CONVERSE COMPARISON THEOREM FOR BSDE DRIVEN BY LÉVY PROCESS AND JENSEN’S INEQUALITY
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Abstract: In this paper, we are devoted to the converse comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs, for short) driven by 1-dimensional Lévy processes. With the similar method of the converse comparison theorem under $g$-expectation, we prove the converse comparison theorem under $f$-expectation. Moreover, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the Jensen’s inequality to hold under the $f$-expectation, the nonlinear expectation defined by BSDEs driven by Lévy processes.
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1 Introduction

BSDEs were first introduced by Pardoux and Peng [12]. In 1997, Peng introduced the so called $g$-expectation, a kind of nonlinear expectation, based on the solution of a BSDE driven by a Brownian motion. This expectation is called nonlinear since the linearity property of the usual (linear) expectation is violated while other properties of the (linear) expectation hold in this nonlinear case. Since then, many researchers in related fields have explored the properties of BSDEs and related $g$-expectations, see [1, 4], for example. Among the results they obtained, the comparison theorem of real-valued BSDEs turns out to be one of the cornerstone results in this theory. This comparison theorem was first established by Peng [13] in the one dimensional case and later on generalized by many authors, see [11]. It allows one to compare the solutions of two real-valued BSDEs whenever we can compare the terminal conditions and the generators. Recently the comparison theorem was extended to multidimensional case by Hu et al. [6]. An inverse problem is interesting, namely, if we can

Received date: 2013-05-07  Accepted date: 2013-06-25
Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11126314); 2013 Basic Research Projects at Zhongnan University of Economics and Law (20130019); the Central Universities Research Program in Zhongnan University of Economics and Law (20140066).

Biography: Li Biao (1981–), male, born at Wuhan, Hubei, Ph.D., major in the term structure of interest rate, macro-finance models and credit derivatives.
compare the solution of two BSDEs with the same terminal condition, can we compare the
generators? The result of Chen [3] can be thought as the first step in solving this theorem,
and then it was further developed in [2] and [8] among others.

The comparison theorem and the related converse comparison theorem are applicable to
mathematical finance. These results give a necessary and sufficient condition for the wealth
process to be nonnegative, and also yield several of the classical properties of utilities, see
[5]. In economics and finance, the nonlinear \( g \)-expectation can describe the attitude of the
investor, e.g., risk preference or risk aversion. In [10], the author introduces the \( g \)-EU theory.
The validity of this theory depends on the Jensen’s inequality for conditional \( g \)-expectation.
In [2], Briand gives a counter example to show that the \( g \)-expectation need not satisfy
Jensen’s inequality for most convex functions. This raises a natural question: under what
conditions will the Jensen’s inequality hold? Jiang [9] and Hu [7] explored the validity of
Jensen’s inequality for the \( g \)-expectation and provide necessary and sufficient conditions for
the Jensen’s inequality.

BSDEs and related \( g \)-expectations appear in many financial problems especially in the
contingent claim valuation. A more realistic case will be financial markets with jumps,
where jumps are caused by natural accidents, policy interference and so on. In a market
with jumps, a jump-diffusion process will more aptly describe the price of the risky asset,
and hence BSDEs driven by Lévy processes are used in the contingent claim valuation.
The existence and uniqueness of the solution for this kind of equation was first dealt in
[1]. In [15], the author studied BSDEs with jumps and establishes several basic properties
including a comparison theorem. That study also introduced a nonlinear expectation, called
the \( f \)-expectation, related to the BSDE studied there. However, the converse comparison
theorem for this kind of equation and the conditional Jensen’s inequality corresponding to
the nonlinear expectation are not obtained so far, to the best of our knowledge. Therfore,
our first purpose in this paper is to establish a converse comparison theorem for the BSDEs
driven by Lévy processes. Based on this converse comparison theorem, we will provide a
necessary and sufficient condition for the Jensen’s inequality to hold.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic definitions
and the notations of BSDEs driven by Lévy processes. In Section 3, we prove the converse
comparison theorem for this kind of BSDEs. In Section 4, we give the sufficient and necessary
condition of the Jensen’s inequality for the \( f \)-expectation. The last section reflects on the
conclusions of this work and discusses about our future work.

