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Abstract: The relationship between recollement of triangulated category \( D \) and recollement of its coherent functor category \( A(D) \) is studied. It is shown that the recollement of \( D \) induces the prerecollement of \( A(D) \) and the necessary and sufficient condition for it to be a recollement is that the recollement of \( D \) is split. Furthermore, we get the result that the recollement of \( D \) could induce the prerecollement of \( A(D) \).
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1 Introduction

The notion of recollement of triangulated categories was introduced by Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne [1] in connection with derived categories of sheaves of topological spaces in 1982. Besides the recollement of triangulated categories, MacPherson and Vilonen [2] introduced recollement of abelian categories, it first appeared as an inductive step in the construction of perverse sheaves. Recollements of abelian and triangulated categories play an important role in geometry of singular spaces. It is a basic problem of recollement that constructing a new recollement from the known recollement (see [3–5]).

The abelianization of a triangulated category is due to the work of Verdier and Freyd, however Krause (see [6, 7]) gave a slightly different construction which is based on coherent functors in the sense of Auslander [8].

Abelian category and triangulated category are two fundamental structures in represent theory of algebra. The author studied the relationship between torsion theory of triangulated category \( D \) and that of its coherent functor category \( A(D) \) in [9].

In this paper, we mainly study the relationship between recollement of triangulated category \( D \) and recollement of its coherent functor category \( A(D) \). We show that the recollement of \( D \) induces the prerecollement of \( A(D) \). Furthermore, the necessary and sufficient
condition for it to be a recollement is that the recollement of \( \mathcal{D} \) is split, i.e., for any \( Y \in \mathcal{D} \), the triangles \( i_! Y \xrightarrow{f_1} Y \xrightarrow{g_1} j_* j^* Y \xrightarrow{h_1} i_! Y[1] \) and \( j_* j^* Y \xrightarrow{f_2} Y \xrightarrow{g_2} i_* i^* Y \xrightarrow{h_2} j_* j^* Y[1] \) induced by the adjunction morphisms satisfy \( h_1 = 0 \) and \( h_2 = 0 \). Because the stable category \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \) is a triangulated category \([7]\), we could also get a prerecollement of \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \) relative to \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}') \) and \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}'') \).

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that \( k \) is a field and all categories are \( k \)-bilinear Hom-finite additive categories with Krull-Schmidt property, i.e., any object can be decomposed into a direct sum of indecomposable objects, and such decomposition is unique up to isomorphisms.

First, we recall some useful definitions and results.

**Definition 2.1** \([10]\) Let \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'' \) be abelian categories. Then a prerecollement of \( \mathcal{A} \) relative to \( \mathcal{A}' \) and \( \mathcal{A}'' \), diagrammatically expressed by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}' & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{j_*} \mathcal{A}' \\
\mathcal{A}' & \xleftarrow{i^!} & \mathcal{A} \xleftarrow{j^!} \mathcal{A}'
\end{array}
\]

is given by six additive functors \( i_* = i_! : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}; j^* = j^! : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}''; i^*, i^! : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}' \); \( j_*, j^! : \mathcal{A}'' \to \mathcal{A} \), which satisfy the following three conditions:

1. \((i^*, i_*), (i^!, i^!)\) and \((j^*, j_*)\) are adjoint pairs;
2. \(i_*\), \(j^!\) and \(j_*\) are full embeddings;
3. \(j^* i_* = 0\).

If the prerecollement of \( \mathcal{A} \) relative to \( \mathcal{A}' \) and \( \mathcal{A}'' \) as above also satisfies

4. \(\ker(j^*) = \text{Im}(i_*)\).

Then the prerecollement is called recollement.

