xi$  is right partial  $\pi$ -H-colinear. In fact, for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ ,  $h \in H_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $m \in M_i$ , on one hand,

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{M} \xi_{\alpha\beta}([m \otimes h])$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha\beta}(S_{\alpha\beta}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})})h)_{([0],\alpha)}$$

$$\otimes m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([1],\beta)} \theta_{\alpha\beta}(S_{\alpha\beta}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})})h)_{([1],\beta)}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([0],\alpha)} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})2\alpha^{-1}})h_{1\alpha}) \otimes m_{([0],\alpha\beta)([1],\beta)} S_{\beta}(m_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1})1\beta^{-1}})h_{2\beta}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})2(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1}})h_{1\alpha}) \otimes m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})1\beta} 1_{([1],\beta)}$$

$$S_{\beta}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})2(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1}})h_{2\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} m_{([0],\alpha)} \cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)} \theta_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})h_{1\alpha}) \otimes 1_{([1],\beta)} h_{2\beta}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &(\xi_{\alpha}\otimes id_{H_{\beta}})\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{\overline{M_{i}\otimes H_{\alpha\beta}}}([m\otimes h])\\ &=\sum \big(m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\big)_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big((m\cdot 1_{([0],i)}\big)_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}\big)h_{1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}\big)\otimes h_{2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}\\ &=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],i)([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([0],i)([1],\alpha^{-1})}\big)h_{1\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha}\big)\otimes h_{2\beta}1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta}\\ &=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)}1_{([0],\alpha)}^{'}\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([1],\beta)1\alpha^{-1}}1_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}^{'}\big)h_{1\alpha}1_{([1],\beta)2\alpha\beta1\alpha}\big)\\ &\otimes h_{2\beta}1_{([1],\beta)2\alpha\beta2\beta}\\ &=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([1],i)1\alpha^{-1}}\big)h_{1\alpha}1_{([1],i)2\alpha}\big)\otimes h_{2\beta}1_{([1],\beta)}\\ &=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}1_{([1],i)1\alpha^{-1}}\big)h_{1\alpha}1_{([1],i)2\alpha}\big)\otimes h_{2\beta}1_{([1],\beta)}\\ &=\sum m_{([0],\alpha)}\cdot 1_{([0],\alpha)}\theta_{\alpha}\big(S_{\alpha}\big(m_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}\big)h_{1\alpha}\big)\otimes 1_{([1],\beta)}h_{2\beta}. \end{split}$$

Therefore we complete the proof. Now we can give our main result.

**Theorem 2.11** Let H be a Hopf group coalgebra and A be a right partial group comodule-algebra with a total integral  $\theta$ , and  $M, N \in M_A^{\pi-H}$ . Supposing the condition in Lemma 2.9 is satisfied, if  $f_i: M_i \to N_i$  splits as  $A_i$ -module map, then  $f = \{f_\alpha: M_\alpha \to N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$  splits as partial  $\pi$ -H-comodule map.

**Proof** Assume that there exits an  $A_i$ -module map  $g_i : N_i \to M_i$  such that  $g_i f_i = id_{M_i}$ . We define

$$\overline{g} = \{ \overline{g_{\alpha}} : N_{\alpha} \to M_{\alpha}, \ \overline{g_{\alpha}}(n) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_i(n_{([0],i)}) \otimes n_{([1],\alpha)}]), \ n \in N_{\alpha} \}_{\alpha \in \pi}.$$

First we claim that  $\overline{g_{\alpha}}$  is right  $A_{\alpha}$ -linear. In fact, for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ ,  $n \in N_{\alpha}$ ,  $a \in A_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\overline{g_{\alpha}}(n \cdot a) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],i)} \cdot a_{([0],i)}) \otimes n_{([1],\alpha)}a_{([1],\alpha)}]) \\
= \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],i)}) \cdot a_{([0],i)} \otimes n_{([1],\alpha)}a_{([1],\alpha)}]) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],i)}) \otimes n_{([1],\alpha)}]) \cdot a = \overline{g_{\alpha}}(n) \cdot a.$$

Second we prove that  $\overline{g}$  is right partial  $\pi$ -H-colinear. Indeed, for any  $n \in N_{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$$\begin{split} &(\overline{g_{\alpha}} \otimes id_{H_{\beta}}) \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{N}(n) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],\alpha)([0],i)}) \otimes n_{([0],\alpha)([1],\alpha)}]) \otimes n_{([1],\beta)} \\ &= \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],i)}) \cdot 1_{([0],i)} \otimes n_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha)}]) \otimes n_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta} \\ &= \sum \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{M} \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(n_{([0],i)}) \otimes n_{([1],\alpha\beta)}]) = \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{M} \overline{g_{\alpha\beta}}(n). \end{split}$$

Finally we have to show  $\overline{g}f = id_M$ . In fact, for any  $\alpha \in \pi$ ,  $m \in M_\alpha$ , we have

$$\overline{g_{\alpha}}f_{\alpha}(m) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(f_{\alpha}(m)_{([0],i)}) \otimes f_{\alpha}(m)_{([1],\alpha)}])$$

$$= \sum \xi_{\alpha}([g_{i}(f_{i}(m_{([0],i)})) \otimes m_{([1],\alpha)}]) = \sum \xi_{\alpha}([m_{([0],i)} \otimes m_{([1],\alpha)}])$$

$$= \xi_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha} \circ \xi_{i,\alpha}(m) = m.$$

Hence we complete the proof.

#### References

- [1] Caenepeel S, Janssen K. Partial (co)actions of hopf algebras and partial Hopf-Galois theory [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2008, 36(8): 2923–2946.
- [2] Dokuchaev M, Exel R, Piccione P. Partia representations and partial group algebras [J]. J. Algebra, 2000, 226: 251–268.
- [3] Dokuchaev M, Exel R. Associativity of crossed products by partial actions enveloping actions and partial representations [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, 357: 1931–1952.
- [4] Dokuchaev M, Ferrero M, Pacques A. Partial galois theory of commutative rings [J]. J. Pure. Appl. Algebra, to appear.
- [5] Dokuchaev M, Zhukavets N. On finite degree partial representations of group [J]. J. Algebra, 2004, 274: 309–334.

- [6] Exel R. Twisted partial actions: a classification of regular  $C^*$ -algebra bundels [J]. Proc. London Math. Soc., 1997, 74: 417–443.
- [7] Doi Y. Hopf Extensions of algebras and maschke type theorems [J]. Israel J. Math., 1990, 72(1-2): 99–108.
- [8] Jia Ling. A Maschke-type theorem for a partial entwining structure [J]. Taiwanese J. Math., 2010, 14(4): 1571–1576.
- [9] Guo Jingjing, Zhao Wenzheng. Relative Hopf modules and generalized cleft extensions [J]. J. Math., 2011, 31(3): 21–29.

## 偏 $\pi$ -余模的Maschke型定理

贾 玲¹, 陈笑缘²

(1.鲁东大学数学与统计科学学院, 山东 烟台 264025)

(2.浙江商业职业技术学院基础部, 浙江 杭州 310053)

摘要:本文研究了偏群余模的Mashcke型定理.利用弱Hopf群余代数推广Hopf代数的方法,获得了偏群余模的Mashcke型定理.推广了Hopf代数理论中的Maschke型定理和[8]的相关结论.

关键词: 偏群余模; 迹映射; Maschke型定理

MR(2010)主题分类号: 16W30 中图分类号: O153.3