2 BSDEs Driven by Lévy Process

This section sets up the notations, terminologies, and assumptions that will be in force
for the rest of this work. We fix a finite time horizon \( T < \infty \) and the natural filtration
\( \mathcal{F} = \{ \mathcal{F}_t \}_{t \in [0,T]} \), which is generated by two mutually independent processes \{\( B_t \)\}_{t \in [0,T]} and
\{\( N_t \)\}_{t \in [0,T]} . Here, \{\( B_t \)\}_{t \in [0,T]} is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion, \{\( N_t \)\}_{t \in [0,T]} is a Lévy
process with its Poisson random measure \( \mu(ds,dx) \) defined on \([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \),
the compensator of the Poisson random measure is \( \nu(ds, dx) = \lambda(dx)ds \), and \( \tilde{\mu}(ds, dx) = \mu(ds, dx) - \nu(ds, dx) \) is a martingale measure for all \( A \in \mathcal{B}(R^*) \), where \( \lambda(A) < \infty \). Moreover, \( \lambda \) is a \( \sigma \)-finite measure defined on \( \mathcal{B}(R^*) \), such that \( \int_{R^*} (1 \wedge x^2) \lambda(dx) < \infty \). We shall now introduce some basic spaces that we will be working with.

\[
S^2 = \left\{ \text{càdlàg process } \psi; \quad E \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\psi_t|^2 \right) < \infty \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^2(\tilde{\mu}) = \left\{ \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(R^*) - \text{measurable process } v; \quad E \left( \int_0^T \int_{R^*} |v_s(x)|^2 \lambda(dx) ds \right) < \infty \right\},
\]

the set of \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-progressively measurable \( R \)-valued processes, where \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes the \( \sigma \)-field of predictable sets on \([0, T] \times \Omega \), and

\[
\mathcal{L}^2(B) = \left\{ \text{predictable process } \theta; \quad E \left( \int_0^T \|\theta_s\|^2 ds \right) < \infty \right\},
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}^2(B) \) denotes the square integrable predictable processes with respect to the Brownian filtration.

Let \( \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T) \) be the terminal condition. We consider

\[
f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \mathcal{L}^2(R^*, \mathcal{B}(R^*), \lambda; R) \to R.
\]

**Definition 2.1** A solution of the equation

\[
Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - \int_t^T U_s \tilde{\mu}(ds, dx) \tag{2.1}
\]

with parameters \((f, \eta)\) is a triple of processes \((Y_t, Z_t, U_t) \in S^2 \times \mathcal{L}^2(W) \times \mathcal{L}^2(\tilde{\mu})\) satisfying that equation for any \( t \in [0, T] \).

Next we consider the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [15]) There exists a unique solution for equation (2.1) in \( S^2 \times \mathcal{L}^2(W) \times \mathcal{L}^2(\tilde{\mu}) \) provided the generator \( f \) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( E \left[ \int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0, 0)|^2 ds \right] < \infty \);

(ii) \( f \) is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t \( y, z \) with the Lipschitz constant \( K \);

(iii) there exist constants \(-1 < C_1 \leq 0 \) and \( C_2 \geq 0 \) such that for any \( y \in R, z \in R^d, u, u' \in \mathcal{L}^2(R^*, \mathcal{B}(R^*), \lambda; R) \),

we have

\[
f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y, z, u') \leq \int_{R^*} (u(x) - u'(x)) \gamma_{t}^{y, z, u, u'}(x) \lambda(dx),
\]

where \( \gamma_{t}^{y, z, u, u'} : \Omega \times [0, T] \times R^* \to R \) is \( \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}(R^*) \)- measurable and satisfies

\[
C_1 (1 \wedge x) \leq \gamma_{t}^{y, z, u, u'} \leq C_2 (1 \wedge x).
\]
The last condition (iii) implies that \( f \) is Lipschitz continuous in \( u \), with Lipschitz constant denoted by \( A \), and
\[
|f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y, z, u')| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |u(x) - u'(x)| (1 \wedge |x|) \lambda(dx) \\
\leq A \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |u(x) - u'(x)|^2 \lambda(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

