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#### Abstract

In this paper，we investigate totally real submanifolds in a complex projective space．By using moving－frame method and the DDVV inequality，we obtain two rigidity theorems and an integral inequality，improve the related results．
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## 1 Introduction

Let $C P^{n+p}$ be a $2(n+p)$－dimensional complex projective space endowed with the Fubini－ Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4．Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$－dimensional submanifold in $C P^{n+p}$ ．$M^{n}$ is called totally real if each tangent space of $M^{n}$ is mapped into the normal space by the complex structure $J$ of $C P^{n+p}$ ．It plays an important role in geometry of submanifolds to investigate rigidity of totally real submanifolds in complex projective space．Totally real submanifolds in complex projective space were extensively studied and many rigidity theorems were proved，see，for example［1－6］，etc．

Recently，Cao［7］and $\mathrm{Gu}, \mathrm{Xu}$［8］proved the following rigidity theorems，respectively， by using the DDVV inequality verified by Ge and Tang［9］，Lu［10］．

Theorem A（see［7］）Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$－dimensional oriented closed minimal submanifold in an $n$－dimensional simply connected and locally symmetric Riemannian manifold $N^{n+p}$ ． Suppose the sectional curvature $K_{N}$ of $N$ satisfies $\delta \leq K_{N} \leq 1$ ．If the sectional curvature $K_{M}$ of $M^{n}$ satisfies

$$
K_{M} \geq \frac{4}{3 n(p+1)}(n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(p-1)(p+2)(1-\delta)+\left(\frac{p+2}{2(p+1)}-\frac{\delta}{p+1}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)
$$

then either $M$ is totally geodesic，or $N^{n+p}=S^{n+p}$ and $M$ is isometric to the standard immersion of the product of two spheres or the Veronese surface in $S^{4}$ ．

[^0]Theorem B (see [8]) Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional oriented compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature $H \neq 0$ in $F^{n+p}(c)$. If $c+H^{2}>0$ and

$$
K_{M} \geq \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(p-2)(p-1)}{2 p}\left(c+H^{2}\right)
$$

then $M$ is either a totally umbilical sphere $S^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c+H^{2}}}\right)$ in $F^{n+p}(c)$, the standard immersion of the product of two spheres or the Veronese surface in $S^{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c+H^{2}}}\right)$.

In this paper, we study totally real submanifolds in $C P^{n+p}$, obtain two rigidity theorems and an integral inequality, by using moving-frame method and the DDVV inequality.

Theorem 1.1 Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 2)$-dimensional compact totally real submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector $\xi \neq 0$ in $C P^{n+p}(p \geq 1)$. If the sectional curvature $R_{M}$ of $M^{n}$ satisfies

$$
R_{M} \geq \frac{n+2 p-1}{2(n+2 p)}\left(1+H^{2}\right)
$$

then $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical sphere $S^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^{2}}}\right)$, where $H$ is the mean curvature of $M^{n}$.
Theorem 1.2 Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 2)$-dimensional complete totally real pseudoumbilical submanifold in $C P^{n+p}(p \geq 1)$. If $J \xi$ is normal to $M^{n}$, then either $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical or inf $\rho \leq n\left(1+H^{2}\right)\left(n-\frac{5}{3}\right)$, where $\xi, \rho, H$ are the mean curvature vector, the scalar curvature, the mean curvature of $M^{n}$.

Compared with the result in [5], we do not need the submanifolds to have parallel mean curvature vector condition in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 2)$-dimensional compact totally real pseudoumbilical submanifold in $C P^{n+p}(p>0)$.If $J \xi$ is tangent to $M^{n}$, then

$$
\int_{M^{n}}\left[2\left(1+4 H^{2}\right) n S-3 S^{2}-5 n^{2} H^{4}-4 n^{2} H^{2}+2 n H^{2}\right] d V \leq 0
$$

where $S, H$ are the length square of the second fundamental form, the mean curvature of $M^{n}$.

