

ROSENTHAL TYPE INEQUALITY OF B-VALUED QUASI-MARTINGALE

ZHANG Chuan-zhou, PAN Yu, ZHANG Xue-ying

(College of Science, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430065, China)

Abstract: In this paper we discuss the Rosenthal type inequality of quasi-martingale. By using good λ inequality, we prove that Rosenthal type inequality of quasi-martingale and geometric properties of Banach space are equivalent. As a consequence, we prove the law of large numbers. These conclusions generalize some known results.

Keywords: Rosenthal type inequality; quasi-martingale; geometric properties

2010 MR Subject Classification: 60G46

Document code: A

Article ID: 0255-7797(2015)01-0095-08

1 Introduction

The inequalities of partial sums have been studied by a lot of authors. The moments of random variables play an important role in limit theory of random variable sequence. By this the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund-Burkholder type inequality, Kolmogorov type inequality, Rosenthal type inequality and Bernstein inequality are discussed.

In 1970, Rosenthal [1] proved that for real valued independent random variables, the following inequality is true

$$E\left|\sum_{k=1}^n X_k\right|^r \leq B_r \max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n E|X_k|^r, \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E|X_k|^2\right)^{r/2}\right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{X_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ are independent random variables with zero mean, $r > 2$ and B_r is a positive constant only depending on r .

Martingale difference can be regarded as the generalization of independent random variables and some classical inequalities can also be generalized such as Rosenthal type inequality [2].

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 \left\{ E \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 | \Sigma_{i-1}) \right)^{r/2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^r \right\} \\ & \leq E|f_n|^r \leq c_2 \left\{ E \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 | \Sigma_{i-1}) \right)^{r/2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^r \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

* Received date: 2014-01-05

Accepted date: 2014-05-29

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11201354); Hubei Province Key Laboratory of Systems Science in Metallurgical Process (Wuhan University of Science and Technology) (Y201321) and National Natural Science Foundation of Pre-Research Item (2011XG005).

Biography: Zhang Chuanzhou (1978-), male, born at Zoucheng, Shandong, doctor, major in Banach space geometry and martingale theory.

where $(f_i, \Sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a real valued martingale, $X_1 = f_1, X_i = f_i - f_{i-1}, i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, $2 \leq r < \infty$, c_1 and c_2 are all positive constants only depending on r .

In 1981, De Acosta [3] proved the following inequality for Banach valued independent random variables which can be regarded as the generalization of Rosenthal type inequality:

$$E \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\|^r \leq c_r \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E \|X_i\|^2 \right)^{r/2} + \sum_{i=1}^n E \|X_i\|^r \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $r > 2$, $(X_i; 1 \leq i \leq n)$ are all independent random variables in Banach space and $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n \geq 1$.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $(\Sigma_n, n \geq -1)$ be an increasing σ -sub-algebra sequence in Σ , where $\Sigma = \cup_{n \geq -1} \Sigma_n$, $\Sigma_{-1} = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$.

Definition 1 [4] Let $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$ is called a α quasi-martingale if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|E(f_{n+1}|\Sigma_n) - f_n\|_{\alpha} < \infty.$$

When $\alpha = 1$, $(f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$ is called a quasi- martingale.

Definition 2 [4] Banach space X is called p smoothable, if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\rho_X(\tau) \leq c\tau^p, \tau > 0$, where

$$\rho_X(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x+y\| + \|x-y\|}{2} - 1 : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = \tau \right\}.$$

Definition 3 [4] Banach space X is called q convexiable, if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq c\varepsilon^q, 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 2$, where

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \|x-y\| = \varepsilon \right\}.$$

In this paper the following notations will be used.

Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $f = (f_n, n \geq 0)$ be an adapted process,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^* &= \sup_{n \geq k \geq 0} \|f_k\|, & f^* &= \sup_{n \geq 0} \|f_n\|; \\ df_n &= f_n - f_{n-1}, & n \geq 0, & f_{-1} = 0; \\ S^{(p)}(f) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|df_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}, & S_n^{(p)}(f) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \|df_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}; \\ \sigma^{(p)}(f) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1}) \right)^{1/p}, & \sigma_n^{(p)}(f) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1}) \right)^{1/p}; \\ R_n(f) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \|E(df_i | \Sigma_{i-1})\|, & R(f) &= \sup_{n \geq 0} R_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, the constants c may denote different constants in different contexts.

