

WEAK SOLUTIONS TO STATIONARY BIPOLAR QUANTUM DRIFT-DIFFUSION MODEL IN ONE SPACE DIMENSION

DONG Jian-wei, MAO Bei-xing

(*Dpt. of Math. and Phys., Zhengzhou Institute of Aeronautical Industry Management,
Zhengzhou 450015, China*)

Abstract: In this paper, we study the weak solutions to stationary bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model for semiconductors in one space dimension. The model is reformulated as two coupled fourth-order elliptic equations by using exponential variable transformations. The existence of weak solutions to the reformulated equations is proved by using Schauder fixed-point theorem. Furthermore, the uniqueness of solutions and the semiclassical limit to the equations are obtained.

Keywords: quantum drift-diffusion model; stationary solutions; existence; uniqueness; semiclassical limit

2010 MR Subject Classification: 35J58

Document code: A

Article ID: 0255-7797(2015)03-0530-09

1 Introduction and Main Results

Recently, the quantum drift-diffusion equations attracted many scientists' interest since they are capable to describe quantum confinement and tunneling effects in metal-oxide-semiconductor structures and to simulate ultra-small semiconductor devices [1, 2]. Quantum drift-diffusion models were derived from a Wigner-Boltzmann equation by a moment method [3]. This paper is concerned with the bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model [4]:

$$n_t = \operatorname{div} \left[-\varepsilon^2 n \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + \nabla n - n \nabla V \right], \quad (1.1)$$

$$p_t = \operatorname{div} \left[-\xi \varepsilon^2 p \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) + \nabla p + p \nabla V \right], \quad (1.2)$$

$$\lambda^2 \Delta V = n - p - C(x), \quad (1.3)$$

* **Received date:** 2013-04-08

Accepted date: 2013-09-05

Foundation item: Supported by the Vital Science Research Foundation of Henan Province Education Department (12A110024); the Youth Natural Science Foundation of Zhengzhou Institute of Aeronautical Industry Management (2013111001) and the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province Science and Technology Department (132300410373).

Biography: Dong Jianwei(1980–), male, born at Heze, Shandong, lecturer, major in partial differential equation.

where the particle density n , the hole density p and the electrostatic potential V are unknown variables; the scaled Planck constant $\varepsilon > 0$, the scaled Debye length $\lambda > 0$ and the ratio of the effective masses of electrons and holes $\xi > 0$ are physical parameters; the doping profile $C(x)$ representing the distribution of charged background ions. This type of transient model consists of one or two fourth-order parabolic equations (unipolar or bipolar) coupled to a Poisson equation and has been studied in many works [4–14]. Abdallah and Unterreiter [15] showed the existence of solutions to the stationary model of (1.1)–(1.3) over the multi-dimension bounded domain and carried out the semiclassical limit.

The objective of this paper is to analyze the one-dimensional stationary version of (1.1)–(1.3):

$$-\varepsilon^2 n \left(\frac{(\sqrt{n})_{xx}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)_x + n_x - nV_x = J_0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$-\varepsilon^2 p \left(\frac{(\sqrt{p})_{xx}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_x + p_x + pV_x = J_1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$V_{xx} = n - p - C(x) \quad \text{in } (0, 1), \quad (1.6)$$

where we have let $\lambda = \xi = 1$ for convenience as in [4], the electron current density J_0 and the hole current density J_1 are two constants. We choose the physically motivated boundary conditions:

$$n(0) = n(1) = 1, \quad n_x(0) = n_x(1) = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

$$p(0) = p(1) = 1, \quad p_x(0) = p_x(1) = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

$$V(0) = V_0. \quad (1.9)$$

Dividing (1.4) by n and taking the derivative gives

$$-\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{(\sqrt{n})_{xx}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)_{xx} + \left(\frac{n_x}{n} \right)_x - (n - p - C(x)) = \left(\frac{J_0}{n} \right)_x, \quad (1.10)$$

where we have used the Poisson equation (1.6). Similarly, dividing (1.5) by p and taking the derivative leads to

$$-\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{(\sqrt{p})_{xx}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_{xx} + \left(\frac{p_x}{p} \right)_x + (n - p - C(x)) = \left(\frac{J_1}{p} \right)_x. \quad (1.11)$$

