SOME NEW EIGENVALUE BOUNDS FOR THE HADAMARD PRODUCT AND THE FAN PRODUCT OF MATRICES

CHEN Fu-bin, REN Xian-hua, HAO Bing

(Department of Architecture and Engineering, Oxbridge College, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650106, China)

Abstract: A lower bound for the minimum eigenvalue for the Fan product of nonsingular M-matrices A and B and an upper bound for the spectral radius of Hadamard product of non-negative matrices A and B are studied in this paper. By using the Brauer's theorem, some new estimating formulas of the bounds are obtained, which depend only on the entries and are easier to calculate. These bounds improve some results of [4].

Keywords: *M*-matrix; nonnegative matrix; Hadamard product; Fan product; spectral radius; minimum eigenvalue

 2010 MR Subject Classification:
 15A06; 15A18; 15A42

 Document code:
 A
 Article ID:
 0255-7797(2014)05-0895-09

1 Introduction

For a positive integer n, N denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices is denoted by $C^{n \times n}$ and $R^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices.

We let Z_n denote the class of all $n \times n$ real matrices all of whose off-diagonal entries are nonpositive. An $n \times n$ matrix A is called an M-matrix if there exists an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix B and a nonnegative real number λ such that $A = \lambda I - B$ and $\lambda \ge \rho(B)$, I is the identity matrix; if $\lambda > \rho(B)$, we call A a nonsingular M-matrix; if $\lambda = \rho(B)$, we call A a singular M-matrix. Denote by M_n the set of nonsingular M-matrices.

Let $A \in Z_n$ and $\tau(A) = \min\{Re(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$. Basic for our purpose are the following simple facts (see Problems 16, 19 and 28 in Section 2.5 of [1]):

(1) $\tau(A) \in \sigma(A)$; $\tau(A)$ is called the minimum eigenvalue of A.

(2) If $A, B \in M_n$, and $A \ge B$, then $\tau(A) \ge \tau(B)$.

(3) If $A \in M_n$, then $\rho(A^{-1})$ is the Perron eigenvalue of the nonnegative matrix A^{-1} , and $\tau(A) = \frac{1}{\rho(A^{-1})}$ is a positive real eigenvalue of A.

⁶ Received date: 2012-08-29 Accepted date: 2012-11-05

Foundation item: Supported by Scientific Research Fund of Yunnan Provincial Education Department (2012Y427; 2010Y073).

Biography: Chen Fubin(1979–), male, born at Linyi, Shandong, lecturer, major in matrix theory and its applications.

Let $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$. The Fan product of A and B is denoted by $A \bigstar B \equiv C = (c_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$ and is defined by

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} -a_{ij}b_{ij}, & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ a_{ii}b_{ii}, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

If $A, B \in M_n$, then so is $A \bigstar B$. In [1–3], the following bounds for $\tau(A \bigstar B)$ are given for two nonsingular *M*-matrices *A* and *B*, respectively.

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \tau(A)\tau(B),$$

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge (1 - \rho(J_A)\rho(J_B)) \min_{1 \le i \le n} (a_{ii}b_{ii}),$$

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_{ii}\tau(B) + b_{ii}\tau(A) - \tau(A)\tau(B)\}.$$

Recently, Li [4] gave a sharper lower bound for $\tau(A \bigstar B)$, that is

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} - m_i \sum_{k \ne i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} \}.$$

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$. We write $A \ge B(>B)$ if $a_{ij} \ge b_{ij}(>b_{ij})$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If 0 is the null matrix and $A \ge 0(>0)$, we say that A is a nonnegative (positive) matrix. The spectral radius of A is denoted by $\rho(A)$. If A is a nonnegative matrix, the Perron-Frobenius theorem guarantees that $\rho(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A.

An $n \times n$ matrix A is reducible if there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$P^T A P = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where B, D are square matrices of order at least one. If A is not reducible, then it is called irreducible. Note that any 1×1 matrix is irreducible.