**Remark 2.2** \([10]\) If \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'' \) are abelian categories, and there exists a recollement as above, then

(I) \(i^* j^! = 0, i^! j_* = 0\);

(II) The units and counits of adjunction give rise to exact sequences of natural transformations

\[
j_* j^! \to \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to i_* i^* \to 0,
0 \to i^! i^! \to \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to j_* j^*.
\]

**Definition 2.3** Suppose we are given triangulated categories \( \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}'' \) together with exact functors \( i_* = i_! : \mathcal{D}' \to \mathcal{D}, j^* = j^! : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'' \), \( i^*, i^! : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}' \), and \( j_*, j^! : \mathcal{D}'' \to \mathcal{D} \) which satisfy the following four conditions:

(a) \((i^*, i_* = i_!, i^!\) and \((j_!, j^* = j^!, j_*\) are adjoint triples;
(b) \(i^! j_* = 0\) (and, by adjointness, \(j^* i_*\) and \(i^* j^!\) are zero morphisms);
(c) \(i_*, j^!, j_*\) are full embeddings (and thus \(i^* i_* \cong i^! i^! \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}\) and \(j^* j_* \cong j^! j^! \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}''}\)).
(d) any object \( X \) in \( D \) determines distinguished triangles

\[
i_! i^! X \to X \to j_* j^* X \to i_! i^! X[1]
\]

and

\[
j_* j^! X \to X \to i_* i^* X \to j_* j^! X[1],
\]

here the morphisms \( i_! i^! X \to X, X \to j_* j^* X \) are the adjunction morphisms. Then we say that \( D \) admits recollement relative to \( D' \) and \( D'' \), and diagrammatically expressed by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D' & \xrightarrow{i_*} & D \xrightarrow{j^*} & D'' \\
\xleftarrow{i_!} & \xleftarrow{i^!} & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \xleftarrow{j_!} & \xleftarrow{j^!} & \xleftarrow{j_*} & \xleftarrow{j^*} & \xleftarrow{j_!} & \xleftarrow{j^!} & \xleftarrow{j_*} & \xleftarrow{j^*}
\end{array}
\]

If \( D, D', D'' \) satisfy (a), (b) and (c), then we call \( D \) admits prerecollement relative to \( D' \) and \( D'' \).

Let \( C \) be an additive category. We consider functors \( F : C^{op} \to Ab \) into the category of abelian groups and call a sequence \( F' \to F \to F'' \) of functors exact if the induced sequence \( F' X \to F X \to F'' X \) of abelian groups is exact for all \( X \) in \( C \).

**Definition 2.4** The recollement of \( D \) in definition is called split. If for any \( Y \in D \), the triangles \( i_! i^! Y \xrightarrow{f_1} Y \xrightarrow{g_1} j_* j^* Y \xrightarrow{h_1} i_! i^! Y \) (see [1]) and \( j_* j^! Y \xrightarrow{f_2} Y \xrightarrow{g_2} i_* i^* Y \xrightarrow{h_2} j_* j^! Y \) (see [1]) induced by the adjunction morphisms satisfy \( h_1 = 0 \) and \( h_2 = 0 \).

**Definition 2.5** [6] A functor \( F \) is said to be coherent if there exists an exact sequence (called presentation)

\[
\text{Hom}_C(-, X) \xrightarrow{\cdot} \text{Hom}_C(-, Y) \to F \to 0.
\]

The morphisms between two coherent functors form a small set by Yoneda’s lemma, and the coherent functors \( F : C^{op} \to Ab \) form an additive category with cokernels. We denote this category by \( A(C) \). The Yoneda functor \( h_C : C \to A(C) \) which sends an object \( X \) to \( \text{Hom}_C(-, X) \) is fully faithful.

The following results are due to the work of Krause which is crucial to our construction of recollement.

**Lemma 2.6** [6] Let \( T \) be a triangulated category. Then

1. the category \( A(T) \) is abelian and the Yoneda functor \( h_{T} : T \to A(T) \) is cohomological;

2. given a cohomological functor \( H : T \to A \) to an abelian category, there is (up to a unique isomorphism) a unique exact functor \( \bar{H} : A(T) \to A \) such that \( H = \bar{H} \circ h_{T} \);

3. given an exact functor \( F : T \to T' \) between triangulated categories, there is (up to a unique isomorphism) a unique exact functor \( A(F) : A(T) \to A(T') \) such that \( h_{T'} \circ F = A(F) \circ h_{T} \).