We shall now recall a comparison theorem for BSDE driven by a Lévy process, see [15]. Let \( (Y^i, Z^i, U^i) \) be two solutions of our equation (2.1) associated respectively with \((\eta^1, f^1)\) and \((\eta^2, f^2)\). Then, we have the following

**Theorem 2.3** (see [15]) Assume that the conditions in Lemma 2.2 are fulfilled for \( \eta^1 \), \( \eta^2 \), \( f^1 \) and \( f^2 \). If \( \eta^1 \leq \eta^2 \) a.s., and \( f^1(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1, U_t^1) \leq f^2(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1, U_t^1), dt \times dP \) a.e., then \( Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2 \) for \( t \in [0, T] \) a.s.

**Definition 2.4** Consider a BSDE driven by a Lévy process with generator \( f \), satisfying

(i) \( f(s, y, 0, 0) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \);
(ii) \( f \) is Lipschitz continuous in \( y, z \);
(iii) \( f \) satisfies the third condition in Lemma 2.2.

For any \( \eta \) fixed in \( L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P) \), we denote the unique solution of the related BSDE by \((Y_t^{\eta,f,T}, Z_t^{\eta,f,T}, U_t^{\eta,f,T}) \). Let \( \varepsilon_f(\eta) = Y_0^{\eta,f,T} \) denote the initial value of the solution. Then \( \varepsilon_f \) is a non-linear expectation called \( f \) - expectation.

**Lemma 2.5** Let, for any \( \xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P) \), \( f \) satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.4. Then there exists a unique random variable \( \eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P) \), such that
\[
\varepsilon_f[I_A \xi] = \varepsilon_f[I_A \eta], \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_t.
\]

This \( \eta \) is called the conditional \( f \) - expectation of \( \xi \) and is denoted by \( \varepsilon_f[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t] \). Moreover, \( \varepsilon_f[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t] = Y_t^{\xi,f,T} \) is the solution of equation (2.1) at time \( t \).

### 3 Converse Comparison Theorem

In this section, we present one of the main results of this paper, namely, the converse comparison theorem for the solutions of BSDEs driven by Lévy processes.

Suppose the triple \((Y, Z, U)\) is the solution of the BSDE (2.1) and the generator \( f \) satisfies the following

**Assumption 3.1** For all \((y, p, q)\), almost all sample paths \( t \to f(t, y, p, q) \) are continuous.

To establish our converse comparison theorem, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2** Let \((Y, Z, U)\) be the solution of BSDE (2.1). We then have
\[
E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} |Y_s|^2 | \mathcal{F}_t \right] + E \left[ \int_t^T e^{\beta s} Z_s^2 ds | \mathcal{F}_t \right] + E \left[ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} e^{\beta s} U_s^2 \lambda(dx) ds | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
\leq CE \left[ e^{\beta T} \eta^2 + \left( \int_t^T e^{\beta s/2} |f(s, 0, 0, 0)| ds \right)^2 | \mathcal{F}_t \right],
\]
where $C$ is a positive constant and $\beta = 2K + 4K^2$, (recall that $K$ is the Lipschitz constant of $f$ with respect to $y$ and $z$).

