

ANNOUNCEMENT ON “MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR NON-UNIFORMLY PARABOLIC EQUATIONS AND APPLICATIONS”

ZHANG Xi-cheng

(*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China*)

Abstract: In this note we announce the global boundedness for the solutions to a class of possibly degenerate parabolic equations by De-Giorgi's iteration. In particular, the existence of weak solutions for possibly degenerate stochastic differential equations with singular diffusion coefficients is obtained.

Keywords: maximum principle; De-Giorgi's iteration; stochastic differential equation; Krylov's estimate

2010 MR Subject Classification: 35K10; 60H10

Consider the following elliptic equation of divergence form in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$):

$$\operatorname{div}(a \cdot \nabla u) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $a : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a Borel measurable function and $\nabla := (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_d})$. When a is uniformly elliptic, the celebrated works of De-Giorgi [1] and Nash [2] said that any weak solutions of elliptic equation (1) are bounded and Hölder continuous. Moreover, Moser [3] showed that any weak solutions of (1) satisfy the Harnack inequality. In [4], Trudinger considered the non-uniformly elliptic equation (1) under the following integrability assumptions:

$$\lambda_0^{-1} \in L^{p_0}, \quad \mu_0 \in L^{p_1} \quad \text{with } p_0, p_1 \in (1, \infty] \text{ satisfying } \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} < \frac{2}{d},$$

where

$$\lambda_0(x) := \inf_{|\xi|=1} \xi \cdot a(x) \xi, \quad \mu_0(x) := \sup_{|\xi|=1} \frac{|a(x) \xi|^2}{\xi \cdot a(x) \xi}. \quad (2)$$

He showed that any generalized solutions of (1) are locally bounded and weak Harnack inequality holds. Recently, Bella and Schäffner [5] showed the same results under the following *sharp* condition on p_0, p_1 ,

$$\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} < \frac{2}{d-1}, \quad p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty], \quad (3)$$

Here we extend the main result of [5] to parabolic case. More precisely, we consider the following parabolic equation of divergence form in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$:

$$\partial_t u = \operatorname{div}(a \cdot \nabla u) + b \cdot \nabla u + f, \quad (4)$$

* Received date: 2020-10-03

Accepted date: 2020-11-17

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11731009).

Biography: Zhang Xicheng (1973–), male, professor, major in stochastic analysis.

where

$$a : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \quad b : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are Borel measurable functions. As in (2), we introduce

$$\lambda(x) := \inf_{t \geq 0, |\xi|=1} \xi \cdot a(t, x) \xi, \quad \mu(x) := \sup_{t \geq 0, |\xi|=1} \frac{|a(t, x) \xi|^2}{\xi \cdot a(t, x) \xi}, \quad (5)$$

and suppose that λ and μ are nonnegative Borel measurable functions.

Definition 0.1 A continuous function $u : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Lipschitz weak (super/sub)-solution of PDE (4) if ∇u is locally bounded and for any nonnegative Lipschitz function φ on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with compact support,

$$-\langle u, \partial_t \varphi \rangle = (\geq / \leq) - \langle a \cdot \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle + \langle b \cdot \nabla u, \varphi \rangle + \langle f, \varphi \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x) g(t, x) dx dt$.

For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, let $\mathbb{L}_{t,x}^{q,p} := L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mathbb{L}_{x,t}^{p,q} := L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; L^q(\mathbb{R}))$ be the space of space-time functions with norms, respectively,

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_{t,x}^{q,p}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_{x,t}^{p,q}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(\cdot, x)\|_q^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ stands for the usual L^p -norm. For $r > 0$ and $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, we define

$$Q_r := [-r^2, r^2] \times B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad Q_r^{s,z} := Q_r + (s, z), \quad B_r^z := B_r + z,$$

and for $p \in [1, \infty]$, introduce the following localized L^p -space:

$$\tilde{L}^p := \left\{ f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_p := \sup_z \|\mathbf{1}_{B_1^z} f\|_p < \infty \right\}, \quad (7)$$

and for $p, q \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q,p} := \left\{ f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) : \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q,p}} := \sup_{s,z} \|\mathbf{1}_{Q_1^{s,z}} f\|_{\mathbb{L}_{t,x}^{q,p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad (8)$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p,q}$.