**Lemma 2.7** [6] Let \( F : T \to T' \) and \( G : T' \to T \) be exact functors between triangulated categories. Then

1. \( F \) is fully faithful if and only if \( A(F) \) is fully faithful;
(2) if $F$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{T}/\ker(F) \simeq \mathcal{T}'$, then $\mathcal{A}(F)$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})/(\ker\mathcal{A}(F)) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}')$;

(3) $F$ preserves small (co)products if and only if $\mathcal{A}(F)$ preserves small (co)products;

(4) $F$ is left adjoint to $G$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}(F)$ is left adjoint to $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

**Lemma 2.8** [7] Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ is a Frobenius abelian category.

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we assume that $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}''$ are triangulated categories. First, we give a new proof of the following result, although it appeared already in [11].

**Lemma 3.1** Let $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}''$ be three triangulated categories, and if there exists a recollement

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{D}' & \xrightarrow{i'} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \mathcal{D}'' \\
\xrightarrow{i} & & \xrightarrow{j} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Then the following is also a prerecollement of abelian categories:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}') & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(i')} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(j_1)} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}'') \\
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(i)} & & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(j)} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

**Proof** From Lemma 2.7 (4), $(\mathcal{A}(i^*), \mathcal{A}(i_*)), (\mathcal{A}(i_1), \mathcal{A}(i_1^*))$, $(\mathcal{A}(j_1), \mathcal{A}(j_1^*))$ and $(\mathcal{A}(j_*), \mathcal{A}(j_*^*))$ are adjoint pairs.

We claim that $\mathcal{A}(G \circ F) \cong \mathcal{A}(G) \circ \mathcal{A}(F)$ for all $F : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$, $G : \mathcal{D}' \to \mathcal{D}''$. According to (3) of Lemma 2.6, there are two exact functors $F : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}')$ and $G : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}') \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}'')$ such that $h_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ F = \mathcal{A}(G) \circ h_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $h_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ G = \mathcal{A}(G) \circ h_{\mathcal{D}'}$, so we get $h_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ G \circ F = \mathcal{A}(G) \circ h_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ F = \mathcal{A}(G) \circ \mathcal{A}(F) \circ h_{\mathcal{D}'}$.

However, there is an exact functor $\mathcal{A}(G \circ F) : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}'')$ such that $h_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ G \circ F = \mathcal{A}(G \circ F) \circ h_{\mathcal{D}}$, so we get that $\mathcal{A}(G \circ F) \cong \mathcal{A}(G) \circ \mathcal{A}(F)$ by the uniqueness.

Then we could get that $\mathbb{A}(j^*) \circ \mathbb{A}(i_*) \cong \mathbb{A}(j^* \circ i_*) = 0$, and then condition (3) of Definition 2.1 holds.

Similarly, $\mathcal{A}(i_*) \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})}$. Because of the isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}(i_*) \mathcal{A}(i_*) \cong \mathcal{A}(i_* \circ i_*) \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})}$ and so on, condition (2) also holds.

We prove our main results in the following.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}''$ be three triangulated categories, and if there exists a recollement

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{D}' & \xrightarrow{i'} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \mathcal{D}'' \\
\xrightarrow{i} & & \xrightarrow{j} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Then the prerecollement of abelian categories defined above is a recollement if and only if the given recollement of triangulated categories is split.
Proof If

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(i^*) \\ \mathcal{A}(i) \\ \mathcal{A}(j^*) \\ \mathcal{A}(j)
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(D') \\ \mathcal{A}(D) \\ \mathcal{A}(D'') \\ \mathcal{A}(D)
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\beta} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(D) \\ \mathcal{A}(D') \\ \mathcal{A}(D'') \\ \mathcal{A}(D)
\end{array}
\]

is a recollement of abelian categories, then

\[\mathcal{A}(j_i)\mathcal{A}(j^i) \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(D)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(i*)\mathcal{A}(i^*) \rightarrow 0,\]

\[0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(i_i)\mathcal{A}(i^i) \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(D)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(j_i)\mathcal{A}(j^i)\]

are exact. Because \(\mathcal{A}(j_i)\mathcal{A}(j^i)(\text{Hom}_{D}(\cdot, Y)) = \text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i j^i Y)\) etc. hold for all \(\text{Hom}_{D}(-, Y) \in \mathcal{A}(D)\), it is easy to see that the above two exact sequences are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i j^i Y) & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, i_i i^i Y) \rightarrow 0, \\
0 & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, i_i i^i Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i j^i Y),
\end{align*}
\]

