数	学	杂	志
J. of	Mat	h. (F	PRC)

Vol. 36 ( 2016 ) No. 3

# CERTAIN NEW SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO k-SYMMETRIC POINTS

# SHI Lei, WANG Zhi-gang

(School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455000, China)

**Abstract:** In this article, we introduce two new subclasses  $R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$  and  $K_m^s(\alpha,b,k,\lambda,\delta)$  of analytic functions with respect to k-symmetric points. By using the principle of subordination, we obtain the integral representations, coefficient inequalities, covering theorems and arc-length estimates for these function classes, which would provide extensions of those given in earlier works.

Keywords: analytic functions; k-symmetric points; subordination; arc-length 2010 MR Subject Classification: 30C45; 30C80

Document code: A Article ID: 0255-7797(2016)03-0501-10

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the unit disk  $\mathbb{U} := \{z : |z| < 1\}.$ 

Let  $S, S^*(\gamma), C(\gamma), K(\gamma)$  be the subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose members are univalent, starlike of order  $\gamma$ , convex of order  $\gamma$ , and close-to-convex of order  $\gamma$ , respectively, where  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ .

Let f and g be analytic in U. Then f is said to be subordinate to g, written  $f \prec g$ , if there exists an analytic function  $\omega(z)$ , with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and |w(z)| < 1 such tat  $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ . Indeed, it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in \mathbb{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

\* Received date: 2013-09-20 Accepted date: 2013-12-24

**Biography:** Shi Lei(1982–), male, born at Xinyang, Henan, lecturer, master, major in complex analysis.

**Foundation item:** Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (11301008; 11226088); the Key Project of Natural Science Foundation of Educational Committee of Henan Province (14B110012); the Foundation for Excellent Youth Teachers of Colleges and Universities of Henan Province (2013GGJS-146).

Sakaguchi [13] introduced a class  $S_s^*$  of starlike functions with respect to symmetric

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Since then, many authors discussed this class and its subclasses. Also, a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  is in the class  $C_s$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S_s^*$ .

Let  $P_m(\gamma)$  be the class of functions p analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  satisfying the conditions p(0) = 1and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right| d\theta \le m\pi \qquad (0 \le \gamma < 1; \ m \ge 2; \ z = re^{i\theta}).$$

This class was introduced in [11]. We note that  $P_m(0) \equiv P_m$  is introduced in [12] and  $P_2(\gamma) \equiv P(\gamma)$  is the class of functions with positive real part of order  $\gamma$ . With  $m = 2, \gamma = 0$ , we have the class P of functions with positive real part.

The classes  $V_m(\gamma)$  of functions of bounded boundary rotation of order  $\gamma$  and  $R_m(\gamma)$  of functions of bounded radius rotation of order  $\gamma$  are closely related with  $P_m(\gamma)$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $V_m(\gamma)$  if and only if

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \in P_m(\gamma) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Moreover, we know that

points which satisfy the inequality

$$f \in R_m(\gamma) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_m(\gamma) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Motivated essentially by the above work, we introduce and study the following classes  $R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$  and  $K_m^s(\alpha,b,k,\lambda,\delta)$  with respect to k-symmetric points.

**Definition 1.1** Suppose that  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,  $m \ge 2$  and k is a fixed positive integer. A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z)} - 1 \right\} \in P_m \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$
(1.2)

where  $f_k(z)$  is defined by

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} f(\varepsilon^{\nu} z) \qquad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \varepsilon = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right) \right). \tag{1.3}$$

**Remark 1.1** For some recent investigations on analytic functions involving k-symmetric points, one can refer to [6, 14–17].

**Definition 1.2** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $m \ge 2$  and  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{g_k(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \in P_m(\delta) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
(1.4)

for some  $g \in R_2^s(b, k, \lambda)$ .

No. 3

**Remark 1.2** For special choices of  $\alpha$ , b, k,  $\lambda$ , m and  $\delta$ , several related function classes have been studied extensively, see for example [1, 2, 8–10].

In the present paper, we aim at proving some basic properties of the classes  $R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ and  $K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$ . Such results as integral representations, coefficient inequalities, covering theorems and arc-length estimates are derived. The results presented here would provide extensions of those given in earlier works.