For two real matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ of the same size, the Hadamard product of A and B is $A \circ B = (a_{ij}b_{ij})$.

In [1–3], the following bounds for $\rho(A \circ B)$ are given for $A, B \ge 0$, respectively.

$$\begin{split} \rho(A \circ B) &\leq \rho(A)\rho(B), \\ \rho(A \circ B) &\leq \left(1 + \rho(J'_A\rho(J'_B))\right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ii}b_{ii}, \\ \rho(A \circ B) &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{2a_{ii}b_{ii} + \rho(A)\rho(B) - a_{ii}\rho(B) - b_{ii}\rho(A)\}. \end{split}$$

Recently, Li [4] gave a sharper upper bound for $\rho(A \circ B)$, that is

$$\rho(A \circ B) \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + m_i \sum_{k \ne i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k}\}.$$

In this paper, we give a new lower bound on $\tau(A \bigstar B)$ for two matrices $A, B \in M_n$ in Section 2 and a new upper bound on $\rho(A \circ B)$ for two nonnegative matrices A and B in Section 3. Some examples are given to illustrate our results. In the following, we will need the notations:

$$R_{i} = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|, \qquad d_{i} = \frac{R_{i}}{|a_{ii}|}, \quad i \in N;$$
$$m_{ji} = |a_{ji}|h_{j}, \quad m_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \{m_{ji}\}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad h_{j} = \begin{cases} d_{j}, & d_{j} \neq 0, \\ 1, & d_{j} = 0. \end{cases}$$

2 A Lower Bound for the Minimum Eigenvalue of the Fan Product of M-Matrices

In this section, we will give a lower bound for $\tau(A \bigstar B)$. In order to prove our results, we first give some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [5] Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$. Then all the eigenvalues of A lie in the region:

$$\bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} \{z \in C : |z - a_{ii}| | z - a_{jj}| \le \sum_{k \ne i} |a_{ki}| \sum_{l \ne j} |a_{lj}| \}.$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$ and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Then all the eigenvalues of A lie in the region:

$$\bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} \{z \in C : |z - a_{ii}| | z - a_{jj}| \le (x_i \sum_{k\neq i} \frac{1}{x_k} |a_{ki}|) (x_j \sum_{l\neq j} \frac{1}{x_l} |a_{lj}|) \}.$$

Proof Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers, and define $X = \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), B = (b_{ij}) = X^{-1}AX$. Then we have

$$B = (b_{ij}) = X^{-1}AX = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \frac{x_2}{x_1}a_{12} & \cdots & \frac{x_n}{x_1}a_{1n} \\ \frac{x_1}{x_2}a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & \frac{x_n}{x_2}a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{x_1}{x_n}a_{n1} & \frac{x_2}{x_n}a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $B = X^{-1}AX$, we have that $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a pair(i, j) for positive integers with $i \neq j$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i,j=1\atop i\neq j}^{n} \{ z \in C : |z - b_{ii}| |z - b_{jj}| \le \sum_{k\neq i} |b_{ki}| \sum_{l\neq j} |b_{lj}| \}.$$

Observe that

$$a_{ii} = b_{ii}, \quad a_{jj} = b_{jj}, \quad b_{ki} = \frac{x_i}{x_k} a_{ki}, \quad b_{lj} = \frac{x_j}{x_l} a_{lj}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\bigcup_{i,j=1\atop i\neq j}^{n} \{ z \in C : |z - a_{ii}| |z - a_{jj}| \le (\sum_{k\neq i} \frac{x_i}{x_k} |a_{ki}|) (\sum_{l\neq j} \frac{x_j}{x_l} |a_{lj}|) \}.$$

898

$$\bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} \{z \in C : |z - a_{ii}| | z - a_{jj}| \le (x_i \sum_{k\neq i} \frac{1}{x_k} |a_{ki}|) (x_j \sum_{l\neq j} \frac{1}{x_l} |a_{lj}|) \}.$$

Theorem 2.1 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be two nonsingular *M*-matrices. Then

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$
(2.1)

Proof It is evident that (2.1) is an equality for n = 1.