where the morphisms are also induced by the adjunction morphisms. But from

\[
i_i i^i Y \xrightarrow{j_i} Y \xrightarrow{g_1} j_i j^i Y \xrightarrow{h_1} i_i i^i Y[1] \quad \text{and} \quad j_i j^i Y \xrightarrow{j_i} j_i j^i Y \xrightarrow{g_2} i_i i^i Y \xrightarrow{h_2} j_i j^i Y[1],
\]

we know that \(\text{Hom}_{D}(-, h_2)\) and \(\text{Hom}_{D}(-, h_1[-1])\) are zero, so \(h_1 = 0, h_2 = 0\).

Conversely, we only need to prove that \(\ker(A(j^i)) \subseteq \text{Im}(A(i_*))\), since \(\ker(A(j^i)) \supseteq \text{Im}(A(i_*))\).

Given any \(G \in \mathcal{A}(D)\) which satisfies \(A(j^i)G = 0\). First, there exists an exact sequence

\[
\text{Hom}_{D}(-, X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{D}(-, Y) \xrightarrow{j_i} G \rightarrow 0,
\]

where \(\alpha = \text{Hom}_{D}(-, f)\). So \(f : X \rightarrow Y\) determines a triangle \(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]\) in \(D\), and then \(j_i X \xrightarrow{j_i f} j_i Y \xrightarrow{j_i g} j_i Z \xrightarrow{j_i h} j_i X[1]\) is a triangle in \(D''\). We get that

\[
\text{Hom}_{D''}(-, j_i^* X) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{D''}(-, j_i^* f)} \text{Hom}_{D''}(-, j_i^* Y) \rightarrow A(j^i)G = 0 \rightarrow 0
\]

is also exact, since \(A(j^i)\) is exact and \(A(j^i)(\text{Hom}_{D}(-, X)) = \text{Hom}_{D''}(-, j_i^* X)\) etc.. So

\[
\text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i^* Y) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i^* f)} \text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i^* X) \rightarrow 0
\]

is also exact.

Because

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i^* Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, i_i^* X) \rightarrow 0
\]

and

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, j_i^* Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(-, i_i^* Y) \rightarrow 0,
\]

are exact. Therefore, \(\mathcal{A}(j_i)\mathcal{A}(j^i)\) is also exact since \(\mathcal{A}(i_*)\mathcal{A}(i^i)\) is exact.
there exists the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, j_! j^! X) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, X) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, i_* i^! X) & \rightarrow & 0, \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, j_! j^! f) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, f) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, i_* i^! f) & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, j_! j^! Y) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, Y) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, i_* i^! Y) & \rightarrow & 0, \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & H
\end{array}
\]

where \( H \) is the cokernel of \( \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, i_* i^! f) = A(i_*)(\text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\cdot, i^! f)) \). From “Snake lemma”, \( G \cong H. \) \( H \in \text{Im} A(i_*), \) so \( \ker(A(j^*)) \subseteq \text{Im}(A(i_*)). \)

From Lemma 2.8, \( A(D), A(D') \) and \( A(D'') \) are all Frobenius abelian categories, so the stable categories of them are triangulated categories. We get the following corollary

**Corollary 3.3** Let \( D, D', D'' \) be three triangulated categories, and if there exists a recollement

\[
D' \xrightarrow{i_*} D \xleftarrow{i^!} D''
\]

Then the following is a prerecollement of triangulated categories:

\[
A(D') \xrightarrow{A(j^*)} A(D) \xleftarrow{A(j_*)} A(D'').
\]