**Proof** For any constant $\beta$, it follows from Ito's formula that

$$
e^{\beta t}Y_t^2 + \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Z_u^2 du + \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x)\mu(dx, du)
= e^{\beta T}\eta^2 - \beta \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u|^2 du + 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Y_u f(u, Y_u, Z_u, U_u) du
- 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u - 2 \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} Y_u(x)\bar{\mu}(du, dx). \quad (3.1)$$

Also,

$$2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Y_u f(u, Y_u, Z_u, U_u) du
\leq 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u||f(u, Y_u, Z_u, U_u)| du
\leq 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u|\left(|Y_u| + |Z_u| + \left(\int_{R^*} |U_u(x)|^2 \lambda(dx)\right)^{1/2}\right) du + 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u||f(u, 0, 0, 0)| du
\leq 2K \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u|^2 du + 4K^2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u|^2 du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Z_u|^2 du
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u}|U_u(x)|^2 \lambda(dx) du + 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u||f(u, 0, 0, 0)| du. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking $\beta = 4K^2 + 2K$, we see from (3.1) and (3.2), that

$$e^{\beta s}|Y_s|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^T e^{\beta u}|Z_u|^2 du + \int_s^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u}|U_u(x)|^2 \mu(dx, du)
- \frac{1}{2} \int_s^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u}|U_u(x)|^2 \lambda(dx) du
\leq e^{\beta T}\eta^2 - 2 \int_s^T e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u - 2 \int_s^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u}|U_u(x)|^2\bar{\mu}(dx, du)
+ 2 \int_s^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u||f(u, 0, 0, 0)| du. \quad (3.3)$$

In (3.3), let $s = t$ and take the conditional expectation to get

$$e^{\beta T}\eta^2 + 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{\beta u} Z_u^2 du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] + 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x)\lambda(dx) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
\leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\beta T}\eta^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{\beta u}|Y_u||f(u, 0, 0, 0)| du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (3.4)$$
It follows from equation (3.1) that
\[
e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \leq e^{\beta T} \eta^2 - \beta \int_s^T \int e^{\beta u} Y_u^2 du + 2 \int_s^T e^{\beta u} Y_u f(u, Y_u, Z_u, U_u) du - 2 \int_s^T e^{\beta u} Z_u dB_u - 2 \int_s^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u(x) \tilde{\mu}(du, dx) .
\]

The following estimate holds by equation (3.2).
\[
e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \leq e^{\beta T} \eta^2 - 2 \int_s^T e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u - 2 \int_s^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} Y_u U_u(x) \tilde{\mu}(du, dx)
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} |Y_u| f(u, 0, 0, 0) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x) \lambda(dx) du .
\]

Therefore
\[
\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \leq e^{\beta T} \eta^2 - 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u - 2 \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} Y_u U_u(x) \tilde{\mu}(du, dx)
+ 2 \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_t^s e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u \right| + 2 \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_t^s \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} Y_u U_u(x) \tilde{\mu}(du, dx) \right|
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x) \lambda(dx) du + 2 \int_t^T e^{\beta u} |Y_u| f(u, 0, 0, 0) du,
\]

and consequently
\[
E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \leq E[e^{\beta T} \eta^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + 2E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_t^s e^{\beta u} Y_u Z_u dB_u \right| \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ 2E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_t^s \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} Y_u U_u(x) \tilde{\mu}(du, dx) \right| \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} E \left[ \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x) \lambda(dx) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ 2E \left[ \int_t^T e^{\beta u} |Y_u| f(u, 0, 0, 0) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] .
\]

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality,
\[
E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \leq E[e^{\beta T} \eta^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + 2CE \left[ \left( \int_t^T e^{2\beta u} Y_u^2 Z_u^2 du \right)^{1/2} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} E \left[ \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} |U_u(x)|^2 \lambda(dx) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ 2E \left[ \int_t^T e^{\beta u} |Y_u| f(u, 0, 0, 0) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+ 2CE \left[ \left( \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{2\beta u} Y_u^2 U_u^2 \tilde{\mu}(du, dx) \right)^{1/2} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
\leq E[e^{\beta T} \eta^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + \frac{1}{8} E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] + CE \left[ \int_t^T |Z_u|^2 e^{\beta u} du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].
\]
Then, by estimates (3.4) and (3.5), we have

\[ + \frac{1}{4} E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \mid F_t \right] + CE \left[ \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} |U_u(x)|^2 du \mid F_t \right] \]

\[ + CE \left[ \left( \int_t^T e^{\beta u/2} |f(u, 0, 0, 0)| du \right)^2 \mid F_t \right]. \tag{3.5} \]