## 2 Basic Formulas

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 2)$-dimensional totally real submanifold in $C P^{n+p}$. Choose a local field of orthonormal frames

$$
e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+p}, e_{1^{*}}=J e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n^{*}}=J e_{n}, e_{(n+1)^{*}}=J e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{(n+p)^{*}}=J e_{n+p}
$$

in $C P^{n+p}$, in such a way that, restricted to $M^{n}, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$ and

$$
e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+p}, e_{1^{*}}, \cdots, e_{n^{*}}, e_{(n+1)^{*}}, \cdots, e_{(n+p)^{*}}
$$

are normal to $M^{n}$. We shall make use of the following convention on the range of indices:

$$
A, B, C, \cdots=1, \cdots, n+p, 1^{*}, \cdots, n+p^{*}
$$

$$
i, j, k, \cdots=1, \cdots, n ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots=n+1, \cdots, n+p, 1^{*}, \cdots, n+p^{*}
$$

Let $\omega^{A}$ and $\omega_{B}^{A}$ be the dual frame field and the connection 1-forms of $C P^{n+p}$, respectively, then the stucture equations of $C P^{n+p}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{A} & =-\sum_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}, \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0  \tag{2.1}\\
d \omega_{A B} & =-\sum_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} K_{A B C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{A B C D}=\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}-\delta_{A D} \delta_{B C}+J_{A C} J_{B D}-J_{A D} J_{B C}+2 J_{A B} J_{C D} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricting these forms to $M^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{\alpha} & =0, \quad \omega_{\alpha i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}, \quad h=\sum_{i j \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\alpha} \\
h_{j k}^{i^{*}} & =h_{i k}^{j^{*}}=h_{i j}^{k^{*}}, \quad \xi=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right) e_{\alpha},  \tag{2.4}\\
R_{i j k l} & =K_{i j k l}+\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
h_{i j k}^{\alpha}-h_{i k j}^{\alpha} & =-K_{\alpha i j k},  \tag{2.6}\\
d \omega_{\alpha \beta} & =-\sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha \gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma \beta}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l} R_{\alpha \beta k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l},  \tag{2.7}\\
R_{\alpha \beta k l} & =K_{\alpha \beta k l}+\sum_{m}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} h_{m l}^{\beta}-h_{l m}^{\alpha} h_{k m}^{\beta}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h, \xi, R_{i j k l}, R_{\alpha \beta k l}$ are the second fundamental form, the mean curvature vector, the curvature tensor, the normal curvature tensor of $M^{n}$ and $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ is the covariant of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=|h|^{2}, H=|\xi|, H_{\alpha}=\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)_{n \times n} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar curvature $\rho$ of $M^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=n(n-1)+n^{2} H^{2}-S . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the first and second covariant derivatives of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ by $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ and $h_{i j k l}^{\alpha}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k} h_{i j k}^{\alpha} \omega_{k} & =d h_{i j}^{\alpha}-\sum_{k} h_{k j}^{\alpha} \omega_{k i}-\sum_{k} h_{i k}^{\alpha} \omega_{k j}-\sum_{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta \alpha}, \\
\sum_{l} h_{i j k l}^{\alpha} \omega_{l} & =d h_{i j k}^{\alpha}-\sum_{l} h_{l j k}^{\alpha} \omega_{l i}-\sum_{l} h_{i l k}^{\alpha} \omega_{l j}-\sum_{l} h_{i j l}^{\alpha} \omega_{l k}+\sum_{\beta} h_{i j k}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Laplacian of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}^{\alpha}=\sum_{k} h_{k k i j}^{\alpha}+\sum_{k m}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right)-\sum_{\beta k} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see $[9,10])$ Let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ be symmetric $(n \times n)$-matrices, then

$$
\sum_{r, s=1}^{m}\left\|\left[B_{r}, B_{s}\right]\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left\|B_{r}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if under rotation all $B_{r}$ 's are zero except two matrices which can be written as

$$
\tilde{B}_{r}=P\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) P^{t}, \quad \tilde{B}_{s}=P\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -\mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) P^{t}
$$

where $P$ is an orthogonal $(n \times n)$-matrix, $\left[B_{r}, B_{s}\right]=B_{r} B_{s}-B_{s} B_{r}$ is the commutator of the matrices $B_{r}, B_{s}$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [11]) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{m}(m \geq 2)$ be symmetric ( $n \times n$ )-matrices. Then

$$
-2 \sum_{\alpha \beta=1}^{m}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha}^{2} B_{\beta}^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]-\sum_{\alpha \beta=1}^{m}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} \geq-\frac{3}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