**Proof** First, the functors in the recollement are actually triangulated functors, we only check \( A(i^*) \). For any triangle in \( A(D) \), without generality, we assume that it is standard triangle

\[
F \xrightarrow{a} G \xrightarrow{b} N \xrightarrow{c} \Omega F,
\]

then there exists a commutative diagram in \( A(D) \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega F \\
\downarrow a & & \downarrow \Omega F \\
G & \xrightarrow{b} & N \\
\end{array}
\]

where the left square is a pushout, and the two rows are short exact sequences. \( A(i^*) \) is exact in \( A(D) \), so the following is also a pushout since it is commutative and the two rows are short exact sequences.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
A(i^*)F & \xrightarrow{A(i^*)d} & A(i^*)X & \xrightarrow{A(i^*)e} & A(i^*)(\Omega F) \\
\downarrow A(i^*)a & & \downarrow& & \downarrow A(i^*)f \\
A(i^*)G & \xrightarrow{A(i^*)b} & A(i^*)N & \xrightarrow{A(i^*)c} & A(i^*)(\Omega F).
\end{array}
\]
Therefore
\[ A(i^*)F \xrightarrow{\Delta(i^*)a} A(i^*)G \xrightarrow{\Delta(i^*)b} A(i^*)N \xrightarrow{\Delta(i^*)c}, A(i^*)(\Omega F) \]
is also a triangle in \( \mathcal{A}(D') \).

For Definition 2.3 (a), we only need to prove
\[ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D')} (A(i^*)F, G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D)} (F, A(i_*)G). \]
In fact, let
\[ \eta : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D')} (A(i^*)F, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D)} (F, A(i_*)G), \]
if \( \alpha : A(i^*)F \to G \) factors through \( \text{Hom}_{D'} (-, X') \) for some \( X' \in D' \), then
\[ \xymatrix{ A(i^*)F \ar[r]^-\alpha \ar[d]_-{\alpha_1} & G \ar[d]^-{\alpha_2} \\
\text{Hom}_{D'} (-, X') } \]
According to \( i^*i_* \cong \text{id}_{D'} \), there exists an object \( X \in D \) such that \( i^*X = X' \), then
\[ A(i^*) \text{Hom}_{D} (-, X) = \text{Hom}_{D'} (-, X'), \]
and \( \alpha_1 = A(i^*)(\alpha') \). So
\[ \xymatrix{ A(i^*)F \ar[r]^-\alpha \ar[d]_-{A(i^*)(\alpha')} & G \\
A(i^*) \text{Hom}_{D} (-, X) \ar[ur]^{A(i^*)(\alpha')} \}
By the naturality of \( \eta \), we have
\[ \xymatrix{ F \ar[r]^-{\eta(\alpha)} \ar[d]_-{\alpha'} & A(i_*)G \ar[d]^-{\eta(\alpha_2)} \\
\text{Hom}_{D} (-, X) \ar[ur]_{\eta(\alpha_2)} } \]
So \( \eta(\alpha) \) factors through projective object. The converse is similar, so
\[ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D')} (A(i^*)F, G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(D)} (F, A(i_*)G). \]

(b) is trivial.

For (c), we only need to prove that \( A(i_*) \) is a full embedding, the others are similar. Since \( A(i^*)A(i_*) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(D')} \), \( A(i_*) \) is a full embedding. It is easy to see that \( A(i_*) \) is full, we only need to check that it is faithful. If \( \text{Hom}_{D'} (F, G) \to \text{Hom}_{D} (A(i_*)F, A(i_*)G) \) maps \( \alpha \) to \( A(i_*)(\alpha) = 0 \), then \( A(i_*)(\alpha) \) factors through some \( \text{Hom}_{D} (-, X) \), i.e.,
\[ \xymatrix{ A(i_*)F \ar[r]^-{A(i_*)(\alpha)} \ar[d]_-{\alpha_1} & A(i_*)G \\
\text{Hom}_{D} (-, X) \ar[ur]_{\alpha_2} } \]
So
\[ \mathcal{A}(i^*) \mathcal{A}(i_!) F \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(i_! \alpha))} G \]
\[ \mathcal{A}(i^*) \hom_{\mathcal{D}}(-, X) \]

From \( F \cong \mathcal{A}(i^*) \mathcal{A}(i_!) F \), we know that \( \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(i_! \alpha)) \) corresponds to \( \alpha \). We also get that \( \mathcal{A}(i^*) \hom_{\mathcal{D}}(-, X) \cong \hom_{\mathcal{D}}(-, i^* X) \), so \( \alpha = 0 \) in \( \mathcal{A}(D') \).
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