After two exponential transformations $n = e^u$, $p = e^v$, we obtain

$$-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(u_{xx} + \frac{u_x^2}{2} \right)_{xx} + u_{xx} - (e^u - e^v - C(x)) = J_0(e^{-u})_x, \quad (1.12)$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(v_{xx} + \frac{v_x^2}{2} \right)_{xx} + v_{xx} + (e^u - e^v - C(x)) = J_1(e^{-v})_x \quad (1.13)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad u_x(0) = u_x(1) = 0, \quad (1.14)$$

$$v(0) = v(1) = 0, \quad v_x(0) = v_x(1) = 0. \quad (1.15)$$

As usual, we call $(u, v) \in H_0^2(0, 1) \times H_0^2(0, 1)$ a weak solution of the problem (1.12)–(1.15), if for all $\psi \in H_0^2(0, 1)$ it holds

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 \left(u_{xx} + \frac{u_x^2}{2} \right) \psi_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 u_x \psi_x dx + \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) \psi dx = J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-u} \psi_x dx, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 \left(v_{xx} + \frac{v_x^2}{2} \right) \psi_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 v_x \psi_x dx - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) \psi dx = J_1 \int_0^1 e^{-v} \psi_x dx. \quad (1.17)$$

Our main results are stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Existence) Let $C(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$, then there exists a weak solution $(u, v) \in H_0^2(0, 1) \times H_0^2(0, 1)$ of the problem (1.12)–(1.15) for any $J_0, J_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Uniqueness) Let $C(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$. If

$$\varepsilon \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + (1 + \sqrt{2}|J_0|)e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \leq 2, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\varepsilon \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + (1 + \sqrt{2}|J_1|)e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \leq 2, \quad (1.19)$$

then the problem (1.12)–(1.15) has a unique solution.

Theorem 1.3 (Semiclassical limit) Let $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ be a solution to the problem (1.12)–(1.15) obtained in Theorem 1.1. Then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, maybe for a subsequence,

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u, \quad v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(0, 1) \text{ and strongly in } L^\infty(0, 1) \quad (1.20)$$

and (u, v) is a weak solution of

$$u_{xx} - (e^u - e^v - C(x)) = J_0(e^{-u})_x, \quad (1.21)$$

$$v_{xx} + (e^u - e^v - C(x)) = J_1(e^{-v})_x \quad (1.22)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (1.23)$$

$$v(0) = v(1) = 0. \quad (1.24)$$

Remark 1.1 Although Abdallah and Unterreiter obtained the stationary bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model in [15], but they did not show the uniqueness. In this paper, we give such a result. In addition, the proof of [15] was based on a Schauder fixed point iteration combined with a minimization procedure, whereas in this paper, we reformulate the model as two coupled fourth-order elliptic equations by using exponential variable transformations and employ the Schauder fixed-point theorem.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will show the existence of solutions to the problem (1.12)–(1.15) by using the techniques of a priori estimates and Schauder

fixed-point theorem. Then we will prove the uniqueness and the semiclassical limit of the solutions in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2 Existence of Weak Solutions

In order to use the Schauder fixed-point theorem to prove the existence, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 Let $C(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$ and let $(u, v) \in H_0^2(0, 1) \times H_0^2(0, 1)$ be a solution of (1.12)–(1.15). Then

$$\varepsilon^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|v_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|v_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq 2 \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof We use $\psi = u$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (1.12) to obtain

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 \left(u_{xx}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 u_{xx} \right) dx + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx = - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) u dx + J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-u} u_x dx. \quad (2.2)$$