## 2 Preliminary Results

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [3]) Let h be convex in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$ . If q is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with q(0) = h(0), then

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec h(z).$$
(2.1)

**Lemma 2.2** (see [5]) If  $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \le \alpha < 1$  and |z| = r < 1, then

$$\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \le |f(z)| \le \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2(1-\alpha)}}.$$
(2.2)

**Lemma 2.3** (see [7]) Let  $p \in P_m(\gamma)$  and |z| = r < 1. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(z)|^2 d\theta \le \frac{1 + [m^2(1-\gamma)^2 - 1]r^2}{1 - r^2}.$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.4** (see [4]) Let q be univalent in U. Then there exists a point  $\xi$  with  $|\xi| = r$  such that for all z, |z| = r,

$$|z - \xi| |q(z)| \le \frac{2r^2}{1 - r^2}.$$
(2.4)

# **3** Some Properties of the Classes $R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$ and $K_m^s(\alpha,b,k,\lambda,\delta)$

We begin by stating the following result which involved the connections between  $R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$ and  $R_m(1-b)$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . Then

$$(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z) \in R_m(1-b) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(3.1)

**Proof** Let

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z)$$
(3.2)

and

$$F_k(z) = (1 - \lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z).$$
(3.3)

Then condition (1.2) can be written as

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zF'(z)}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right) = p(z)$$
(3.4)

for some  $p \in P_m$ . Substituting z by  $\varepsilon^{\mu} z (\mu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1)$  in (3.4) gives

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu} z f'(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) + \lambda(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)^2 f''(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)}{(1 - \lambda) f_k(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) + \lambda \varepsilon^{\mu} z f'_k(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)} - 1 \right) = p(\varepsilon^{\mu} z).$$
(3.5)

We note that  $f_k(\varepsilon^{\nu}z) = \varepsilon^{\nu}f_k(z)$ ,  $f'_k(\varepsilon^{\nu}z) = f'_k(z)$  and  $\varepsilon^{\mu}f''_k(\varepsilon^{\nu}z) = f''_k(z)$ . Thus taking  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$  in (3.5), respectively, and summing the resulting equations, we get

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zF'_k(z)}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} p(\varepsilon^{\mu} z).$$
(3.6)

Since  $P_m$  is a convex set, it is clear that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zF'_k(z)}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right) \in P_m, \tag{3.7}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{zF'_k(z)}{F_k(z)} \in P_m(1-b)$$
(3.8)

and hence  $F_k(z) \in R_m(1-b)$ .

Next, we give the integral representations of functions belonging to the class  $R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . **Theorem 3.2** Let  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$ . Then

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \exp\left(\frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu} u} \frac{p(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right) u^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} du$$
(3.9)

for some  $p \in P_m$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . From (1.2), we get

$$\frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z)} = b\left(p(z) - 1\right) + 1$$
(3.10)

for some  $p \in P_m$ . Substituting z by  $\varepsilon^{\mu} z$  ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$ ) in (3.10), we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{\mu} z f'(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) + \lambda(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)^2 f''(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)}{(1 - \lambda) f_k(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) + \lambda \varepsilon^{\mu} z f'_k(\varepsilon^{\mu} z)} = b \left( p(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) - 1 \right) + 1.$$
(3.11)

By observing that  $f_k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) = \varepsilon^{\mu}f_k(z)$  and  $f'_k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) = f'_k(z)$ , we know that (3.11) can be written as

$$\frac{zf'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) + \lambda\varepsilon^{\mu}z^2 f''(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda zf'_k(z)} = b\left(p(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) - 1\right) + 1.$$
(3.12)

Taking  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  in (3.12), respectively, and summing the resulting equations, we obtain

$$\frac{zf'_k(z) + \lambda z^2 f''_k(z)}{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \left( b\left( p(\varepsilon^{\mu} z) - 1 \right) + 1 \right),$$
(3.13)

which follows that

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)f'_k(z) + \lambda(zf'_k(z))'}{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda zf'_k(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \frac{p(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) - 1}{z}.$$
(3.14)

Integrating (3.14), we get

$$\log\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z)}{z}\right) = \frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu} z} \frac{p(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi$$
(3.15)

or equivalently

$$(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z) = z \cdot \exp\left(\frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu} z} \frac{p(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right).$$
 (3.16)

The assertion of Theorem 3.2 can now be derived from (3.16).