3 Main Results

Lemma 1 [2] Let $r > 1, \beta > 1, \delta > 0$, ξ and η be two non-negative random variables. If for all $\delta > 0$ small enough, there exists constant ε_δ satisfying $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_\delta = 0$, such that

$$P(\xi > \beta\lambda, \eta \leq \delta\lambda) \leq \varepsilon_\delta P(\xi > \lambda), \quad \forall \lambda > 0,$$

then there exists a constant c such that $E(\xi^r) \leq cE(\eta^r)$.

Lemma 2 [5] Let X be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is p smoothable;
- (2) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every X -valued quasi-martingale $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$, $\|f^*\|_p \leq c(\|\sigma^{(p)}(f)\|_p + \|R(f)\|_p)$.

Lemma 3 [5] Let X be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is q convexifiable;
- (2) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every X -valued quasi-martingale $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$, $\|S^{(q)}(f)\|_q \leq c(\|f^*\|_q + \|R(f)\|_q)$.

Remark If $\|\cdot\|_q$ is replaced by any $\|\cdot\|_r$, $r \geq q$ in Lemma 3, the conclusion is also true.

Theorem 1 Let X be a Banach space, $1 < p \leq 2$, $p \leq r < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is p smoothable;
- (2) There exists a constant $c > 0$ only depending on p and r such that for every X -valued quasi-martingale $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$,

$$\|f_n^*\|_r^r \leq c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_r^r + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|_r^r)), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Proof (1) $\implies$ (2) Suppose X is p smoothable. Let $\xi = \max_{i \leq n} \|f_i\|$,

$$\eta = \max\{\sigma_n^{(p)}(f), R_n(f), \max_{i \leq n} \|df_i\|\}$$

and $\varepsilon = \delta^p/(\beta - \delta - 1)^p$, where $\beta > 1, 0 < \delta < \beta - 1$.

Let

$$A_k = \{\omega | \lambda < \max_{i \leq k-1} \|f_i(\omega)\| \leq \beta\lambda, \quad \max_{i \leq k-1} \|df_i(\omega)\| \leq \delta\lambda, \max\{\sigma_k^{(p)}(f)(\omega), R_k(f)(\omega)\} \leq \delta\lambda\}$$

and $T_i = \sum_{k=1}^i \chi_{A_k} df_k, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $T = (T_i, \Sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ is an X -valued quasi-martingale. Now we let

$$k_0 = \inf\{k; \lambda < \max_{i \leq k-1} \|f_i(\omega)\|, 1 \leq k \leq n\}, n_0 = \sup\{k; \max_{i \leq k-1} \|f_i(\omega)\| \leq \beta\lambda\}.$$

Then $1 \leq k_0 < n_0 \leq n$ and $\|f_{k_0-1}\| > \lambda$, $\|f_{n_0}\| > \beta\lambda$.

On the set $\{\xi > \beta\lambda, \eta \leq \delta\lambda\}$, we have $T_n = \sum_{i=k_0}^{n_0} df_i = f_{n_0} - df_{k_0} + f_{k_0-1}$ and

$$\|T_n\| \geq \|f_{n_0}\| - \|df_{k_0}\| - \|f_{k_0-1}\| \geq (\beta - \delta - 1)\lambda. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover

$$P(\xi > \beta\lambda, \eta \leq \delta\lambda) \leq P(\|T_n\| \geq (\beta - \delta - 1)\lambda) \leq (\beta - \delta - 1)^{-p} \lambda^{-p} E(T^*)^p. \quad (3.2)$$

Since X is p smoothable, by Lemma 2 we have

$$E(T^*)^p \leq c(\|\sigma^{(p)}(T)\|_p + \|R(T)\|_p)^p \leq c(\|\sigma^{(p)}(T)\|_p^p + \|R(T)\|_p^p).$$

By calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^{(p)}(T)\|_p^p &= E\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} E(\|df_k\|^p | \Sigma_{k-1})\right] \\ &\leq E\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{\{\omega | \lambda < \max_{i \leq k-1} \|f_i(\omega)\|, \sigma_n^{(p)}(f)(\omega) \leq \delta\lambda\}} E(\|df_k\|^p | \Sigma_{k-1})\right] \\ &\leq c\delta^p \lambda^p P(\max_{i \leq n} \|f_i\| > \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(T)\|_p^p &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(dT_k | \Sigma_{k-1}) \right\|_p^p = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} E(df_k | \Sigma_{k-1}) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq E\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{\{\omega | \lambda < \max_{i \leq k-1} \|f_i(\omega)\|, R_k(f)(\omega) \leq \delta\lambda\}} \|E(df_k | \Sigma_{k-1})\|^p\right] \\ &\leq c\delta^p \lambda^p P(\max_{i \leq n} \|f_i\| > \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we have $P(\xi > \beta\lambda, \eta \leq \delta\lambda) \leq c(\beta - \delta - 1)^{-p} \delta^p P(\max_{i \leq n} \|f_i\| > \lambda)$, where $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (\beta - \delta - 1)^{-p} \delta^p = 0$. By Lemma 1, we have $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n^*\|_r^r = E(\xi^r) &\leq cE(\eta^r) \leq c(E(\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)^r) + E((\max_{i \leq n} \|df_i\|)^r) + E(R_n(f)^r)) \\ &\leq c(E(\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)^r) + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) + E(R_n(f)^r)) \\ &= c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_r^r + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

(2) $\implies$ (1) Suppose (2) is true. Let $r = p$. For all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f_n^*\|_p &\leq c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_p^p + \|R_n(f)\|_p^p + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^p))^{1/p} \\
 &\leq c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_p + \|R_n(f)\|_p + E(\sum_{i=1}^n \|df_i\|^p)^{1/p}) \\
 &= c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_p + \|R_n(f)\|_p + E(\sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1}))^{1/p}) \\
 &\leq c(\|\sigma_n^{(p)}(f)\|_p + \|R_n(f)\|_p). \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, X is p -smoothable.

Theorem 2 Let X be a Banach space, $2 \leq q < \infty, q \leq r < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is q convexifiable;
- (2) There exists a constant $c > 0$ only depending on p and r such that for every X -valued quasi-martingale $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$,

$$\|\sigma_n^{(q)}(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) \leq c\{\|f_n^*\|_r^r + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r\}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Proof (1) $\implies$ (2) Suppose X is q -convexifiable, by Remark there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every X -valued quasi-martingale $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$

$$\|S^{(q)}(f)\|_r \leq c(\|f^*\|_r + \|R(f)\|_r), \quad r \geq q. \tag{3.7}$$

By the fact $\sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n \|df_i\|^r) \leq E((\sum_{i=1}^n \|df_i\|^q)^{r/q} = \|S_n^{(q)}(f)\|_r^r)$, $r \geq q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\sigma_n^{(q)}(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) &\leq c\|S_n^{(q)}(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) \\
 &\leq c\|S_n^{(q)}(f)\|_r^r \leq cE(\max_{i \leq n} \|f_i\|^r) + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) $\implies$ (1) Suppose (2) is true. Let $r = q$. By the fact

$$\|S_n^{(q)}(f)\|_q^q = E(\sum_{i=0}^n \|df_i\|^q) = \|\sigma_n^{(q)}(f)\|_q^q,$$

we have

$$\|S_n^{(q)}(f)\|_q^q = 1/2(\|\sigma_n^{(q)}(f)\|_q^q + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^q)) \leq c\{\|f_n^*\|_q^q + \|R_n(f)\|_q^q\}.$$

Thus

$$\|S^{(q)}(f)\|_q \leq c(\|f^*\|_q + \|R(f)\|_q).$$

By Lemma 3 X is q -convexifiable.

Corollary 1 Let X be a Banach space, $2 \leq r < \infty$, Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is a Hilbert space;
- (2) There exists a constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} & c^{-1}(\|\sigma_n^{(2)}(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r)) \\ & \leq \|f_n^*\|_r^r + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r \leq c(\|\sigma_n^{(2)}(f)\|_r^r + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|df_i\|^r) + \|R_n(f)\|_r^r), \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 Let X be a p -smoothable Banach space, $1 < p \leq 2, p \leq r < \infty$. If $f = (f_n, \Sigma_n, n \geq 0)$ is an X -valued quasi-martingale satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{E(\|df_n\|^r | \Sigma_{n-1})}{n^r})^{1/r} < \infty$ a.s., then $\frac{1}{n}f_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n df_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{E(\|df_n\|^r | \Sigma_{n-1})}{n^r})^{1/r} < \infty$ a.s. for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $\mathbf{N}$, such that

$$\sum_{n=\mathbf{N}+1}^{\infty} (\frac{E(\|df_n\|^r | \Sigma_{n-1})}{n^r})^{1/r} \leq \varepsilon < 1 \quad \text{a.s..}$$