The boundary condition (1.14) gives

$$\int_0^1 u_x^2 u_{xx} dx = \frac{1}{3} [u_x^3(1) - u_x^3(0)] = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^1 e^{-u} u_x dx = - \int_0^1 (e^{-u})_x dx = e^{-u(0)} - e^{-u(1)} = 0.$$

Consequently, equation (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx = - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) u dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, using $\psi = v$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (1.13) and using the boundary condition (1.15) we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 v_{xx}^2 dx + \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx = - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) v dx. \quad (2.4)$$

Summing up (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 v_{xx}^2 dx + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx = - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x))(u - v) dx. \quad (2.5)$$

The monotonicity of $x \mapsto e^x$ implies

$$- \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v)(u - v) dx \leq 0.$$

From the Young inequality and the Poincaré inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 C(x)(u - v) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v^2 dx + \int_0^1 C(x)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 C(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

So (2.5) can be estimated as

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 v_{xx}^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 C(x)^2 dx.$$

This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Consider the following linear problems for given $(\rho, \eta) \in W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times W_0^{1,4}(0,1)$ with test functions $\psi \in H_0^2(0,1)$:

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx} \psi_{xx} dx + \frac{\sigma \varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 \rho_x^2 \psi_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 u_x \psi_x dx + \sigma \int_0^1 (e^\rho - e^\eta - C(x)) \psi dx = \sigma J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-\rho} \psi_x dx, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 v_{xx} \psi_{xx} dx + \frac{\sigma \varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 \eta_x^2 \psi_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 v_x \psi_x dx - \sigma \int_0^1 (e^\rho - e^\eta - C(x)) \psi dx = \sigma J_1 \int_0^1 e^{-\eta} \psi_x dx, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\sigma \in [0, 1]$. We define the bilinear form

$$a(u, \psi) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx} \psi_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 u_x \psi_x dx, \quad (2.8)$$

and the linear functional

$$F(\psi) = -\frac{\sigma \varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 \rho_x^2 \psi_{xx} dx - \sigma \int_0^1 (e^\rho - e^\eta - C(x)) \psi dx + \sigma J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-\rho} \psi_x dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Since the bilinear form $a(u, \psi)$ is continuous and coercive on $H_0^2(0,1) \times H_0^2(0,1)$ and the linear functional $F(\psi)$ is continuous on $H_0^2(0,1)$, we can apply the Lax-Milgram theorem to obtain the existence of a solution $u \in H_0^2(0,1)$ of (2.6). Similarly there exists a solution $v \in H_0^2(0,1)$ to (2.7). Thus, the operator

$$S : W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times [0,1] \rightarrow W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times W_0^{1,4}(0,1), \quad (\rho, \eta, \sigma) \mapsto (u, v)$$

is well defined. Moreover, it is continuous and compact since the embedding $H_0^2(0,1) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,4}(0,1)$ is compact. Furthermore, $S(\rho, \eta, 0) = (0, 0)$. Following the steps of the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can show that $\|u\|_{H_0^2(0,1)} + \|v\|_{H_0^2(0,1)} \leq \text{const.}$ for all $(u, v, \sigma) \in W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times W_0^{1,4}(0,1) \times [0,1]$ satisfying $S(u, v, \sigma) = (u, v)$. Therefore, the existence of a fixed point (u, v) with $S(u, v, 1) = (u, v)$ follows from the Schauder fixed-point theorem. This fixed point is a solution of (1.12)–(1.15).

3 Uniqueness of Solutions

To prove the uniqueness, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1 Let (u, v) be a solution of (1.12)–(1.15) obtained in Theorem 1.1. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}, \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}, \|v_x\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq \frac{2 \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof For simplicity, we only treat with the case of u . (3.1) can be concluded directly from (2.1) and the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}.$$