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $f \in R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$ . Then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \int_0^u \exp\left(\frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu}\xi} \frac{p(t)-1}{t} dt\right) \cdot \left(b\left(p(\xi)-1\right)+1\right) d\xi u^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2} du \quad (3.17)$$

for some  $p \in P_m$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . From (1.2) and (3.16), we have

$$(1-\lambda)f'(z) + \lambda(zf'(z))' = \frac{(1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda zf'_k(z)}{z} \cdot (b(p(z)-1)+1)$$
  
=  $\exp\left(\frac{b}{k}\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1}\int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu}z}\frac{p(t)-1}{t}dt\right) \cdot (b(p(z)-1)+1).$  (3.18)

Integrating (3.18) yields

$$(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\frac{b}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\mu}\xi} \frac{p(t)-1}{t} dt\right) \cdot (b(p(\xi)-1)+1) d\xi.$$
(3.19)

From (3.19), we can get (3.17) easily.

In what follows, we provide some coefficient inequalities and covering theorems for functions in the class  $R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $f \in R_m^s(b,k,\lambda)$  with  $k \ge 2$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{m |b|}{2(1+\lambda)}.$$
 (3.20)

Vol. 36

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . In view of Theorem 3.1, there exists a function  $\phi \in R_m(1-b), \phi(z) = (1-\lambda)f_k(z) + \lambda z f'_k(z)$  such that

$$zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$$
 (3.21)

for some  $p \in P_m(1-b)$ . Using the fact that

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} f(\varepsilon^{\nu} z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \left( \varepsilon^{\nu} z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (\varepsilon^{\nu} z)^n \right) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_{(l-1)k+1} z^{(l-1)k+1},$$
(3.22)

we have

$$\phi(z) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(l-1)k] a_{(l-1)k+1} z^{(l-1)k+1}.$$
(3.23)

Let

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$
 (3.24)

Then we find from (3.21) that

$$z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)]a_n z^n = \left(z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(l-1)k]a_{(l-1)k+1} z^{(l-1)k+1}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n\right).$$
(3.25)

Comparing the coefficients  $z^2$  in both sides of (3.25), we get  $2(1 + \lambda)a_2 = c_1$ , which follows that

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|c_1|}{2(1+\lambda)}.$$
(3.26)

Since  $|c_1| \le m |b|$  for  $p \in P_m(1-b)$ , we get the desired assertion of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$  with  $k \ge 2$ . Then the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  is mapped by every univalent function f onto a domain that contains the disk  $|\omega| < r_1$ , where

$$r_1 = \frac{2(1+\lambda)}{4(1+\lambda) + m |b|}.$$
(3.27)

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in R_m^s(b, k, \lambda)$ . Also, let  $\omega_0$  be any complex number such that  $f(z) \neq \omega_0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , then  $\omega_0 \neq 0$  and

$$\frac{\omega_0 f(z)}{\omega_0 - f(z)} = z + \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{\omega_0}\right) z^2 + \dots$$
(3.28)

for every univalent function f. This leads to

$$\left|a_2 + \frac{1}{\omega_0}\right| \le 2 \tag{3.29}$$

and hence

$$|\omega_0| \ge \frac{1}{|a_2| + 2}.\tag{3.30}$$

Using (3.30) and Theorem 3.4, we obtain the required result.

Let  $L_r f(z)$  denote the length of the image of the circle |z| = r under f(z). we finally show some basic properties of functions in the class  $K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$  including arc-length and coefficient problems.

**Theorem 3.6** Suppose that  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$  and  $0 < b \le 1$ . Then

$$L_r f(z) \le \begin{cases} C(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha b+1}{2}} & (0 < \alpha \le 1), \\ C(\alpha, b, \delta, m) N(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha b+1}{2}} & (\alpha > 1), \end{cases}$$
(3.31)

where  $N(r) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ ,  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ , and  $C(\alpha, b, \delta, m)$  is a constant which is determined by the parameters  $\alpha, b, \delta$  and m.