Then, by estimates (3.4) and (3.5), we have

\[ E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} e^{\beta s} Y_s^2 \mid F_t \right] + E \left[ \int_t^T e^{\beta u} Y_u^2 du \mid F_t \right] + E \left[ \int_t^T \int_{R^*} e^{\beta u} U_u^2(x) \lambda(dx) du \mid F_t \right] \]

\[ \leq CE \left[ e^{\beta T} q^2 + \left( \int_t^T e^{\beta s/2} |f(s, 0, 0, 0)| ds \right)^2 \mid F_t \right]. \]

For any fixed \( p, q \in R, \) put

\[ X_{s,t} = x + p(B_s - B_t) + q \int_s^t \int_{R^*} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(du, dx), \]

\[ nY_s = y + \left( p(B_t + \frac{1}{n} - B_t) + q \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^*} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(du, dx) \right) + \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, nY_u, nZ_u, nU_u) du \]

\[ - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} nZ_u dB_u - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^*} nU_u(x) \mu(du, dx). \]

**Lemma 3.3** Supposing \( E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t, 0, 0, 0)|^2] \) is finite, we have

\( n(Y_i - y) \rightarrow f(x, y, p, q), \) in \( L^2 \) sense, as \( n \rightarrow \infty. \)

**Proof** For any fixed \((t, y, p, q)\) and \( t \leq s \leq t + \frac{1}{n}, \) put

\[ \overline{nY}_s = nY_s - p(B_s - B_t) + q \int_s^t \int_{R^*} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(du, dx), \]

\[ \overline{nZ}_s = nZ_s - p, \]

\[ \overline{nU}_s = nU_s - q. \]

Then \( d\overline{nY}_s = d^nY_s - pd(B_s - B_t) - qd \int_s^t \int_{R^*} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(du, dx), \) that is

\[ \overline{nY}_s = \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, nY_u, nZ_u, nU_u) du - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} (nZ_u - p) dB_u - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^*} (nU_u(x) - q) \mu(du, dx) \]

\[ = \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, n\overline{Y}_u + p(B_s - B_t) + q \int_s^{u} \int_{R^*} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(du, dx), n\overline{Z}_u + p, \overline{nU}_u + q) du \]

\[ - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} n\overline{Z}_u dB_u - \int_s^{t+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^*} \overline{nU}_u(x) \mu(du, dx). \]

By Lemma 3.2 and \( E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t, 0, 0, 0)|^2] \) is finite, we have the following estimation

\[ E \left[ \sup_{t \leq s \leq t+\frac{1}{n}} |\overline{nY}_s|^2 \mid F_t \right] + E \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} |\overline{nZ}_s|^2 ds \mid F_t \right] + E \left[ \int_{t+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^*} |\overline{nU}_s|^2 \lambda(dx) ds \mid F_t \right] \]
Now, by inequality (3.6), we then get
\[ R \]
where
\[ n \]
and
\[ C \]
are constants depending on \( y, p, q \).
Since
\[ n(Y_t - y) = nE \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, nY_u, nZ_u, nU_u) du \mid F_t \right] \]
\[ = nE \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, y + p(B_u - B_t) + q \int_t^u 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx), p, q) du \mid F_t \right] + R_n, \]
where
\[ R_n = nE \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, y + nY_u + p(B_u - B_t) + q \int_t^u 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx), nZ_u + p, nU_u + q) du \right. \]
\[ - \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(u, y + p(B_u - B_t) + q \int_t^u 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx), p, q) du \mid F_t \],
we then get
\[ |R_n| \leq nKE \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} [nY_u] + [nZ_u] + \left( \int_{R_+} [nU_u]^2 \lambda(dx) \right)^{1/2} du \mid F_t \right]. \]
Now, by inequality (3.6),
\[ E[|R_n|^2] \leq n^2 K^2 E \left[ \left( \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} [nY_u] + [nZ_u] + \left( \int_{R_+} [nU_u]^2 \lambda(dx) \right)^{1/2} du \right)^2 \right] \]
\[ \leq nK^2 E \left[ \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} ([nY_u]^2 + [nZ_u]^2 + \int_{R_+} [nU_u]^2 \lambda(dx)) du \right]. \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq nK^2E \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sup_{t \leq s \leq t + \frac{1}{n}} |\eta_s|^2 + \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} |\eta_u|^2 du + \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^2} |U_u|^2 \lambda(dx) du \right] \\
&\leq C_{y,p,q} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Let
\[
A_n = nE \left[ f(u, y + p(B_u - B_t) + q \int_t^u \int_{R^2} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] - f(t, y, p, q).
\]