We observe that, due to the boundary conditions for u_x ,

$$u_x(x)^2 = 2 \int_0^x u_x(s) u_{xx}(s) ds \leq 2 \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,1)}$$

and thus by the Young inequality and (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} + \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}{2} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in H_0^2(0,1) \times H_0^2(0,1)$ be two weak solutions of (1.12)–(1.15). The weak formulations of the difference of the equations satisfied by (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) , with the test functions $u_1 - u_2$ and $v_1 - v_2$, respectively, read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_{xx}^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 (u_{1x}^2 - u_{2x}^2)(u_1 - u_2)_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 (e^{u_1} - e^{v_1} - e^{u_2} + e^{v_2})(u_1 - u_2) dx - J_0 \int_0^1 (e^{-u_1} - e^{-u_2})(u_1 - u_2)_x dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_{xx}^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 (v_{1x}^2 - v_{2x}^2)(v_1 - v_2)_{xx} dx + \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_x^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (e^{u_1} - e^{v_1} - e^{u_2} + e^{v_2})(v_1 - v_2) dx - J_1 \int_0^1 (e^{-v_1} - e^{-v_2})(v_1 - v_2)_x dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.2) and the Young inequality, we can estimate the second integral on the left-hand side of (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 (u_{1x}^2 - u_{2x}^2)(u_1 - u_2)_{xx} dx \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 (u_{1x} + u_{2x})(u_1 - u_2)_x (u_1 - u_2)_{xx} dx \\ &\geq -\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)} \int_0^1 |(u_1 - u_2)_{xx}| \cdot |(u_1 - u_2)_x| dx \\ &\geq -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_{xx}^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The mean value theorem and estimate (3.1) for v yields

$$|e^{v_1} - e^{v_2}| \leq e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} |v_1 - v_2|. \quad (3.6)$$

The monotonicity of $x \mapsto e^x$, inequality (3.6), the Young inequality and the Poincaré inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^1 (e^{u_1} - e^{v_1} - e^{u_2} + e^{v_2})(u_1 - u_2) dx \leq \int_0^1 (e^{v_1} - e^{v_2})(u_1 - u_2) dx \\
& \leq e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \int_0^1 |v_1 - v_2| \cdot |u_1 - u_2| dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \left[\int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)^2 dx + \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)^2 dx \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \left[\int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_x^2 dx \right]. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.3), we obtain similarly as above

$$\begin{aligned}
& -J_0 \int_0^1 (e^{-u_1} - e^{-u_2})(u_1 - u_2)_x dx \\
& \leq |J_0| e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \int_0^1 |u_1 - u_2| \cdot |(u_1 - u_2)_x| dx \\
& \leq |J_0| e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \left[\int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{|J_0|}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality in the second inequality of (3.8). By (3.3), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{2}|J_0|}{4} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \right] \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_x^2 dx. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Employing the same techniques as above, we can estimate (3.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{2}|J_1|}{4} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \right] \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_x^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}|J_0|}{2} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \right] \int_0^1 (u_1 - u_2)_x^2 dx \\
& + \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}|J_1|}{2} e^{\sqrt{2}\|C(x)\|_{L^2(0,1)}} \right] \int_0^1 (v_1 - v_2)_x^2 dx \\
& \leq 0. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality and (1.18), (1.19) implies $u_1 = u_2$, $v_1 = v_2$ in $(0, 1)$.

4 Semiclassical Limit

Proof of Theorem 1.3 From Lemma 2.1 and the Poincaré inequality we obtain a uniform $H^1(0, 1)$ bound for u_ε and v_ε . Then there exists a subsequence of $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ (not relabeled) such that (1.20) holds. The weak formulations of (1.12) and (1.13) read, for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$, after integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 u_\varepsilon \psi_{xxxx} dx - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 u_{\varepsilon,x}^2 \psi_{xx} dx \\ = & \int_0^1 u_{\varepsilon,x} \psi_x dx + \int_0^1 (e^{u_\varepsilon} - e^{v_\varepsilon} - C(x)) \psi dx - J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-u_\varepsilon} \psi_x dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 v_\varepsilon \psi_{xxxx} dx - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \int_0^1 v_{\varepsilon,x}^2 \psi_{xx} dx \\ = & \int_0^1 v_{\varepsilon,x} \psi_x dx - \int_0^1 (e^{u_\varepsilon} - e^{v_\varepsilon} - C(x)) \psi dx - J_1 \int_0^1 e^{-v_\varepsilon} \psi_x dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Convergences (1.20) allow us to pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above equations, observing that the left-hand sides of (4.1) and (4.2) vanish in the limit:

$$0 = \int_0^1 u_x \psi_x dx + \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) \psi dx - J_0 \int_0^1 e^{-u} \psi_x dx, \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 = \int_0^1 v_x \psi_x dx - \int_0^1 (e^u - e^v - C(x)) \psi dx - J_1 \int_0^1 e^{-v} \psi_x dx. \quad (4.4)$$

This shows the weak forms of (1.21) and (1.22) hold.

References

- [1] Tsuchiya H, Miyoshi T. Quantum transport modeling of ultrasmall semiconductor devices [J]. IEICE Trans. Electr., 1999, E82-C: 880–888.
- [2] Wettstein A, Schenk A, Fichtner W. Quantum device-simulation with the density-gradient model on unstructured grids [J]. IEEE Trans. Electr. Devices, 2001, 48: 279–284.
- [3] Degond P, Méhats F, Ringhofer C. Quantum energy-transport and drift-diffusion models [J]. J. Stat. Phys., 2005, 118: 625–665.
- [4] Ju Qiangchang, Chen Li. Semiclassical limit for bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2009, 29B(2): 285–293.
- [5] Chen Xiuqing, Chen Li, Jian Huaiyu. Existence, semiclassical limit and long-time behavior of weak solution to quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 2009, 10(3): 1321–1342.
- [6] Jüngel A, Violet I. The quasineutral limit in the quantum drift-diffusion equations [J]. Asymptotic Analysis, 2007, 53(3): 139–157.
- [7] Chen Li, Ju Qiangchang. Existence of weak solution and semiclassical limit for quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Z. angew. Math. Phys., 2007, 58: 1–15.

- [8] Chen Xiuqing, Chen Li, Jian Huaiyu. The Dirichlet problem of the quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Nonlinear Analysis, 2008, 69: 3084–3092.
- [9] Chen Xiuqing, Chen Li. The bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Acta Mathematica Sinica, 2009, 25(4): 617–638.
- [10] Chen Xiuqing. The global existence and semiclassical limit of weak solutions to multidimensional quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Advanced Nonlinear Studies, 2007, 7: 651–670.
- [11] Chen Xiuqing, Chen Li. Initial time layer problem for quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008, 343: 64–80.
- [12] Chen Xiuqing. The isentropic quantum drift-diffusion model in two or three space dimensions [J]. Z. angew. Math. Phys., 2009, 60(3): 416–437.
- [13] Chen Xiuqing, Chen Li, Jian Huaiyu. The existence and long-time behavior of weak solution to bipolar quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Chin. Ann. Math., 2007, 28B(6): 651–664.
- [14] Chen Li, Ju Qiangchang. The semiclassical limit in the quantum drift-diffusion equations with isentropic pressure [J]. Chin. Ann. Math., 2008, 29B(4): 369–384.
- [15] Abdallah N B, Unterreiter A. On the stationary quantum drift-diffusion model [J]. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 1998, 49: 251–275.

一维双极量子漂移-扩散稳态模型的弱解

董建伟, 毛北行

(郑州航空工业管理学院数理系, 河南 郑州 450015)

摘要: 本文研究了半导体中一维双极量子漂移-扩散稳态模型的弱解. 利用指数变换法把此模型转化成两个四阶椭圆方程, 然后利用Schauder不动点定理证明了转化后的方程组弱解的存在性. 另外得到了方程组解的唯一性和半古典极限.

关键词: 量子漂移-扩散模型; 稳态解; 存在性; 唯一性; 半古典极限

MR(2010) 主题分类号: 35J58

中图分类号: O175.29