We next assume that $n \geq 2$.

If $A \bigstar B$ is irreducible, then A and B are irreducible. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $A \bigstar B$ and satisfy $\tau(A \bigstar B) = \lambda$, so that $0 < \lambda < a_{ii}b_{ii}, \forall i \in N$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, there is a pair (i, j) of positive integers with $i \neq j$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - a_{ii}b_{ii}||\lambda - a_{jj}b_{jj}| &\leq (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{m_k} |a_{ki}b_{ki}|)(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{1}{m_l} |a_{lj}b_{lj}|) \\ &\leq (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|a_{ki}b_{ki}|}{|a_{ki}|h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|a_{lj}b_{lj}|}{|a_{lj}|h_l}) \\ &= (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

That is

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}$$

$$\ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

Now, assume that $A \bigstar B$ is reducible. It is well known that a matrix in Z_n is a nonsingular *M*-matrix if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive (see condition (E17) of Theorem 6.2.3 of [6]). If we denote by $D = (d_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $d_{12} = d_{23} = \cdots = d_{n-1,n} = d_{n1} = 1$, the remaining d_{ij} zero, then both A - tD and B - tD are irreducible nonsingular *M*-matrices for any chosen positive real number *t*, sufficiently small such that all the leading principal minors of both A - tD and B - tD are positive. Now we substitute A - tD and B - tD for *A* and *B*, respectively in the previous case, and then letting $t \longrightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Theorem 2.2 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be two nonsingular *M*-matrices. Then

$$\begin{split} &\min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} - [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ &\geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} - m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} \}. \end{split}$$

Proof Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$a_{ii}b_{ii} - m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} \le a_{jj}b_{jj} - m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l}.$$
(2.2)

Thus, (2.2) is equivalent to

$$m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l} \le m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} + a_{jj} b_{jj} - a_{ii} b_{ii}.$$
(2.3)

From (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j\sum_{l\neq j}\frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - a_{ii}b_{ii})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})^2 + 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(a_{jj}b_{jj} - a_{ii}b_{ii})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - [(a_{jj}b_{jj} - a_{ii}b_{ii} + 2m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - (a_{jj}b_{jj} - a_{ii}b_{ii} + 2m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} - (a_{jj}b_{jj} - a_{ii}b_{ii} + 2m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})\} \\ &= a_{ii}b_{ii} - m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \geq \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} - [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}$$

$$\geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} - m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} \}.$$

Example 2.1 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 5 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A \bigstar B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By calculating with Matlab 7.0, we have $\tau(A \bigstar B) = 3.2296$. By Theorem 3.1 in [4], we have

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \ge \min_{i} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} - m_i \sum_{k \ne i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k} \} = 2.4333.$$

By Theorem 2.1 in this paper, we have

$$\tau(A \bigstar B) \geq \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} - [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|b_{ki}|}{h_k}) (m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|b_{lj}|}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} = 2.9779.$$

This numerical example shows that the result in Theorem 2.1 is better than that in Theorem 3.1 in [4].

3 An Upper Bound for the Spectral Radius of the Hadamard Product of Nonnegative Matrices

In this section, we will give an upper bound for $\rho(A \circ B)$. **Theorem 3.1** Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $A \ge 0$ and $B \ge 0$. Then

$$\rho(A \circ B) \leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} + [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k}) (m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$
(3.1)

Proof It is evident that (3.1) is an equality for n = 1.

We next assume that $n \geq 2$.