Below we fix $p_0 \in (\frac{d}{2}, \infty]$ and $p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ with

$$\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} < \frac{2}{d-1}, \quad (9)$$

and introduce the index set

$$\mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d := \left\{ (p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2 : \frac{1}{p} < (1 - \frac{1}{q})(\frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{p_0}) \right\}.$$

We make the following assumptions about a and b :

(H^a) $\|\lambda^{-1}\|_{p_0} + \|\mu\|_{p_1} < \infty$, where λ, μ are defined by (5).

(H^b) $b = b_1 + b_2$, where if $p_0 \in (\frac{d}{2}, d]$, $b_1 \equiv 0$, and if $p_0 > d$, $b_1 \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_2, p_2}$ for some $(p_2, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ with

$$\frac{1}{2p_0} + \frac{1}{p_2} < (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2})(\frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{p_0}), \quad (10)$$

and $b_2 \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p_1, \infty}$ and $\operatorname{div} b_2 \equiv 0$.

For simplicity of notations, we introduce the following parameter set

$$\Theta := \left(d, p_i, q_i, \|\lambda^{-1}\|_{p_0}, \|\mu\|_{p_1}, \|b_1\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_2,p_2}}, \|b_2\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p_1,\infty}} \right). \quad (11)$$

We have the following apriori estimate.

Theorem 0.2 Under $(\mathbf{H}^a)$ and $(\mathbf{H}^b)$, for any $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_4,p_4}$ with $(p_4, q_4) \in \mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d$ and for any $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(T, \Theta, p_4, q_4) > 0$ such that for any Lipschitz weak solution u of PDE (4) in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with $u(t)|_{t \leq 0} \equiv 0$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_4,p_4}}. \quad (12)$$

Consider the following heat equation with divergence free drift b :

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f, \quad u(t)|_{t \leq 0} = 0. \quad (13)$$

The following apriori global boundedness estimate is a direct consequence of Theorem 0.2.

Corollary 0.3 Let $b \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p,\infty}$ with $\operatorname{div} b = 0$, where $p \in [1, \infty] \cap (\frac{d-1}{2}, \infty]$. For any $T > 0$ and $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q',p'}$, where $p', q' \in [1, \infty]$ satisfy $\frac{d}{p'} + \frac{2}{q'} < 2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on T, d, p, p', q' and $\|b\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p,\infty}}$ such that for any Lipschitz weak solution u of (13),

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q',p'}}. \quad (14)$$

Remark 0.4 Note that when $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 2$ and $b \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q,p}$ with $\operatorname{div} b = 0$, it is well known that (14) holds (cf. [6], [7]). When b does not depend on t , the current condition $p > \frac{d-1}{2}$ in Corollary 0.3 is clearly better than $p > \frac{d}{2}$.

As an application of the global boundedness estimate (12), we consider the following SDE:

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2}\sigma(t, X_t)dW_t + b(t, X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x, \quad (15)$$

where W is a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion. We recall the following notion of weak solutions to SDE (15).

Definition 0.5 Let $\mathfrak{F} := (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}; (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0})$ be a stochastic basis and (X, W) a pair of $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted processes defined thereon. We call triple $(\mathfrak{F}, X, W)$ a weak solution of SDE (15) with starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if

- (i) $\mathbf{P}(X_0 = x) = 1$ and W is an $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Brownian motion;
- (ii) for all $t \geq 0$, it holds that $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s.

$$\int_0^t \left(|\sigma(s, X_s)|^2 + |b(s, X_s)| \right) ds < \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad \text{and } X_t = x + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds.$$

We make the following assumptions about σ and b .

