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#### Abstract
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<$ $1\}$ ．For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \cdots\}$ ，let

$$
\mathcal{H}[a, n]=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}): f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots\right\}
$$

Let $f$ and $g$ be two members of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ ．The function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$ ，or $g$ is said to be superordinate to $f$ ，if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$ ，analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})$ ，such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z))(z \in \mathbb{U})$ ．In such a case，we write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in \mathbb{U})$ ．Furthermore，if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ ，then we have （see［8］and［21］）

$$
f \prec g(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Definition 1.1 （see［8］）Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ ．If $\mathfrak{p}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfies the following differential subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z)\right) \prec h(z)(z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]then $\mathfrak{p}$ is called a solution of the differential subordination (1.1). The univalent function $\mathfrak{q}$ is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination (1.1), if $\mathfrak{p} \prec \mathfrak{q}$ for all $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (1.1). A dominant $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ that satisfies $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \prec \mathfrak{q}$ for all dominants $\mathfrak{q}$ of (1.1) is said to be the best dominant.

Definition 1.2 (see [9]) Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z)\right)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy the following differential superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z)\right)(z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathfrak{p}$ is called a solution of the differential superordination (1.2). An analytic function $\mathfrak{q}$ is called a subordination of the solutions of the differential superordination (1.2), if $\mathfrak{q} \prec \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (1.2). A univalent subordination $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ that satisfies $\mathfrak{q} \prec \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all subordinations $\mathfrak{q}$ of (1.2) is said to be the best subordination.

Definition 1.3 (see [9]) We denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the class of functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \backslash E(f)$, where

$$
E(f)=\left\{\xi: \xi \in \partial \mathbb{U} \text { and } \lim _{z \rightarrow \xi} f(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\xi) \neq 0(\xi \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(f))$.
Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}(p \in \mathbb{N} ; z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated essentially by Jung et al. [4], Liu and Owa [5] introduced the integral operator $Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha}: \mathcal{A}(p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)=\binom{p+\alpha+\beta-1}{p+\beta-1} \frac{\alpha}{z^{\beta}} \int_{0}^{z}\left(1-\frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} f(t) d t(\alpha>0 ; \beta>-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Q_{\beta, p}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \quad(\alpha=0 ; \beta>-1)
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by (1.3), then from (1.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)=z^{p}+\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+p)}{\Gamma(\beta+p)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+p+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+p+n)} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}(\alpha>0 ; \beta>-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified from definition (1.5) that (see [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+\beta+p-1) Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)-(\alpha+\beta-1) Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, for $p=1$, we obtain the operator $Q_{\beta, 1}^{\alpha}=Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ defined by Jung et al. [4], and studied by Aouf [16] and Gao et al. [6]. On the other hand, if we set $\alpha=1, \beta=c$ in (1.5), we obtain the generalized Libera operator $J_{c}(c>-p)$ defined by (see [1, 13]; also [19, 20])

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{c, p}^{1} f(z)=J_{c}(f)(z)=\frac{c+p}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \quad(c>-p ; z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of the principle of subordination, various subordination preserving properties involving certain integral operators for analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ were investigated by Bulbocă [2], Miller et al. [10], and Owa and Srivastava [14]. Moreover, Miller and Mocanu [9] considered differential superordinations, as the dual problem of differential subordinations (see also [3]). In the present paper, we investigate some subordination and superordination preserving properties of the integral operator $Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha}$ defined by (1.4). Also, we obtain several sandwich-type results for these multivalent functions.

In order to establish our main results, we shall require the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.1 (see [11]) Suppose that the function $H: \mathbb{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{H(i s, t)\} \leq 0
$$

for all real $s$ and $t \leq-\frac{n\left(1+s^{2}\right)}{2}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. If the function $\mathfrak{p}(z)=1+\mathfrak{p}_{n} z^{n}+\cdots$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{H\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z)\right)\right\}>0(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}\{\mathfrak{p}(z)\}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
Lemma 1.2 (see [12]) Let $\kappa, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\kappa \neq 0$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ with $h(0)=b$. If $\operatorname{Re}\{\kappa h(z)+\gamma\}>0(z \in \mathbb{U})$, then the solution of the following differential equation