Then
\[
|A_n| \leq nE \left[ f(u, p(B_u - B_t) + q \int_t^u \int_{R^2} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx), p, q) - f(u, y, p, q) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
+nE \left[ \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} |f(t, y, p, q) - f(u, y, p, q)| du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right],
\]
and
\[
E[|A_n|^2] \leq KE \left[ \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} \left( p^2(B_u - B_t)^2 + q^2 \left( \int_t^u \int_{R^2} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx) \right)^2 + p^2 + q^2 \right) du \right]
+KE \left[ \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} |f(t, y, p, q) - f(u, y, p, q)|^2 du \right]
= I + II,
\]
where
\[
I = KE \left[ \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} \left( p^2(B_u - B_t)^2 + q^2 \left( \int_t^u \int_{R^2} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(dx) \right)^2 + p^2 + q^2 \right) du \right]

II = KE \left[ \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} |f(t, y, p, q) - f(u, y, p, q)|^2 du \right].
\]

Obviously \( I \leq K \frac{p^2}{2n^2} + K \frac{q^2}{2n} + (p^2 + q^2) \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \). By Assumption 3.1, we easily see \( II \rightarrow 0 \), and hence the result holds.

Now, here is our converse comparison theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** Let \( f_1(t, y, p, q) \) and \( f_2(t, y, p, q) \) satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.2 and in Definition 2.4. \( \forall \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T) \), denote \( Y^1_t(\eta) = Y^q_{t, t, T} \), \( Y^2_t(\eta) = Y^{p, f_2}_{t, t, T} \). If \( \forall t \in [0, T] \), we have \( Y^1_t(\eta) \leq Y^2_t(\eta) \), \( P \)-a.s., then \( \forall (t, y, p, q) \), \( f_1(t, y, p, q) \leq f_2(t, y, p, q) \) holds \( P \)-a.s.

**Proof** For any fixed \((t, y, p, q)\), define
\[
\eta_n := y + p(B_{t + \frac{1}{n}} - B_t) + q \int_t^{t + \frac{1}{n}} \int_{R^2} 1 \wedge |x| \mu(ds, dx).
\]
By Lemma 3.3, we know \( n(Y_i^1(\eta_n) - y) \to f_i(t, y, p, q), i = 1, 2 \) in \( L^2 \) sense.

On the other hand, \( n(Y_i^1(\eta_n) - y) \leq n(Y_i^2(\eta_n) - y) \), so

\[
f_1(t, y, p, q) \leq f_2(t, y, p, q), P-a.s.
\]

By Assumptions 3.1, \( \forall (t, y, p, q) \), \( f_1(t, y, p, q) \leq f_2(t, y, p, q) \) holds \( P-a.s. \).

4 Jensen’s Inequality

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the Jensen’s inequality to hold under the \( f \)-expectation that has been defined in Section 2. Indeed, we appeal to the Converse Comparison Theorem to prove this basic inequality.

**Theorem 4.1** Suppose \( f \) satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2, Definition 2.4, and Assumption 3.1. Then the following statements are equivalent

1. \( P-a.s \) \( f \) does not depend on \( y \), and for \( \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( (t, p, q) \),

\[
f(t, \lambda p, \lambda q) \geq \lambda f(t, p, q);
\]

2. the conditional Jensen’s inequality holds, that is, \( \forall \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T) \) and a convex function \( \psi \) defined on \( \mathbb{R} \), the following inequality holds \( \varepsilon_f [\psi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \geq \psi(\varepsilon_f [\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t]), P-a.s. \).