Now, for any $m > n \geq \mathbf{N}$, let $dg_i = \frac{df_i}{i}$, then $\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{df_i}{i} = \sum_{i=n+1}^m dg_i = g_m - g_n := g_m^{(n)}$. By the fact $dg_i^{(n)} = g_i^{(n)} - g_{i-1}^{(n)} = g_i - g_{i-1} = dg_i = \frac{df_i}{i}, (i > n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \|E(g_{m+1}^{(n)} | \Sigma_m) - g_m^{(n)}\|_1 = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \|\frac{E(df_{m+1} | \Sigma_m)}{m+1}\|_1 \\ & \leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \|E(f_{m+1} | \Sigma_m) - f_m\|_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we know $g^{(n)} = (g_m^{(n)}, m \geq n)$ is a quasi-martingale.

By Theorem 1, we have

$$\|g_m^{(n)}\|_r^r \leq c \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m E(\|dg_i^{(n)}\|^r) + \|\sigma_m^{(p)}(g^{(n)})\|_r^r + \|R_m(g^{(n)})\|_r^r \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{df_i}{i} \right\|^r \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{E\|df_i\|^r}{i^r} + E \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^p} \right)^{r/p} + E \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{\|E(df_i | \Sigma_{i-1})\|}{i} \right)^r \right\}. \\ & = : I + II + III. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.},$$

then

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{E(\|df_n\|^r | \Sigma_{n-1})}{n^r} < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\|df_i\|^r)}{i^r} \\ &= E\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right) \\ &= E\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1-1/r}\right) \\ &\leq E\left(\left(\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{E(\|df_n\|^r | \Sigma_{n-1})}{n^r}\right)^{1-1/r} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1/r}\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Since $r \geq p$, by Jensen inequality

$$\frac{E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^p} \leq \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{p/r} \leq \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1/r}, \quad \forall i \geq 1,$$

then

$$II = E\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{E(\|df_i\|^p | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^p}\right) \leq E\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1/r}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.12)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{\|E(df_i | \Sigma_{i-1})\|}{i} &\leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{E(\|df_i\| | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m \left(\frac{E(\|df_i\|^r | \Sigma_{i-1})}{i^r}\right)^{1/r} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$III = E\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{\|E(df_i | \Sigma_{i-1})\|}{i}\right)^r \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.13)$$

By (14), (15) and (16) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\|\sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{df_i}{i}\right\|^r = 0$ a.s. and $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{df_i}{i}$ is convergent almost everywhere. By Kronecher lemma $\frac{1}{n}f_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n df_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

References

- [1] Rosenthal H P. On the estimations of sums of independent random variable[J]. Israel J.Math., 1970, 8: 273–303.
- [2] Hall P, Heyde C C. Martingale limit theory and its application[M]. New York: Academic Press, 1980.
- [3] Acosta A De. In equalities for B-valued random vectors with applications to the large numbers[J]. Ann. Probab., 1981, 9: 157–161.
- [4] Liu P D. Martingale and geometric properties of Banach spaces[M]. Beijing: Academic Press, 2007.
- [5] Li Y F, Liu P D. Atomic Decompositions for B-valued quasi-martingales[J]. Journal of Wuhan University: Natural Science Edition, 2006, 52(3): 267–272.

B值拟鞅Rosenthal型不等式

张传洲, 潘 誉, 张学英

(武汉科技大学理学院, 湖北 武汉 430065)

摘要: 本文研究了拟鞅Rosenthal型不等式的问题. 利用好 λ 不等式得到拟鞅Rosenthal型不等式与值空间几何性质间的等价刻画, 进而得到大数定律. 这些结论丰富了已有结果.

关键词: Rosenthal 型不等式; 拟鞅; 几何性质

MR(2010)主题分类号: 60G46 中图分类号: O174.2