$$
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{\kappa q(z)+\gamma}=h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; q(0)=b)
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfies the inequality given by $\operatorname{Re}\{\kappa q(z)+\gamma\}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
Lemma 1.3 (see [8]) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\phi(0)=a$ and let the function $q(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+\cdots$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $q(z) \neq a$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $q$ is not subordinate to $\mathfrak{p}$, then there exist points

$$
z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{U} \text { and } \xi_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(f)
$$

for which

$$
q\left(\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{U}), q\left(z_{0}\right)=\mathfrak{p}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { and } z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \xi_{0} \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)(m \geq n)
$$

where $\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r_{0}\right\}$.
A function $L(z, t)$ defined on $\mathbb{U} \times[0, \infty)$ is the subordination chain (or Löwner chain) if $L(\cdot, t)$ is analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ for all $t \in[0, \infty), L(\cdot, t)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $L\left(z, t_{1}\right) \prec L\left(z, t_{2}\right) \quad\left(z \in \mathbb{U} ; 0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 1.4 (see [9]) Let $q \in \mathcal{H}[a, 1]$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Also let

$$
\varphi\left(q(z), z q^{\prime}(z)\right)=h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

If $L(z, t)=\varphi\left(q(z), t z q^{\prime}(z)\right)$ is a subordination chain and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{H}[a, 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then

$$
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z)\right)(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

implies that $q(z) \prec \mathfrak{p}(z)$. Furthermore, if $\varphi\left(q(z), z q^{\prime}(z)\right)=h(z)$ has a univalent solution $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $q$ is the best subordinant.

Lemma 1.5 (see [15]) The function $L(z, t)=a_{1}(t) z+a_{2}(t) z^{2}+\cdots$ with $a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{1}(t)\right|=\infty$ is a subordination chain if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z \partial L(z, t) / \partial z}{\partial L(z, t) / \partial t}\right\}>0(z \in \mathbb{U} ; 0 \leq t<\infty)
$$

## 2 Main Results

First of all, we begin by proving the following subordination theorem involving the operator $Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha}$ defined by (1.4). Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\alpha \geq 1, \beta>-1,0<\lambda \leq 1, \mu>0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} g(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+p-1)^{2}-\left|\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+p-1)^{2}\right|}{4 \lambda \mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following subordination condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that

$$
\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Moreover, the function $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ is the best dominant.
Proof Let us define the functions $F$ and $G$, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \text { and } G(z)=\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first prove that, if the function $q$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of the second equation in (2.4) and using (1.6) for $g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=G(z)+\frac{\lambda z G^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.6) with respect to $z$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(z)=\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}\right) G^{\prime}(z)+\frac{\lambda z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}=q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1) / \lambda}=h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, form (2.1) and (2.8), we see that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{h(z)+\frac{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}{\lambda}\right\}>0(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Also, in view of Lemma 1.2, we conclude that the differential equation (2.8) has a solution $q \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ with $q(0)=h(0)=1$.

Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u, v)=u+\frac{v}{u+\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1) / \lambda}+\delta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (2.2). From (2.1), (2.8), together with (2.9), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{H\left(q(z), z q^{\prime}(z)\right)\right\}>0(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Now, we proceed to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{H(i s, t)\} \leq 0 \quad\left(s \in \mathbb{R} ; t \leq-\frac{1+s^{2}}{2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, from (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\{H(i s, t)\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{i s+\frac{t}{i s+\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1) / \lambda}+\delta\right\} \\
= & \frac{t \lambda \mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}{\lambda^{2} s^{2}+\mu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+p-1)^{2}}+\delta \\
\leq & -\frac{E_{\delta}(s)}{2\left[\lambda^{2} s^{2}+\mu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+p-1)^{2}\right]},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\delta}(s)=\left[\lambda \mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)-2 \delta \lambda^{2}\right] s^{2}-2 \delta \mu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+p-1)^{2}+\lambda \mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\delta$ given by (2.2), we can prove easily that the expression $E_{\delta}(s)$ in (2.11) is greater than or equal to zero, which implies that (2.10) holds true. Therefore, by using Lemma 1.1, we conclude that $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, that is, that the function $G$ defined by (2.4) is convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{U}$.