If $A \circ B$ is irreducible, then A and B are irreducible. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $A \circ B$ and satisfy $\rho(A \circ B) = \lambda$, so that $\rho(A \circ B) \ge a_{ii}b_{ii}, \forall i \in N$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, there is a pair (i, j) of positive integers with $i \neq j$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - a_{ii}b_{ii}||\lambda - a_{jj}b_{jj}| &\leq (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{m_k} |a_{ki}b_{ki}|)(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{1}{m_l} |a_{lj}b_{lj}|) \\ &\leq (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|a_{ki}b_{ki}|}{a_{ki}h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{|a_{lj}b_{lj}|}{a_{lj}h_l}) \\ &= (m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

That is

$$\rho(A \circ B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}$$

$$\leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

Now, assume that $A \circ B$ is reducible. If we denote by $D = (d_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $d_{12} = d_{23} = \cdots = d_{n-1,n} = d_{n1} = 1$, the remaining d_{ij} zero, then both A + tD and B + tD are nonsingular irreducible matrices for any chosen positive real number t. Now we substitute A + tD and B + tD for A and B, respectively in the previous case, and then let $t \longrightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Theorem 3.2 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $A \ge 0$ and $B \ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} + [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k} \}. \end{split}$$

Proof Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$a_{ii}b_{ii} + m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k} \ge a_{jj}b_{jj} + m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l}.$$
 (3.2)

Thus, (3.2) is equivalent to

$$m_{j} \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_{l}} \le m_{i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_{k}} + a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj}.$$
(3.3)

From (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j\sum_{l\neq j}\frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}\\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k} + a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}\\ &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})^2 + 4(m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}\\ &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj} + 2m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}\\ &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + (a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj} + 2m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})\}\\ &= \quad a_{ii}b_{ii} + m_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{b_{ki}}{h_k}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$\rho(A \circ B) \leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + a_{jj}b_{jj} + [(a_{ii}b_{ii} - a_{jj}b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\
\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ a_{ii}b_{ii} + m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k} \}.$$

Example 3.1 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A \circ B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 15 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 20 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By calculating with Matlab 7.0, we have $\rho(A \circ B) = 20.7439$. By Theorem 4.1 in [4], we have

$$\rho(A \circ B) \le \max_{i} \{a_{ii}b_{ii} + m_i \sum_{k \ne i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k}\} = 23.2.$$

By Theorem 3.1 in this paper, we have

$$\rho(A \circ B) \leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \{ a_{ii} b_{ii} + a_{jj} b_{jj} + [(a_{ii} b_{ii} - a_{jj} b_{jj})^2 + 4(m_i \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{ki}}{h_k})(m_j \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{b_{lj}}{h_l})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} = 21.865.$$

This numerical example shows that the result in Theorem 3.1 is better than that in Theorem 4.1 in [4].

References

- Horn R A, Johnson C R. Topics in matrix analysis[M]. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Huang Rong. Some inequalities for the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices[J]. Linear Algebra Appl, 2008, 428: 1551–1559.
- [3] Fang Maozhong. Bounds on eigenvalues of the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices[J]. Linear Algebra Appl, 2007, 425: 7–15.
- [4] Li Yaotang, Li Yanyan, Wang Ruiwu, Wang Yaqiang. Some new bounds on eigenvalues of the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices[J]. Linear Algebra Appl, 2010, 432: 536–545.
- [5] Horn R A, Johnson C R. Matrix analysis[M]. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [6] Berman A, Plemmons R J. Nonnegative matrix in the mathematical sciences[M]. New York: Academic Press, 1979.

矩阵Hadamard积和Fan积的特征值界的一些新估计式

陈付彬,任献花,郝 冰

(昆明理工大学津桥学院建筑艺术及工学系,云南昆明 650106)

摘要: 本文研究了非奇异*M*-矩阵*A* 与*B* 的Fan积的最小特征值下界和非负矩阵*A*与*B*的Hadamard积的谱半径上界的估计问题.利用Brauer定理,得到了一些只依赖于矩阵的元素且易于计算的新估计式,改进了文献[4]现有的一些结果.

关键词: *M*-矩阵; 非负矩阵; Hadamard积; Fan积; 谱半径; 最小特征值 MR(2010)主题分类号: 15A06; 15A18; 15A42 中图分类号: O151.21