## 3 Proof of Main Theorems

Proof of Theorem $1.1 M^{n}$ is a submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector $\xi$. Choose $e_{n+1}$ such that it is parallel to $\xi$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}=n H, \quad \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}=0, \quad \alpha \neq n+1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean curvature vector $\xi$ is parallel, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\perp} \xi=d H e_{n+1}+H D^{\perp} e_{n+1}=d H e_{n+1}+H \sum_{\beta} \omega_{n+1 \beta} e_{\beta}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.2) and (2.7) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{n+1 \beta}=-\sum_{\gamma} \omega_{n+1 \gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma \beta}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l} R_{n+1 \beta k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l} R_{n+1 \beta k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we know $R_{n+1 \beta k l}=0$. Set $S_{H}=\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^{2}, \tau=S-\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^{2}$. From (2.11), noting that $M^{n}$ has parallel mean curvature vector and $\sum_{k} h_{k k i j}^{\alpha}=0$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S_{H} & =\sum_{i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i j} h_{i j}^{n+1} \Delta h_{i j}^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{n+1}\left(h_{k m}^{n+1} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{n+1} R_{m k j k}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $R_{M}(p, \pi)$ the sectional curvature of $M^{n}$ for 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{p} M$ at point $p \in M^{n}$. Set $R_{\min }(p)=\min _{\pi \subset T_{p} M} R_{M}(p, \pi)$. We choose the orthonormal fields $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ such that $h_{i j}^{n+1}=$ $\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$, hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{n+1}\left(h_{k m}^{n+1} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{n+1} R_{m k j k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} R_{i j i j} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} R_{\min } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S_{H} \geq \sum_{i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} R_{\min }
$$

It follows from $R_{M} \geq \frac{n+2 p-1}{2(n+2 p)}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$ and lemma of Hopf that $S_{H}$ is a constant, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} R_{\min }=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.6) implies that $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}, \forall i, j$ and $M^{n}$ is pseudo-umbilical. From (2.11), nothing that $M^{n}$ has parallel mean curvature vector and $\sum_{k} h_{k k i j}^{\alpha}=0$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tau= & \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right) \\
& -\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{\beta i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (2.3), (2.5), (3.1) and the fact that $M^{n}$ is pseudo-umbilical, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right) \\
= & n\left(1+H^{2}\right) \tau+\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right]-\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.3), (2.8) and (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{\beta i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k}=-\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} H_{i^{*}}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.7), for any real number $a$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tau= & \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} H_{i^{*}}^{2}-a n\left(1+H^{2}\right) \tau \\
& +(1+a) \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right)+a \sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +(1-a) \sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For fixed $\alpha$, we choose the orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ such that $h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}$. From (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} R_{i j i j} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} R_{\min } \\
& =n \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{2} R_{\min } \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.11) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \sum_{i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right) \geq n \tau R_{\min } . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a direct computation and the DDVV inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}-H_{\beta} H_{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} \geq \frac{1}{n+2 p-1} \tau^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $a=\frac{n+2 p-1}{n+2 p+1}$ in (3.10), it follows from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \triangle \tau \geq\left[-\frac{n+2 p-1}{n+2 p+1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)+\frac{2 n+4 p}{n+2 p+1} R_{\min }\right] n \tau \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $R_{M} \geq \frac{n+2 p-1}{2(n+2 p)}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$, then $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tau \geq 0$. Thus, by a well-known lemma of Hopf, we have $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tau=0$, consequently we have either
(i) $\tau=0$, or (ii) $R_{M}=\frac{n+2 p-1}{2(n+2 p)}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$.
(i) If $\tau=0$, then $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical. From (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain

$$
R_{i j i j}=1+H^{2}
$$

therefore, $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical sphere $S^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^{2}}}\right)$.
(ii) If $R_{M}=\frac{n+2 p-1}{2 n+4 p}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$, then inequality signs in (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) become equalities. Now, we will prove that case (ii) can not occur. The equality of (3.13) implies that either all $H_{\alpha}$ 's are zero or two of the $H_{\alpha}$ 's are nonzero $(\alpha \neq n+1)$. When inequality signs in (3.14) and (3.15) become equality, respectively, we get that