Next, we prove that $F \prec G(z \in \mathbb{U})$ holds for the functions $F$ and $G$ defined by (2.4). Without loss of generality, we assume that $G$ is analytic and univalent on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ and that $G^{\prime}(\xi) \neq 0$ for $|\xi|=1$.

Let us define the function $L(z, t)$ by

$$
L(z, t)=G(z)+\frac{\lambda(1+t)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} z G^{\prime}(z) \quad(0 \leq t<\infty ; z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Then

$$
\left.\frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=G^{\prime}(0)\left(1+\frac{\lambda(1+t)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}\right)=1+\frac{\lambda(1+t)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} \neq 0(0 \leq t<\infty ; z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

and this show that the function $L(z, t)=a_{1}(t) z+a_{2}(t) z^{2}+\cdots$ satisfies the conditions $a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{1}(t)\right|=+\infty$.

Moreover, we have
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z \partial L(z, t) / \partial z}{\partial L(z, t) / \partial t}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)+\lambda(1+t)\left(1+\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0 \quad(0 \leq t<\infty)$,
because $G$ is convex in $\mathbb{U}$. Hence, by virtue of Lemma 1.5, we deduce that $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain. We notice from the definition of subordination chain that

$$
\phi(z)=G(z)+\frac{\lambda z G^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}=L(z, 0)
$$

and

$$
L(z, 0) \prec L(z, t) \quad(0 \leq t<\infty),
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\xi, t) \notin L(\mathbb{U}, 0)=\phi(\mathbb{U}) \quad(\xi \in \partial \mathbb{U} ; 0 \leq t<\infty) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we suppose that $F$ is not subordinate $G$, then by Lemma 1.3, there exist two points $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\xi_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U}$, such that

$$
F\left(z_{0}\right)=G\left(\xi_{0}\right) \text { and } z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=(1+t) \xi_{0} G^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \quad(0 \leq t<\infty) .
$$

Thus, by means of subordination condition (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\xi_{0}, t\right)=G\left(\xi_{0}\right)+\frac{\lambda(1+t) \xi_{0} G^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}=F\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{\lambda z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} \\
= & (1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \phi(\mathbb{U}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts to (2.12). Hence, we deduce that $F \prec G$. Considering $F=G$, we know that the function $G$ is the best dominant. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

We next derive a dual result of Theorem 2.1, in the sense that subordinations are replaced by superordinations.

Theorem 2.2 Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ and suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} g(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right)
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (2.2). If the function

$$
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following superordination condition

$$
\phi(z) \prec(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

implies that

$$
\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Moreover, the function $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ is the best subordination.
Proof Let us define the functions $F$ and $G$ just as (2.4). We first observe that, if the function $q$ is defined by (2.5), then we obtain from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=G(z)+\frac{\lambda z G^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)}=\varphi\left(G(z), z G^{\prime}(z)\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove that $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. That is, the function $G$ defined by (2.4) is convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{U}$.

Next, we will show that $G \prec F$. For this purpose, we consider the function $L(z, t)$ defined by

$$
L(z, t)=G(z)+\frac{\lambda t}{\mu(\alpha+\beta+p-1)} z G^{\prime}(z) \quad(0 \leq t<\infty ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Since the function $G$ is convex in $\mathbb{U}$, we can prove easily that $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, by Lemma 1.4, we conclude that $G \prec F$. Furthermore, since the differential equation (2.13) has the univalent solution $G$, it is the best subordination of the given differential superordination. We thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

If we combine Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 , then we get the following sandwich-type theorem.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(p)(j=1,2)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi_{j}(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{j}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} g_{j}(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{j}(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{j}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (2.2). If the function

$$
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following subordination relationship

$$
\phi_{1}(z) \prec(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi_{2}(z)
$$

implies that

$$
\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Moreover, the functions $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ and $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ are, respectively, the best subordination and the best dominant.

Remark 2.1 By putting $\lambda=1$ in Theorems 2.1-2.3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and Seoudy [18].

Remark 2.2 By taking $\lambda=\mu=1$ in Theorems 2.1-2.3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and Seoudy [17].