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$ . From definition (1.4), we know that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{g_k(z)}\right)^{\alpha} = p(z)$$
(3.32)

for some  $p \in P_m(\delta)$ . It follows that

No. 3

$$zf'(z) = (f(z))^{1-\alpha} (g_k(z))^{\alpha} p(z).$$
(3.33)

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we find from (3.33) that

$$L_{r}f(z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| \, d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(z)|^{1-\alpha} |g_{k}(z)|^{\alpha} |p(z)| \, d\theta \le M^{1-\alpha}(r) \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g_{k}(z)|^{\alpha} |p(z)| \, d\theta,$$
(3.34)

where  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Since  $g \in R_2^s(b, k, \lambda)$ , from Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(1-\lambda)g_k(z) + \lambda z g'_k(z) = G_k(z) \in R_2(1-b) \equiv S^*(1-b).$$
(3.35)

Let  $q(z) = \frac{zg'_k(z)}{g_k(z)}$ . It follows from (3.35) that

$$\frac{G_k(z)}{g_k(z)} = 1 - \lambda + \lambda q(z).$$
(3.36)

Differentiate both sides of (3.36) logarithmically, we obtain

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z) + \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}} = \frac{zG'_k(z)}{G_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (2b-1)z}{1-z}.$$
(3.37)

By noting that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1 + (2b - 1)z}{1 - z} + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\right] > 0 \qquad (0 < b \le 1; \ 0 < \lambda \le 1),$$

an application of Lemma 2.1 to (3.37) yields

$$q(z) \prec \frac{1 + (2b - 1)z}{1 - z},$$
(3.38)

which implies that  $g_k(z) \in S^*(1-b)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2b}} \le |g_k(z)| \le \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2b}}.$$
(3.39)

Using (3.39) and Lemma 2.3, we deduce from (3.34) that

$$L_{r}f(z) \leq M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{(1-r)^{2\alpha b}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(z)| d\theta$$
  

$$\leq 2\pi M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{(1-r)^{2\alpha b}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(z)|^{2} d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  

$$\leq 2\pi M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{(1-r)^{2\alpha b}} \left(\frac{1+[m^{2}(1-\delta)^{2}-1]r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  

$$= C(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha b+1}{2}}.$$
  
(3.40)

Similarly, for  $\alpha > 1$ , we have

$$L_r f(z) \leq C(\alpha, b, \delta, m) N(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha b+1}{2}}$$

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$  and  $0 < b \le 1$ . Then

$$|a_n| \le \begin{cases} C_1(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(n)^{1-\alpha} n^{\frac{4\alpha b-1}{2}} & (0 < \alpha \le 1), \\ C_1(\alpha, b, \delta, m) N(n)^{1-\alpha} n^{\frac{4\alpha b-1}{2}} & (\alpha > 1). \end{cases}$$
(3.41)

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$ . For  $n \ge 1$  and  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , Cauchy's Theorem gives that

$$na_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} z f'(z) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$
 (3.42)

Using Theorem 3.6 for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we get

$$n|a_n| \le \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} C(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha b+1}{2}}.$$
(3.43)

Taking  $r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  in (3.43), we obtain

$$|a_n| \le C_1(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(n)^{1-\alpha} n^{\frac{4\alpha b-1}{2}}.$$
(3.44)

Using the similar techniques, we can prove the corresponding result for  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$  and  $0 < b \le 1$ . Then

$$||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \le \begin{cases} C_2(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & (0 < \alpha \le 1), \\ C_2(\alpha, b, \delta, m) N(r)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{4(\alpha-1)b+1}{2}} & (\alpha > 1). \end{cases}$$
(3.45)

$$|(n+1)\xi a_{n+1} - na_n| \le \int_0^{2\pi} |z - \xi| \, |zf'(z)| \, d\theta.$$
(3.46)

Since  $f \in K_m^s(\alpha, b, k, \lambda, \delta)$ , we obtain

$$zf'(z) = (f(z))^{1-\alpha} (g_k(z))^{\alpha} p(z)$$
(3.47)

and

$$\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2b}} \le |g_k(z)| \le \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2b}}.$$
(3.48)