$$
\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{2}=\operatorname{tr} H_{\beta}^{2}(\alpha, \beta \neq n+1)
$$

and $\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} H_{i^{*}}^{2}=0$. Hence, we have that all $H_{\alpha}$ 's are zero $(\alpha \neq n+1)$. Thus, $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, $R_{i j i j}=1+H^{2}$. This leads to a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 $J \xi$ is normal to $M^{n}$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $e_{n+1}$ such that it is parallel to $\xi$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}=n H, \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}=0, \alpha \neq n+1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S= & \sum_{\alpha i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i j}^{\alpha} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right)-\sum_{\alpha \beta i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.3), (2.5), (2.8), (3.16) and the fact that $M^{n}$ is pseudo-umbilical, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha i j k m} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}\right) \\
& =n\left(1+H^{2}\right) S-n^{2} H^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right]-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \sum_{\alpha \beta i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k}=-\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} H_{i^{*}}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.16) and pseudo-umbilical condition $h_{i j}^{n+1}=H \delta_{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i j}^{\alpha}=n H \triangle H  \tag{3.20}\\
& \sum_{\alpha i j k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i k}\left(h_{i i k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=n \sum_{i}\left(\nabla_{i} H\right)^{2}  \tag{3.21}\\
& \frac{1}{2} \triangle H^{2}=H \triangle H+\sum_{i}\left(\nabla_{i} H\right)^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and pseudo-umbilical condition $h_{i j}^{n+1}=H \delta_{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right]-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} \\
= & 2 \sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right]-\sum_{\alpha \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)\right]^{2}-\left(\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\geq & -\frac{3}{2} \tau^{2}-n^{2} H^{4}=-\frac{3}{2}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)^{2}-n^{2} H^{4} . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.18)-(3.23) into (3.17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S & \geq \frac{1}{2} n \triangle H^{2}+n\left(1+H^{2}\right) S-\frac{3}{2}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)^{2}-n^{2} H^{4}-n^{2} H^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n \triangle H^{2}+\left(S-n H^{2}\right)\left[n\left(1+H^{2}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n \triangle H^{2}+\tau\left[n\left(1+H^{2}\right)-\frac{3}{2} \tau\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

By the same argument as in [5], we conclude that either $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical or

$$
\inf \rho \leq n\left(1+H^{2}\right)\left(n-\frac{5}{3}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 J is tangent to $M^{n}$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $e_{1^{*}}$ such that it is parallel to $\xi$, and $\operatorname{tr} H_{1^{*}}=n H, \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}=0, \alpha \neq 1^{*}$. This, together with (2.3) and (2.8), implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha \beta i j k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta j k} & =n^{2} H^{2}-\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} H_{i^{*}}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq n^{2} H^{2}-\operatorname{tr} H_{1^{*}}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =n^{2} H^{2}-n H^{2}-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

By the same argument as in Theorem 1.2, we conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \triangle S \geq \frac{1}{2} n \triangle H^{2}+n\left(1+H^{2}\right) S-\frac{3}{2}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)^{2}-n^{2} H^{4}-2 n^{2} H^{2}+n H^{2} .
$$

As this and $M^{n}$ is compact, we obtain

$$
\int_{M^{n}}\left[2\left(1+4 H^{2}\right) n S-3 S^{2}-5 n^{2} H^{4}-4 n^{2} H^{2}+2 n H^{2}\right] d V \leq 0
$$
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## 复射影空间中全实子流形的刚性

周俊东 ${ }^{1}$ ，徐传友 ${ }^{1}$ ，宋卫东 ${ }^{2}$
（1．阜阳师范学院数学与金融学院，安徽阜阳 236023）
（2．安徽师范大学数学与计算机科学学院，安徽 芜湖 241000）
摘要：本文研究了复射影空间中的全实子流形。通过使用活动标架的方法和DDVV不等式，得到了两个刚性定理和一个积分不等式，改进了相关的结果。
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