## 3 Corollaries and Consequences

Since the assumption of Theorem 2.3 of the preceding section that the functions

$$
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \quad \text { and }\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

need to be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, is not so easy to check, we will replace these conditions by another simple conditions in the following result.

Corollary 3.1 Let $f, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(p)(j=1,2)$. Suppose that the condition (2.14) is satisfied and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\psi(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (2.2). Then the following subordination relationship

$$
\phi_{1}(z) \prec(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi_{2}(z)
$$

implies that

$$
\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Moreover, the functions $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ and $\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ are, respectively, the best subordination and the best dominant.

Proof To prove our result, we have to show that the condition (3.1) implies the univalence of $\psi$ and $F(z)=\left(\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$. Since $\delta$ given by (2.2) in Theorem 2.1 satisfies the inequality $0<\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, condition (3.1) means that $\psi$ is a close-to-convex function in $\mathbb{U}$ (see [7]) and hence $\psi$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Also, by using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove that $F$ is convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{U}$, and so the details may be omitted. Therefore, by applying Theorem 2.3, we obtain the desired result.

Upon setting $\mu=1$ in Theorem 2.3, we are easily led to the following result.
Corollary 3.2 Let $f, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(p)(j=1,2)$ and suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi_{j}(z)=\frac{(1-\lambda) Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{j}(z)+\lambda Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} g_{j}(z)}{z^{p}}(j=1,2) ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right)
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (2.2) with $\mu=1$. If the function

$$
\frac{(1-\lambda) Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)+\lambda Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following subordination relationship

$$
\phi_{1}(z) \prec \frac{(1-\lambda) Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)+\lambda Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \phi_{2}(z)
$$

implies that

$$
\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

Moreover, the functions $\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}}$ and $\frac{Q_{\beta, p}^{\alpha} g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}$ are, respectively, the best subordination and the best dominant.

By putting $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=c$ in Theorem 2.3, we can derive the following result involving the integral operator $J_{c}$ defined by (1.7).

Corollary 3.3 Let $f, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(p)(j=1,2)$ and suppose that
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi_{j}(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{j}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{g_{j}(z)}{J_{c}\left(g_{j}\right)(z)}\right)\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{j}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right)$,
where

$$
\delta=\frac{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}(c+p)^{2}-\left|\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}(c+p)^{2}\right|}{4 \lambda \mu(c+p)}(c>-p)
$$

If the function

$$
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{f(z)}{J_{c}(f)(z)}\right)\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following subordination relationship

$$
\phi_{1}(z) \prec(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{f(z)}{J_{c}(f)(z)}\right)\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi_{2}(z)
$$

implies that

$$
\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{1}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{2}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} .
$$

Moreover, the functions $\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{1}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ and $\left(\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{2}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$ are, respectively, the best subordination and the best dominant.

Further, setting $\lambda=\mu=1$ in Corollary 3.3, we have the following result.
Corollary 3.4 Let $f, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(p)(j=1,2)$ and suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\delta\left(\phi_{j}(z)=\frac{g_{j}(z)}{z^{p}}(j=1,2) ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right)
$$

where

$$
\delta=\frac{1+(c+p)^{2}-\left|1-(c+p)^{2}\right|}{4(c+p)}(c>-p) .
$$

If the function $\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following subordination relationship

$$
\frac{g_{1}(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{g_{2}(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

implies that

$$
\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{1}\right)(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{J_{c}(f)(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{J_{c}\left(g_{2}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

Moreover, the functions $\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{1}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}$ and $\frac{J_{c}\left(g_{2}\right)(z)}{z^{p}}$ are, respectively, the best subordination and the best dominant.
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## 关于Liu－Owa积分算子的双重从属保持性质

汤 获 ${ }^{1,2}$ ，邓冠铁 ${ }^{2}$ ，李书海 ${ }^{1}$<br>（1．赤峰学院数学与统计学院，内蒙古赤峰 024000）<br>（2．北京师范大学数学科学学院，北京 100875）

摘要：本文研究了单位圆盘内关于Liu－Owa 积分算子的多叶解析函数类的从属和超从属保持问题．利用微分从属的方法，获得了该类函数的中间型结果，推广和改进了一些已知结果．
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