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , combining (3.47), (3.48) and (3.46), we get

$$|(n+1)\xi a_{n+1} - na_n| \le M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{(1+r)^{2(\alpha-1)b}} \int_0^{2\pi} |z-\xi| |g_k(z)| |p(z)| \, d\theta.$$
(3.49)

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |(n+1)\xi a_{n+1} - na_n| &\leq M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{(1+r)^{2(\alpha-1)b}} \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(z)| \, d\theta \\ &\leq 2\pi M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{(1+r)^{2(\alpha-1)b}} \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(z)|^2 \, d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\pi M(r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{(1+r)^{2(\alpha-1)b}} \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} \left(\frac{1+[m^2(1-\delta)^2-1]r^2}{1-r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $|\xi| = r = \frac{n}{n+1}$ , it follows that

$$||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \le C_2(\alpha, b, \delta, m) M(n)^{1-\alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we can get the required result for  $\alpha > 1$ .

### References

- Arif M, Noor K I, Khan R. On subclass of analytic functions with respect to symmetrical points[J]. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012, Article ID 790689, doi:10.1155/2012/790689.
- [2] Das R N, Singh P. On a subclass of schlicht mapping[J]. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 1977, 8: 864–872.
- [3] Eenigenburg P J, Miller S S, Mocanu P T, Reade O M. Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane[J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1978, 65: 289–305.
- [4] G. M. Golusin. On distortion theorems and coefficients of univalent functions[J]. Matematicheskii Sbornik, 1946, 19:183–202.
- [5] I. Graham and G. Kohr. Geometric fuction theory in one and higher dimensions[M]. New Nork: Marcel Dekker, 2003.
- [6] Huang Y Y, Liu M S. Properties of certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator[J]. Appl. Math. Comput., 2008, 204: 137–149.

- [7] Noor K I. On subclasses of close-to-convex functions of higher order[J]. Intern. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1992, 15: 279–290.
- [8] Noor K I. On some subclasses of *m*-fold symmetric analytic functions[J]. Comput. Math. Appl., 2010, 60: 14–22.
- [9] Noor K I, Malikz B, Saima Mustafax. A survey on functions of bounded boundary and bounded radius rotation[J]. Appl. Math. E-Notes, 2012, 12: 136–152.
- [10] Noor K I, Mustafa S. Some classes of analytic functions related with functions of bounded radius rotation with respect to symmetrical points[J]. J. Math. Inequ., 2009, 3: 267–276.
- [11] Padmanabhan K S, Parvatham R. Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation[J]. Ann. Polonici Math., 1975, 31: 311–323.
- [12] Pinchuk B. Functions of bounded boundary rotation[J]. Israel J. Math., 1971, 10: 7–16.
- [13] Sakaguchi K. On a certain univalent mapping[J]. Journal of the Math. Soc. Japan, 1959, 11: 72–75.
- [14] Wang Z G, Gao C Y, Yuan S M. On certain subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions with respect to k-symmetric points[J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, 322: 97–106.
- [15] Wang Z G, Jiang Y P, Srivastava H M. Some subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving Dziok-Srivastava operator[J]. Integ. Trans. Special Funct., 2008, 19: 129–146.
- [16] Yuan S M, Liu Z M. Some properties of α-convex and α-quasiconvex functions with respect to n-symmetric points[J]. Appl. Math. Comput., 2007, 188: 1142–1150.
- [17] Yuan S M, Liu Z M. Some properties of two subclasses of k-fold symmetric functions associated with Srivastava-Attiya operator[J]. Appl. Math. Comput., 2011, 218: 1136–1141.

# 与k折对称点有关的解析函数族的一些新子族

### 石 磊,王智刚

(安阳师范学院数学与统计学院,河南安阳 455000)

**摘要:** 本文引入了两类与*k*折对称点有关的解析函数族的新子族.利用从属理论,得到了这些函数族的积分表示、系数不等式、覆盖定理、弧长估计等结果.所得结果推广了一些相关文献的结论. 关键词: 解析函数; *k*折对称点; 从属; 弧长

MR(2010)主题分类号: 30C45; 30C80 中图分类号: O174.51