$(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^\sigma)$ Suppose that there are a sequence of $d \times d$ -matrix functions $\sigma_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; C_b^\infty)$, $(p_2, q_2) \in \mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d$ and $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\lambda_n^{-1}\|_{p_0} + \|\mu_n\|_{p_1} + \|\partial_i a_n^{ij}\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{x,t}^{p_1,\infty}} + \|(\partial_i \partial_j a_n^{ij})^+\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_2,p_2}} \leq \kappa_0, \quad (16)$$

where $a_n := \sigma_n \sigma_n^*$, λ_n and μ_n are defined as in (5) by a_n . Moreover, for some $p_3, q_3 \in [2, \infty]$ with $(\frac{p_3}{2}, \frac{q_3}{2}) \in \mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d$ and for any $T, R > 0$,

$$\sup_n \|\sigma_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_3,p_3}} =: \kappa_1 < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\sigma_n - \sigma)\mathbf{1}_{[0,T] \times B_R}\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_3,p_3}} = 0. \quad (17)$$

($\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^b$) Let $b = b_1 + b_2$ satisfy ($\mathbf{H}^b$) and $b \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q_4,p_4}$ for some $(p_4, q_4) \in \mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d$.

We have the following existence result.

Theorem 0.6 Under ($\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^\sigma$) and ($\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^b$), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is at least one weak solution $(\mathfrak{F}, X, W)$ for SDE (15). Moreover, for any $(p, q) \in \mathbb{I}_{p_0}^d$ and $T > 0$, there are $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and constant $C = C(T, \Theta, p, q) > 0$ such that for any stopping time $\tau \leq T$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q,p}$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left(\int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} f(s, X_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right) \leq C \delta^{\theta} \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,x}^{q,p}}. \quad (18)$$

The following two examples can be derived from the above existence result.

Example 0.7 Let $d \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in (0, (\frac{d}{2} - 1) \wedge (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{d-1}))$, $\beta \in (0, 2\alpha)$. For any $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following SDE admits a unique strong solution:

$$dX_t = |X_t|^{-\alpha} dW_t + \lambda X_t |X_t|^{-\beta-1} dt, \quad X_0 = x.$$

Note that the starting point can be zero and the uniqueness follows from [8].

Example 0.8 The following two dimensional degenerate SDE admits a solution:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^1 = |X_t^2|^{\alpha} dW_t^1 + b^1(X_t) dt, \\ dX_t^2 = |X_t^1|^{\alpha} dW_t^2 + b^2(X_t) dt, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $b = (b^1, b^2) \in \tilde{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for some $p > \frac{1}{1-2\alpha}$.

More details can be found in [9].

References

- [1] De Giorgi E. Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari[J]. Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino. (ser 3a), 1957, 3: 25–43.
- [2] Nash J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations[J]. Amer. J. Math., 1958, 80: 931–954.
- [3] Moser J. On Harnack's theorem for elliptic differential equations[J]. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1961, 14: 577–591.
- [4] Trudinger, Neil S. On the regularity of generalized solutions of linear, non-uniformly elliptic equations[J]. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 1971, 42: 50–62.
- [5] Bella P, Schäffner M. Local boundedness and harnack inequality for solutions of linear nonuniformly elliptic equations[J]. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21876>.
- [6] Nazarov A, Ural'tseva N N. The Harnack inequality and related properties for solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations with divergence-free lower-order coefficients[J]. St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal, 2012, 23(1): 93–115.
- [7] Zhang X, Zhao G. Stochastic Lagrangian path for Leray solution of 3D Navier-Stokes equations[J]. Comm. Math. Phys., Preprint.
- [8] Wang Z, Zhang X. Existence and uniqueness of degenerate SDEs with Hölder diffusion and measurable drift[J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2020, 484: 123679.
- [9] Zhang X. Maximum principle for non-uniformly parabolic equations and applications. Preprint.