**Proof** \( 1 \implies 2 \) Let \( \varphi(x) = \lambda x + u, \lambda \neq 0 \). Let \((Y, Z, U)\) denote the solution of the following BSDE

\[
Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_s(x) \tilde{\mu}(ds, dx),
\]

and \((Y', Z', U')\) the solution of the following BSDE

\[
Y'_t = \varphi(\eta) + \int_t^T f'(s, Z'_s, U'_s) ds - \int_t^T Z'_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U'_s(x) \tilde{\mu}(ds, dx),
\]

where \( f'(t, p, q) = \lambda f(t, \frac{p}{\lambda}, \frac{q}{\lambda}) \). Obviously, \( Y'_t = \lambda Y_t + u, Z' = \lambda Z, U' = \lambda U \) and

\[
Y_t = \varepsilon_f [\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t], Y'_t = \varepsilon_{f'} [\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t].
\]

For \( P-a.s. \), \( f(t, p, q) \geq f'(t, p, q) \), by comparison Theorem 2.3, we have

\[
\varepsilon_f [\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \geq \varepsilon_{f'} [\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t], P-a.s.,
\]

and \( \varepsilon_{f'} [\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = Y'_t = \lambda Y_t + u = \lambda \varepsilon_f [\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + u = \varphi [\varepsilon_f (\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \). For any convex function \( \psi \), there exists a countable set \( D \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) such that

\[
\psi(x) = \sup_{(\lambda, u) \in D} (\lambda x + u).
\]

For any \((\lambda, u) \in D\),

\[
\varepsilon_f [\psi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \varepsilon_f [\sup_{(\lambda, u) \in D} (\lambda \eta + u) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \geq \lambda \varepsilon_f [\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + u, P-a.s..
\]
For $D$ is a countable set,

$$
\varepsilon_f(\psi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \geq \sup_{(\lambda, u) \in D} [\lambda \varepsilon_f(\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t) + u] = \psi(\varepsilon_f(\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t)), \text{ } P \text{- a.s.}
$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $(Y, Z, U)$ be the solution of the following BSDE:

$$
Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{R^*} U_s(x)\tilde{\mu}(ds, dx),
$$

and $(Y', Z', U')$ be the solution of the following BSDE

$$
Y'_t = \varphi(\eta) + \int_t^T f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, U'_s)ds - \int_t^T Z'_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{R^*} U'_s(x)\tilde{\mu}(ds, dx),
$$

where $f'(t, y, p, q) = \lambda f(t, y - u, \lambda p, \lambda q)$, and $\varphi(x) = \lambda x + u$ is a convex function.

As above, we have

$$
\varepsilon_f(\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \geq \varphi(\varepsilon_f(\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_t)) = \lambda Y_t + u = Y'_t = \varepsilon_f(\varphi(\eta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t).
$$

By Theorem 3.4, $\forall (t, y, p, q)$, we have $f(t, y, p, q) \geq f'(t, y, p, q)$, $P$-a.s., that is

$$
f(t, \lambda y + u, \lambda p, \lambda q) \geq \lambda f(t, y, p, q).
$$

Let $\lambda = 1$. Then, $f(t, y + u, p, q) \geq f(t, y, p, q)$, that means $f$ dose not depend on $y$, and we can easily get that $f(t, \lambda p, \lambda q) \geq \lambda f(t, p, q)$.

5 Discussion

BSDEs driven by Lévy processes are widely used in mathematical finance, especially in the contingent claim evaluation in incomplete market. In this paper, we give the converse comparison theorem for the BSDEs driven by Lévy processes. The sufficient and necessary condition is obtained via the converse comparison theorem. These two results are the generalization of the corresponding results for BSDEs driven by Brownian motion, and they can be used in contingent claim evaluation, which has been mentioned in Section 1. There are many elementary problems to be solved for the $f$-expectation, such as up-crossing inequality etc.
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